ARTICLE IN PRESS

Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev



Review

- Neural correlates of dysfunctional emotion regulation in major
- depressive disorder. A systematic review of neuroimaging studies
- 4 Q1 Maria M. Rive a,*, Geeske van Rooijen a, Dick J. Veltman b, Mary L. .Phillips c,d,
 5 Aart H. Schene a. Henricus G. Ruhé a,e
- ^a PA3-221, Program for Mood Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, PO Box 22660, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ^b Department of Psychiatry, VU University medical center, PO Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ^c Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 3471 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
- d Department of Psychological Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
 - e Program for Mood and Anxiety Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The
- 12 Netherlands

13

15

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 March 2013

Received in revised form 25 June 2013

Accepted 27 July 2013

Keywords:

- Emotion regulation
- 23 Voluntary emotion regulation
- 24 Automatic emotion regulation
- 25 Affective neuroscience
- 26 Functional magnetic resonance imaging
 - Major depressive disorder

ABSTRACT

Abnormal emotion processing is a core feature of major depressive disorder (MDD). Since the emergence of functional neuroimaging techniques, many studies have been conducted in MDD subjects to elucidate the underlying abnormalities in the neural systems involved in emotion regulation. In this systematic review, we discuss this research in the context of the neural model of emotion regulation previously described by Phillips et al. (2008). This model differentiates between automatic and voluntary emotion regulation subprocesses. Automatic regulation subprocesses were shown to involve predominantly medial prefrontal cortical structures, in addition to the hippocampus and parahippocampus, while voluntary regulation processes additionally recruited lateral prefrontal cortical regions. In conclusion, although the available data is limited, findings suggest that MDD subjects demonstrate abnormally reduced activity in lateral prefrontal cortices during explicit voluntary control of emotional experience. During early, automatic stages of emotion regulation, on the other hand, MDD subjects appear to achieve successful emotion regulation by recruiting additional lateral prefrontal neural regions, that may be mediated by medial prefrontal, especially rostral/dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus (ACG) functioning. Dysfunctional automatic regulation may impair successful voluntary emotion regulation, and may present a target for novel therapeutic approaches in MDD.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Contents

29	1.	Introduction					
30	2.	Mater	Materials and methods.				
31		2.1.	Search:	strategy and study selection.	00		
32				traction and synthesis.			
33	3.	Results					
34		3.1.	Behavio	ral control	00		
35			3.1.1.	Automatic behavioral control (Table 1A).	00		
36				Voluntary behavioral control (Table 1B)			
37		3.2. Attentional control					
38			3.2.1.	Automatic attentional control (Table 2A)	00		
39				Voluntary attentional control (Table 2B).			

Abbreviations: ACG, anterior cingulate gyrus; ant, anterior; BA, Brodmann area; dACG, dorsal ACG; DLPFC, dorsolateral PFC; DMN, default mode network; DMPFC, dorsomedial PFC; LPFC, lateral PFC; MOFC, medial OFC; MPFC, medial PFC; OFC, orbitofrontal cortex; PCG, posterior cingulate gyrus; PFC, prefrontal cortex; rACG, rostral ACG; sgACG, subgenual ACG; TPN, task positive network; vACG, ventral ACG; VLPFC, ventrolateral PFC; VMPFC, ventromedial PFC; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

0149-7634/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.07.018

Please cite this article in press as: Rive, M.M., et al., Neural correlates of dysfunctional emotion regulation in major depressive disorder. A systematic review of neuroimaging studies. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.07.018

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 20 891 3698; fax: +31 20 891 3701. E-mail address: M.M.Rive@AMC.UvA.NL (M.M. Rive).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M.M. Rive et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

	3.3.	Cognitive control		00			
	5.5.	3.3.1.					
			Automatic cognitive control (Table 3A and S4A).	00			
		3.3.2.	Voluntary cognitive control (Table 3B)				
4.	Discussion						
	4.1. Abnormalities in MDD during automatic and voluntary emotion regulation						
	4.2. Comparison with BD						
	4.3.	Methodological issues					
		4.3.1.	Sample sizes	00			
		4.3.2.	Clinical factors: medication use, comorbidity, depression severity and clinical heterogeneity	00			
		4.3.3.	Task design	00			
		4.3.4.	Data analysis	00			
		4.3.5.	Limitations of the emotion regulation model	00			
	4.4.	Limitati	ions and future directions	00			
5.	Conclusion.						
	Discl	Disclosure					
	Confl	Conflict of interest					
	Acknowledgements						
	Appendix A. Supplementary data						
	Refer	ences		ΛΛ			

1. Introduction

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

Emotion dysregulation is one of the central features of major depressive disorder (MDD) (Gotlib and Joormann, 2010; Ochsner and Gross, 2007). Insight in this process will aid to better understand the pathophysiology of MDD, which is vital to improve treatment and prevention strategies. Phillips et al. (2003a, 2003b) developed a neural model of emotion regulation to study abnormalities in MDD, bipolar disorder (BD) and schizophrenia. This model distinguished a ventral and a dorsal system; the ventral system comprising the amygdala, insula, ventral striatum, ventral anterior cingulate gyrus (vACG), the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC)/medial orbitofrontal cortex (OFC); and the dorsal system, consisting of the hippocampus, dorsal ACG (dACG) and dorsal prefrontal cortex (PFC). The ventral system is thought to be involved in recognizing emotionally salient stimuli and generating an emotional state (i.e., bottom-up emotional influences), the dorsal system in voluntary regulation of these states (i.e., voluntary top-down control of emotions) (Phillips et al., 2003a, 2003b).

This model was updated in 2008 and used as a framework to further study neural circuitry supporting emotion regulation in BD (Phillips et al., 2008). A major adaptation was the integration with the Ochsner and Gross model, which distinguishes two different top-down cognitive control systems: the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (DMPFC) and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) for reappraisal of emotional contexts, and the ventral PFC for learning of associations between emotionally relevant outcomes and prior choices and events (Ochsner and Gross, 2005, 2007). Furthermore, it was recognized that emotion regulation can be effortful (voluntary) or proceed more or less automatically (Phillips et al., 2008), although it was acknowledged that voluntary and automatic regulatory subprocesses could operate simultaneously with appraisal and generation of emotion. Together, this led to the distinction of six psychological subprocesses of emotion regulation, defined by two factors: type of regulation strategy (behavioral, attentional and cognitive) and the manner in which the strategy is applied (automatically or voluntary) (Phillips et al., 2008).

This conceptual framework allowed for the characterization of the various tasks used in neuroimaging studies on emotion regulation in healthy control subjects (HC) according to the various emotion regulation subprocesses under study. Processes are considered *automatic* when emotional aspects of a given task can be assumed to exert their influence in an implicit way, for example because subjects are not aware of the emotional value of stimuli, or because the emotional meaning of a stimulus is not the explicit

focus of the task to be performed. The subject is thought to automatically engage in regulatory processes in order to be successful on the task. These automatic processes were shown to involve predominantly medial prefrontal cortical structures, including the ACG, the OFC and DMPFC, as well as the hippocampus and parahippocampus. *Voluntary* processes comprise effortful attempts to alter emotions of which the subject is consciously aware – for example because these are the focus of the task – and recruited lateral prefrontal cortical regions *in addition to* medial prefrontal cortical structures.

These two systems - automatic and voluntary - were conceptualized as operating in parallel and possibly simultaneously, regulating emotional responses emerging from the amygdala, ventral striatum and thalamus (Phillips et al., 2008). Specifically, in HC, automatic behavioral control strategies were found to be associated with medial prefrontal recruitment (subgenual ACG (sgACG) and VMPFC (Etkin et al., 2011; Merz et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2008); whereas voluntary behavioral control strategies involve ventrolateral PFC (VLPFC) in addition to medial prefrontal structures (dACG, and DMPFC and rostral ACG (rACG) (Phillips et al., 2008). Additionally, distancing from aversive pictures was associated with an increase in activity in the DLPFC (Kanske et al., 2011; Vrticka et al., 2011), the frontal pole (BA10) (Koenigsberg et al., 2010), the (inferior) parietal cortex (Kanske et al., 2011), and temporal regions (Kanske et al., 2011; Koenigsberg et al., 2010). Automatic attentional control strategies were found to recruit the (rostal) ACG (Amting et al., 2010; Phillips et al., 2008), in addition to the dACG, VMPFC, DMPFC, inferior parietal cortex, and insula (Kanske et al., 2011; Kompus et al., 2009; McRae et al., 2010); voluntary attentional control strategies were found to recruit the DLPFC, dACG and probably the right parietal cortex (Butler and James, 2010; Hart et al., 2010; Phillips et al., 2008). For automatic cognitive change strategies the hippocampus and parahippocampus were shown to be involved (Phillips et al., 2008), while voluntary cognitive change strategies recruited the right DLPFC and ventrolateral PFC (VLPFC), in addition to the ACG and DMPFC (Etkin et al., 2011; Kalisch, 2009; McRae et al., 2010; Phillips et al., 2008; Staudinger et al., 2009). For the sake of consistency, we will further use the term cognitive control instead of cognitive change.

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

110

112

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

The above-described new dual model has been used as a framework for describing altered neural functioning during emotion regulation in bipolar disorder (Phillips et al., 2008). However, this model has not yet been applied to the study of emotion regulation circuitry in MDD. Therefore, in this systematic review we will integrate the existing neuroimaging literature on emotion regulation in MDD within the theoretical framework of the six emotion

Please cite this article in press as: Rive, M.M., et al., Neural correlates of dysfunctional emotion regulation in major depressive disorder. A systematic review of neuroimaging studies. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.07.018

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10461681

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10461681

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>