



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

SSM -Population Health

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ssmph](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ssmph)

## Short Report

## Does multilingualism affect the incidence of Alzheimer's disease?: A worldwide analysis by country

Raymond M. Klein<sup>a,\*</sup>, John Christie<sup>a</sup>, Mikael Parkvall<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Dalhousie University, Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 4R2<sup>b</sup> Stockholm University, Department of Linguistics, Sweden

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 14 March 2016

Received in revised form

25 May 2016

Accepted 9 June 2016

## Keywords:

Bilingualism

Alzheimer's disease

Dementia

Brain reserve

## ABSTRACT

It has been suggested that the cognitive requirements associated with bi- and multilingual processing provide a form of mental exercise that, through increases in cognitive reserve and brain fitness, may delay the symptoms of cognitive failure associated with Alzheimer's disease and other forms of dementia. We collected data on a country-by-country basis that might shed light on this suggestion. Using the best available evidence we could find, the somewhat mixed results we obtained provide tentative support for the protective benefits of multilingualism against cognitive decline. But more importantly, this study exposes a critical issue, which is the need for more comprehensive and more appropriate data on the subject.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

An exciting idea that has emerged in contemporary cognitive and clinical neuroscience is the possibility that certain everyday activities may provide some protection against assaults to the central nervous system. Whether in the form of head injuries, stroke, disease, or normal aging processes, a ubiquitous consequence of these assaults is a decline in cognitive function. The rationale for this protection against cognitive decline is based on the overlapping concepts of cognitive reserve and brain fitness (e.g., see Stern, 2002). Some activities exercise the mind's organ—that is, the brain—in such a way that it increases the brain's fitness. Consequently, when this organ is assaulted, its increased fitness allows it to better overcome, or compensate for, the ensuing damage. Activities that have been proposed to generate cognitive reserve and increase brain fitness include attending formal schooling (Stern et al., 1994), playing a musical instrument (Hanna-Pladdy & MacKay, 2011), playing certain video-games (Bavelier, Green, Pouget, & Schrater, 2012), and being bilingual (Bialystok, Craik, & Freedman, 2007). The potential benefits of these activities have been shown to be considerable. For example in a thorough meta-analytic review, Valenzuela & Sachdev (2006) concluded that higher levels of education, occupational complexity, and regular engagement in mentally stimulating leisure activities are associated with a 50% reduction in the incidence of dementia. In the same vein, our focus here is to explore the association between one form of assault to the mind, senile dementia caused

primarily by Alzheimer's disease, and one form of mental exercise, multilingualism.

It is widely assumed that multilingual individuals (bilinguals inclusive) must regularly monitor their environment for cues to determine which language should be active for the purposes of production and comprehension. They must then select the appropriate language and inhibit the unwanted ones accordingly. Monitoring, selecting, and inhibiting are cognitive processes subsumed under the umbrella of executive functions. Under the assumption that these executive functions receive greater exercise in the bilingual mind than in the monolingual mind, it has been proposed that bilingualism affords advantages in executive cognitive control that generalize beyond the linguistic domain, particularly when exposure to multiple linguistic contexts occurs early on in cognitive development (e.g., Bialystok et al., 2004; but see Paap and Greenberg (2013), for some arguments to the contrary). Such advantages in performance have been frequently reported using non-linguistic tasks of executive function. However, the landscape of published evidence<sup>1</sup> for a bilingual advantage is, at best, mixed (for reviews, see Adesope, Lavin, Thompson, & Ungerleider, 2010; Hilchey & Klein, 2011; Hilchey, Saint-Aubin, & Klein, 2015; Klein, 2015; Paap, Johnson, & Sawi, 2015; Valian, 2015).

Similarly, the evidence suggesting an association between bilingualism and a delay in the onset of Alzheimer's disease (AD)

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ray.klein@dal.ca](mailto:ray.klein@dal.ca) (R.M. Klein).

<sup>1</sup> The corpus of published work on this topic has recently been characterized as biased in favour of reporting bilingual advantages (de Bruin, Treccani, & Della Sala, 2014)

and other forms of dementia is also mixed. In the first study exploring this relation, [Bialystok et al. \(2007\)](#) divided a sample of 184 patients with dementia (most of whom were diagnosed with probable AD) into two roughly equal groups of lifelong bilinguals and monolinguals. They found that the age at which these patients first reported symptoms of dementia was 71.4 for the monolinguals and 75.4 for the bilinguals (formal diagnoses of dementia were 3–4 years later for both groups). In a follow-up study, [Craik, Bialystok, and Freedman \(2010\)](#) found an even larger delay (5.1 years) in symptom onset in the bilingual sample. Whereas other publications offer some support for this pattern (e.g., [Alladi et al., 2013](#); for a review see [Freedman et al., 2014](#)), they often include qualifications. For example, [Chertkow et al. \(2010\)](#) did not find a protective advantage of bilingualism when analyzing their entire sample, but they did find one when they restricted their sample to immigrants to Canada. At the same time, they found an advantage in individuals who spoke 4 or more languages in their overall sample. [Gollan, Salmon, Montoya, and Galasko \(2011\)](#) also found a beneficial effect of bilingualism, but this effect was restricted to a less-educated sub-group of the full sample. On the other hand, large scale studies by [Crane et al. \(2009, 2010\)](#); [Sanders, Hall, Katz, and Lipton \(2012\)](#); [Yeung, St. John, Menec and Tyas \(2014\)](#) and [Zahodne, Schofield, Farrell, Stern, and Manly \(2013\)](#) have reported null results. In a comprehensive review of bilingualism and cognition, [Valian \(2015\)](#) points out that this disagreement has a methodological parallel: all of the studies that found positive evidence were retrospective while those reporting null results were prospective. Importantly, the retrospective studies in question suffer from what Valian refers to as the complement class problem: “Many individuals with cognitive difficulties never appear at a memory clinic and their characteristics are unknown; similarly, individuals without cognitive difficulties seldom appear at a memory clinic. Those individuals comprise the complement class. We only know the size and composition of the class that has visited the clinic, a class that may or may not be representative of the general population.” Consequently, it is generally agreed that the prospective methodology, which follows a relatively unselected, random sample over time, is superior (which lends support to the idea of a null relation between bilingualism and the onset of dementia).

### The question

This report was inspired by a comment made at the end of the paper by [Craik et al. \(2010\)](#). After summarizing the findings from their retrospective study that bilingualism was associated with a delay in the onset of dementia, they concluded that “[t]he effects of this factor on the prevalence of AD in countries with high rates of bilingualism remain to be assessed”. Rephrased as a question, would country-by-country data on these two variables provide evidence for or against the proposition that multilingualism may delay the onset of symptoms of dementia? Conversely, does being monolingual serve as a “risk factor” for earlier onset of dementia? Our purpose in the present study is to do the best job possible, given the data we could find, to answer this question.

### The approach

This paper is as much about how we need better data to generate a more confident answer to this question, as it is about answering it. Unfortunately, there is no consistent set of global data on either the degree of monolingualism or the age of onset of AD

symptoms per country. For present purposes, we decided to use what we believed were reasonable proxies for these two factors. A 5-year old unpublished manuscript by [Parkvall \(2009\)](#); (which can be obtained by e-mailing: [parkvall@ling.su.se](mailto:parkvall@ling.su.se)) provides his best estimate of the mean number of languages (m#L) spoken by the residents of a wide range of countries. This variable from Parkvall’s manuscript will serve as a proxy for the frequency of monolingualism (with which multilingualism must be inversely related). Data for the incidence of Alzheimer’s disease (iAD) was taken from an internet source that attributes the figures to a World Health Organization (WHO) report. This is not the same as the age of onset of symptoms. All other things being equal, however, on a country-by-country basis, a delay in the onset of AD will necessarily decrease the incidence of AD because more individuals will die before disease onset (or its detection). Of course, there are a variety of variables that might not be “equal” (life expectancy; health-care budgets, etc.). That noted, so long as the contributions of such factors are minimal or taken into consideration, then to the extent that the age of onset in a country is delayed because its residents are primarily multilingual, the reported incidence should also be lower. Thus, iAD will serve as a proxy for age of onset (with which iAD must be inversely related). The Craik et al. proposal that multilingualism delays the onset of the symptoms of dementia predicts that the correlation between these two measures should be negative.

### Methods

Data on the mean number of languages spoken by the average resident of each country under investigation were taken from [Parkvall \(2009\)](#). Parkvall derived his data from (1) answers to a relevant question asked in each country’s respective census (n=16); (2) semi-official surveys carried out in 2000 and 2002 on the member countries of the European Union (n=23); (3) figures derived from questionnaire data reported in the extant literature (n=18); (4) the 2001 New Zealand census, which included relevant statistics on persons born abroad<sup>2</sup> (n=30); (5) [www.afrobarometer.org](http://www.afrobarometer.org) (2008; n=9); and (6) a combination of these and other sources (n=19). Among the many issues addressed by [Parkvall \(2009\)](#) measuring proficiency was a principal concern. For practical reasons, he decided to accept whatever definition of “speaking language X” the surveys from which he derived his figures had used.

Data on the incidence of Alzheimer’s disease (death rate from AD/100,000) were taken from the website <http://web.archive.org/web/20150323104104/http://www.worldlifeexpectancy.com/cause-of-death/alzheimers-dementia/by-country/> which, in turn, attributed the data to a 2011 WHO report. In addition to these two key factors, data on the population of each country, wealth (gross national income, or GNI) per capita, percentage of government funds spent on health care, percentage of adult literacy, and national life expectancy were taken from the United Nations databases (found in statistical tables #1 and #9 of the UNICEF report “The State of the World’s Children 2009”; <http://web.archive.org/web/20150323103737/http://www.unicef.org/sowc09/statistics/tables.php>).

Before presenting our results it is worth noting, particularly in light of the different conclusions that have been reached so far by group studies using retrospective and prospective methods, that

<sup>2</sup> [Parkvall \(2009\)](#) noted that these data were indirect and that immigrants to New Zealand might not be representative of their home countries. He adds, however, that “...for several of these immigrant groups, there is data for their respective countries of origin, and the immigrants to New Zealand provide, if not a perfect, at least a good match (the margin of error being 30%, only in extreme cases, and usually considerably less).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1092352>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1092352>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)