



## Delivery of episomal vectors into primary cells by means of commercial transfection reagents



Na Rae Han <sup>a</sup>, Hyun Lee <sup>a</sup>, Song Baek <sup>a</sup>, Jung Im Yun <sup>b</sup>, Kyu Hyun Park <sup>a,b</sup>,  
Seung Tae Lee <sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Animal Life Science, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 200-701, South Korea

<sup>b</sup> Division of Animal Resource Science, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 200-701, South Korea

<sup>c</sup> Division of Applied Animal Science, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 200-701, South Korea

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 19 March 2015

Available online 15 April 2015

#### Keywords:

Transfection reagent

Episomal vector

Electroporation

Delivery

Primary cells

### ABSTRACT

Although episomal vectors are commonly transported into cells by electroporation, a number of electroporation-derived problems have led to the search for alternative transfection protocols, such as the use of transfection reagents, which are inexpensive and easy to handle. Polyplex-mediated transport of episomal vectors into the cytoplasm has been conducted successfully in immortalized cell lines, but no report exists of successful transfection of primary cells using this method. Accordingly, we sought to optimize the conditions for polyplex-mediated transfection for effective delivery of episomal vectors into the cytoplasm of primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts. Episomal vectors were complexed with the commercially available transfection reagents Lipofectamine 2000, FuGENE HD and jetPEI. The ratio of transfection reagent to episomal vectors was varied, and the subsequent transfection efficiency and cytotoxicity of the complexes were analyzed using flow cytometry and trypan blue exclusion assay, respectively. No cytotoxicity and the highest transfection yield were observed when the ratio of transfection reagent to episomal vector was 4 (v/wt) in the cases of Lipofectamine 2000 and FuGENE HD, and 2 in the case of jetPEI. Of the three transfection reagents tested, jetPEI showed the highest transfection efficiency without any cytotoxicity. Thus, we confirmed that the transfection reagent jetPEI could be used to effectively deliver episomal vectors into primary cells without electroporation.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Various gene delivery systems have been developed to introduce useful genes into cells. Virus-mediated gene delivery systems are generally preferred because of their high transfection efficiency and simple mechanism of infection. However, viral vectors are randomly integrated into host genomes [1–3], and unexpected integrations may cause abnormal immunogenic response [4–6] and mutagenesis [7,8]. Accordingly, non-integrating transfection systems are desired to overcome such problems.

One non-integrating transfection system is the oriP/EBNA1 episomal vector, based on the Epstein–Barr virus nuclear antigen 1.

Being an episomal vector, it undergoes one replication per cell cycle and is segregated into daughter cells without any integration into the cell genome [9–12], thus making it possible to isolate the vector from target cells through culturing alone, without any drug selection [13,14]. For this reason, the vector has been widely used for gene therapy [15] and gene expression in mammalian cells [16].

Generally, the transportation of episomal vectors into the cytoplasm of target cells is done via electroporation, which uses electric pulses to create transient pores in a plasma membrane, through which transgenes may directly enter the cytoplasm. A major advantage of this method is its versatility, as the parameters of electroporation can be effectively optimized for the type [17] and size [18] of molecule to be taken up, the type of cell [19], its status in the cell cycle [20], etc. Thus, electroporation has been used effectively for gene delivery both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [21,22]. However, significant drawbacks of the method include the expense of the equipment [23], potential cell death [24], imbalance of cell homeostasis [25], and potentially permanent permeabilization of the plasma membrane [26].

\* Corresponding author. Laboratory of Stem Cell Biomodulation, Department of Animal Life Science and Division of Applied Animal Science, Kangwon National University, Dongsangdae 2-#105-1, Chuncheon 200-701, South Korea. Fax: +82 33 244 8906.

E-mail address: [stlee76@kangwon.ac.kr](mailto:stlee76@kangwon.ac.kr) (S.T. Lee).

To overcome these problems, episomal vectors have been complexed with transfection reagents to facilitate transport of the vectors into the cytoplasm without need of electroporation. While this method was successful in cancerous cell lines [10,27], there are no reports of successful delivery into primary cells obtained from fresh tissue. Therefore, in this study we have identified some potential commercial transfection reagents—which are inexpensive, involve simple handling, and show low toxicity and immunogenicity—for transport of episomal vectors into primary cells, and we evaluated their cytotoxicity and transfection efficiency.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Animals

Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were obtained from the fetuses of a 13.5-day pregnant ICR mouse (DBL, Eumseong, Korea). All housing and handling of animals and the experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Kangwon National University (IACUC approval No. KW-140904-1). The procedures were carried out in accordance with the Animal Care and Use Guidelines of Kangwon National University.

### 2.2. Preparation of primary MEFs

The pregnant mouse was sacrificed by cervical dislocation, and the separated uteri were placed in a petri dish containing Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS; Welgene Inc., Daegu, Korea). The fetuses were isolated from the uteri and transferred to a petri dish containing fresh DPBS. To prevent contamination by other cell types, the heads, legs, tails, and diverse organs were dissected from the fetuses by insulin syringe under a stereomicroscope. The remaining fetal tissue was minced finely using a sterile razor blade, digested with 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Welgene) for 10 min at 37 °C in an incubator, and then filtered through a 70- $\mu$ m nylon mesh (SPL, Pocheon, Korea). Non-digested fibroblasts remaining on the mesh were discarded. The filtered and dissociated MEFs were washed twice in a basic medium composed of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Welgene), supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Welgene) and 1% (v/v) antibiotic-antimycotic (Welgene). The washed MEFs were then seeded on a 100-mm culture dish in the basic medium and incubated at 37 °C in humidified 95% air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After 24 h, any buoyant MEFs were discarded by washing with DPBS, and the basic medium over the attached MEFs was refreshed. Thereafter, the basic medium was replaced every two days. When 90% confluence was reached, the cells were dissociated from the culture dish using 0.05% trypsin-EDTA and frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub> until use.

### 2.3. Construction of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-encoding episomal vector

A transcript of EGFP from pEGFP-N1 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) was synthesized using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with a forward primer containing an NheI site (5' GCTAGC ATGCTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG 3') and a reverse primer containing a BamHI site (5' GGATCC GGCTGATTATGATCTAGAGTCGCGG 3') under the following conditions: 5 min at 94 °C for initial denaturation, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 1 min at 62 °C, 30 s at 72 °C, and 10 min at 72 °C for the final extension. The amplified products were fractionated by electrophoresis on an agarose gel and extracted using the FavorPrep™ GEL/PCR Purification Mini Kit (Favorgen Biotech Co., Ping-Tung, Taiwan). The extracted DNA was then ligated into TA vectors (RBC, New Taipei city, Taiwan).

Transformation of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) DH5 $\alpha$  (Enzymomics, Daejeon, Korea), using the EGFP-inserted TA vectors, was conducted in Difco™ LB AGAR (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) for 16 h at 37 °C, and the transformed colonies were propagated in Difco™ LB Broth (Becton, Dickinson and Co.) for 16 h at 37 °C. EGFP-inserted TA vectors were extracted from competent cells using the FavorPrep™ Plasmid Extraction Mini Kit (Favorgen Biotech Co.) and digested by NheI and BamHI to separate EGFP fragments of 762 bp. The EGFP fragments were then ligated, using T4 ligase (Enzymomics), into the episomal vector pEB-c5 (Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA), which had been cut by NheI and BamHI. The resulting EGFP-encoding episomal vector of 11 kb was then used for the following transfection experiments.

### 2.4. Preparation of transfection reagent/episomal vector complexes

Complexes of the EGFP-encoding episomal vector with various transfection reagents were formed following the reagent manufacturers' protocols. Briefly, 1, 2 and 4  $\mu$ l of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or FuGENE HD (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) were diluted separately in 50  $\mu$ l of Opti-MEM reduced serum medium (Gibco Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA), and then each solution was mixed gently with an equal volume of the same medium containing 1- $\mu$ g EGFP-encoding episomal vector. Similarly, 1, 2 and 4  $\mu$ l of jetPEI (Polyplus, Illkirch, France) were diluted separately in 50  $\mu$ l of 150 mM NaCl, and then mixed gently with an equal volume of 150 mM NaCl containing 1  $\mu$ g EGFP-encoding episomal vector. Thus, solutions were obtained containing 1/1, 2/1 and 4/1 (v/wt) ratios of transfection reagent to EGFP-encoding episomal vector. After 25 min of complexation time, the complexes were used to transfect the cells, as described below.

### 2.5. Transfection protocol

A 24-well plate was seeded with  $1 \times 10^5$  MEFs and cultured in basic medium. After reaching 80–90% confluence, the cells were rinsed with DPBS, and medium was replaced with 400  $\mu$ l of Opti-MEM (Gibco Invitrogen). Then, 100  $\mu$ l of each of the above complex solutions were added to individual wells, overlaying the MEFs in Opti-MEM. After incubation for 6 h at 37 °C in humidified air, 700  $\mu$ l of basic medium were added to each well, and the transfected MEFs were cultured for an additional 18 h at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in humidified air.

### 2.6. Measurement of cytotoxicity and transfection efficiency

At 24 h post-transfection, the transfected MEFs were dissociated with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA and suspended in DPBS. Cytotoxicity was measured using a trypan blue exclusion assay. Briefly, 10  $\mu$ l of the cell suspension in DPBS were mixed with 10  $\mu$ l of 0.4% (wt/v) trypan blue solution (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), loaded into a hemocytometer, and examined immediately under a microscope. Cytotoxicity was calculated as the percentage of cells that were unstained. To measure the transfection efficiency, the transfected MEFs were transferred to a flow cytometry tube, and the EGFP-positive cells were detected using FACSCalibur (Becton, Dickinson and Co.). Data analysis was performed using BD CellQuest Pro software (Becton, Dickinson and Co.).

### 2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of all numerical data was performed using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NY, USA). The differences between effects and among groups were compared using a general linear model procedure, followed by a

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10751438>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10751438>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)