



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Fuzzy Sets and Systems ●●● (●●●●) ●●●—●●●

FUZZY
sets and systemswww.elsevier.com/locate/fssSobriety of quantale-valued cotopological spaces [☆]

Dexue Zhang

School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China

Received 18 December 2016; received in revised form 6 September 2017; accepted 11 September 2017

Abstract

For each commutative and integral quantale, making use of the fuzzy order between closed sets, a theory of sobriety for quantale-valued cotopological spaces is established based on irreducible closed sets.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Keywords: Fuzzy topology; Quantale; Quantale-valued order; Quantale-valued cotopological space; Irreducible closed set; Sobriety

1. Introduction

A topological space X is sober if each of its irreducible closed subsets is the closure of exactly one point in X . Sobriety of topological spaces can be described via the well-known adjunction

$$\mathcal{O} \dashv \text{pt}$$

between the category Top of topological spaces and the opposite of the category Frm of frames [10]. Precisely, X is sober if $\eta_X: X \rightarrow \text{pt}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ is a bijection (hence a homeomorphism), where η denotes the unit of the adjunction $\mathcal{O} \dashv \text{pt}$.

In the classical setting, a topological space can be described in terms of open sets as well as closed sets, and we can switch between open sets and closed sets by taking complements. So, it makes no difference whether we choose to work with closed sets or with open sets. In the fuzzy setting, since the table of truth-values is usually a quantale, not a Boolean algebra, there is no natural way to switch between open sets and closed sets. So, it may make a difference whether we postulate topological spaces in terms of open sets or in terms of closed sets. An example in this regard is exhibited in [3,4].

The frame approach to sobriety of topological spaces makes use of open sets; while the irreducible-closed-set approach makes use of closed sets. Extending the theory of sober spaces to the fuzzy setting is an interesting topic in fuzzy topology. Most of the existing works focus on the frame approach; that is, to find a fuzzy counterpart of the category Frm of frames, then establish an adjunction between the category of fuzzy topological spaces and that of *fuzzy frames*. Works in this regard include Rodabaugh [26], Zhang and Liu [33], Kotzé [13,14], Srivastava and

[☆] This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11371265).

E-mail address: dxzhang@scu.edu.cn.

1 Khastgir [28], Pultr and Rodabaugh [21–24], Gutiérrez García, Höhle and de Prada Vicente [6], and Yao [30,31], etc. 1
 2 But, the irreducible-closed-set approach to sobriety of fuzzy topological spaces is seldom touched, except in Kotzé 2
 3 [13,14]. 3

4 In this paper, making use of the fuzzy inclusion order between closed sets, we establish a theory of sobriety 4
 5 for quantale-valued topological spaces based on irreducible closed sets. Actually, this theory concerns sobriety of 5
 6 *quantale-valued cotopological spaces*. By a quantale-valued cotopological space we mean a “fuzzy topological space” 6
 7 postulated in terms of closed sets (see Definition 2.6). The term *quantale-valued topological space* is reserved for 7
 8 “fuzzy topological space” postulated in terms of open sets (see Definition 3.16). 8

9 It should be noted that in most works on fuzzy frames, the table of truth-values is assumed to be a complete Heyting 9
 10 algebra (or, a frame), even a completely distributive lattice sometimes. But, in this paper, the table of truth-values is 10
 11 only assumed to be a commutative and integral quantale. Complete Heyting algebra, BL-algebras and left continuous 11
 12 t-norms, are important examples of such quantales. 12

13 The contents are arranged as follows. Section 2 recalls basic concepts about quantale-valued ordered sets and 13
 14 quantale-valued \mathcal{Q} -cotopological spaces. Section 3, making use of the quantale-valued order between closed sets in 14
 15 a \mathcal{Q} -cotopological space, establishes a theory of sober \mathcal{Q} -cotopological spaces based on irreducible closed sets. In 15
 16 particular, the sobrification of a stratified \mathcal{Q} -cotopological space is constructed. The last section, Section 4, presents 16
 17 some interesting examples in the case that \mathcal{Q} is the unit interval $[0, 1]$ coupled with a (left) continuous t-norm. 17
 18

19 2. Quantale-valued ordered sets and quantale-valued cotopological spaces 19

20
 21 In this paper, $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \&)$ always denotes a commutative and integral quantale, unless otherwise specified. Pre- 21
 22 cisely, Q is a complete lattice with a bottom element 0 and a top element 1, $\&$ is a binary operation on Q such that 22
 23 $(Q, \&, 1)$ is a commutative monoid and $p \& \bigvee_{j \in J} q_j = \bigvee_{j \in J} p \& q_j$ for all $p \in Q$ and $\{q_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq Q$. 23

24 Since the semigroup operation $\&$ distributes over arbitrary joins, it determines a binary operation \rightarrow on Q via the 24
 25 adjoint property 25

$$26 \quad p \& q \leq r \iff q \leq p \rightarrow r. \quad 26$$

27
 28 The binary operation \rightarrow is called the *implication*, or the *residuation*, corresponding to $\&$. 28

29 Some basic properties of the binary operations $\&$ and \rightarrow are collected below, they can be found in many places, 29
 30 e.g. [2,27]. 30

31
 32 **Proposition 2.1.** *Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale. Then 32*

- 33 (1) $1 \rightarrow p = p.$ 33
- 34 (2) $p \leq q \iff 1 = p \rightarrow q.$ 34
- 35 (3) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) = (p \& q) \rightarrow r.$ 35
- 36 (4) $p \& (p \rightarrow q) \leq q.$ 36
- 37 (5) $\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} p_j\right) \rightarrow q = \bigwedge_{j \in J} (p_j \rightarrow q).$ 37
- 38 (6) $p \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge_{j \in J} q_j\right) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} (p \rightarrow q_j).$ 38

39
 40
 41 We often write $\neg p$ for $p \rightarrow 0$ and call it the *negation* of p . Though it is true that $p \leq \neg \neg p$ for all $p \in Q$, the 41
 42 inequality $\neg \neg p \leq p$ does not always hold. A quantale \mathcal{Q} is said to satisfy the *law of double negation* if 42
 43

$$44 \quad (p \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0 = p, \quad 44$$

45 i.e., $\neg \neg p = p$, for all $p \in Q$. A commutative and integral quantale that satisfies the law of double negation is also 45
 46 called a complete regular residuated lattice sometimes. 46

47
 48 **Proposition 2.2.** ([2]) *Suppose that \mathcal{Q} is a quantale that satisfies the law of double negation. Then 48*

- 49 (1) $p \rightarrow q = \neg(p \& \neg q) = \neg q \rightarrow \neg p.$ 49
- 50 (2) $p \& q = \neg(q \rightarrow \neg p) = \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q).$ 50
- 51 (3) $\neg(\bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \neg p_i.$ 51

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10150951>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10150951>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)