

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Fuzzy Sets and Systems ●●● (●●●●) ●●●—●●●

FUZZY
 sets and systems

www.elsevier.com/locate/fss

On a topological fuzzy fixed point theorem and its application to non-ejective fuzzy fractals

Jan Andres¹, Miroslav Rypka

Department of Mathematical Analysis and Applications of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, 17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic

Received 29 September 2016; received in revised form 2 October 2017; accepted 2 October 2017

Abstract

A topological fuzzy fixed point theorem is given, when generalizing and improving the main result by Diamond, Kloeden and Pokrovskii (1997) [1]. Apart from the sole existence, a weak local stability property, called non-ejectivity in the sense of Browder, of fuzzy fixed points is established. This theorem is then applied for obtaining non-ejective fuzzy fractals. An alternative approach via the Knaster–Tarski theorem is also presented.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fuzzy fixed points; Absolute retracts; Hilbert cube; Multivalued maps; Non-ejectivity; Fuzzy fractals

1. Introduction

The main stimulation of the present note comes from the article [1], where the following topological fuzzy fixed point theorem was presented:

Theorem 1. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $\mathcal{D}(X)$ be the totality of fuzzy sets $u: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which are upper semicontinuous (in the single-valued sense). Let, furthermore, $\mathcal{D}_\delta(X)$, $\delta \in (0, 1]$, be the class of all $u \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ such that $\max_{x \in X} u(x) \geq \delta$. Then the metric spaces $(\mathcal{D}(X), d_E)$ and $(\mathcal{D}_\delta(X), d_E)$, for $\delta \in (0, 1]$, where d_E stands for the endograph metric, each have the fixed point property, i.e. that any continuous mapping $f^*: \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$, resp. $f^*: \mathcal{D}_\delta(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\delta(X)$, $\delta \in (0, 1]$, has at least one fixed point.*

Our purpose here is three-fold:

E-mail addresses: jan.andres@upol.cz (J. Andres), miroslav.rypka01@upol.cz (M. Rypka).

¹ The first author was supported by the grant No. 14-06958S “Singularities and impulses in boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations” of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2017.10.001>

0165-0114/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

- (i) to extend [Theorem 1](#), for $\mathcal{D}(X)$, that a basic space X need not be compact, provided the mapping f in X inducing f^* is compact,²
- (ii) to improve [Theorem 1](#), for $\mathcal{D}(X)$, in the sense that, under the assumptions related to (i) and the existence of an accumulation point of X , there always exists a non-ejective fuzzy fixed point,
- (iii) to apply our generalized and improved fixed point theorem to non-ejective fuzzy fractals.

Each of the above purposes requires at least a brief explanation. Nevertheless, before making it, we must clarify the usage of an appropriate metric in $\mathcal{D}(X)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}_\delta(X)$, $\delta \in (0, 1]$, in [Theorem 1](#), in order to avoid a possible misunderstanding. In [1], the authors speak rather incorrectly about the sendograph metric which was relevantly criticised and supplied by a counter-example in [2]. On the other hand, they used in fact the correct endograph metric. Therefore, despite the wrong name, their result is reliable.

Because of a desired application of [Theorem 1](#) and its generalization presented in this paper, to fuzzy fractals, it will be advantageous to show that the (compact) maps f in the basic space X inducing $f^*: \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$ can be multivalued (see [Lemma 2](#) below). Furthermore, the required existence of an accumulation point of X in (ii) can be omitted (see [Section 5](#)), when proceeding alternatively without the usage of the Browder-type fixed point theorems from [4] and [5]. [Theorem 1](#) is a nice example of a topological (Schauder-like) fixed point theorem. That is also why we call the fractals obtained in this way, i.e. via topological fixed point theorems, as *topological³ (fuzzy) fractals* (cf. [8,9]). All the fuzzy fractals determined by means of fixed points were received up to now exclusively in the frame of a metric (Banach-like) fixed point theory (see e.g. [10–13], and the references therein). Therefore, the application of theorems like [Theorem 1](#) is a novelty in the theory of fuzzy fractals.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. A metric space (X, d) has the *fixed point property* if any continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ has at least one fixed point.

Let us also recall the notions of an absolute retract and the Hilbert cube.

Definition 2. Let X be a metric space and $A \subset X$ be its closed subset. We say that A is a *retract* of X if there is a continuous function $r: X \rightarrow A$ such that r restricted to A is the identity. A metric space X is called an *absolute retract* (AR) if it is a retract of every metrizable space Y containing X as a closed subset.

Definition 3. Let $Q = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} [0, 1]$ be equipped with the metric d_Q , where

$$d_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x_i - y_i|}{2^i}.$$

Any homeomorphic image of the metric space (Q, d_Q) is called the *Hilbert cube*.

Proposition 1. (see e.g. [14,15]) *Every compact absolute retract has the fixed point property. In particular, a homeomorphic image of the Hilbert cube has the fixed point property.*

In [Section 5](#), we will also use the following notion of contractibility.

Definition 4. We say that a nonempty set $A \subset X$ of a metric space (X, d) is *contractible* if there exist a point $x_0 \in A$ and homotopy $h: A \times [0, 1] \rightarrow A$ such that $h(x, 0) = x$ and $h(x, 1) = x_0$, for every $x \in A$.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We denote by $\mathbb{C}(X)$ and $\mathbb{K}(X)$ the spaces of nonempty, closed subsets and nonempty, compact subsets of X , respectively. Both these spaces are, as usually, equipped with the Hausdorff metric, i.e.

² This can be easily shown by means of the statements, e.g. in [2, [Property 3.4.2](#)] and [3], to be equivalent with a fuzzified f^* being compact.

³ Unlike e.g. in [6,7], where the authors understand by topological fractals those obtained via the Edelstein metric fixed point theorem, up to homeomorphisms.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10150959>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10150959>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)