





European Journal of Combinatorics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc

Two extremal problems on intersecting families

Hao Huang

Department of Math and CS, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 May 2018 Accepted 28 August 2018

ABSTRACT

In this short note, we address two problems in extremal set theory regarding intersecting families. The first problem is a question posed by Kupavskii: is it true that given two disjoint cross-intersecting families $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset {[n] \choose k}$, they must satisfy min $\{|\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}|\} \leq \frac{1}{2}{n-1 \choose k-1}$? We give an affirmative answer for $n \geq 2k^2$, and construct families showing that this range is essentially the best one could hope for, up to a constant factor. The second problem is a conjecture of Frankl. It states that for $n \geq 3k$, the maximum diversity of an intersecting family $\mathcal{F} \subset {[n] \choose k}$ is equal to ${n-3 \choose k-2}$. We are able to find a construction beating the conjecture of Kupavskii.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Disjoint cross-intersecting families

One of the most famous results in extremal set theory is the Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem [2]: for $n \ge 2k$, an intersecting family $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ has size at most ${\binom{n-1}{k-1}}$. The Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem has many analogues and generalizations. One particularly interesting generalization is by considering two families instead of one. We say two families \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are *cross-intersecting*, if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}, A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Pyber [16] showed that when n is large in k, l, for $\mathcal{A} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}, \mathcal{B} \subset {\binom{[n]}{l}}$, we have $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \le {\binom{n-1}{k-1}}{\binom{n-1}{l-1}}$. Later the same inequality for a precise range $n \ge 2 \max\{k, l\}$ was established by Matsumoto and Tokushige [15]. The Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem follows immediately by setting k = l and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$.

Recently Kupavskii [12] asked the following question: given two cross-intersecting families A and B that are disjoint, is it true that

$$\min\{|\mathcal{A}|,|\mathcal{B}|\} \le \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-1}{k-1}?$$

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2018.08.009



European Journal of Combinatorics

E-mail address: hao.huang@emory.edu.

^{0195-6698/© 2018} Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

This bound, if true, is clearly tight. This is because we can always split the extremal example in Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem, i.e. a 1-star S, into two subfamilies S_1 , S_2 as evenly as possible. Then $\mathcal{A} = S_1$ and $\mathcal{B} = S_2$ are cross-intersecting and disjoint, and each has about $\frac{1}{2} \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ subsets. In this section, we give a positive answer to this question for n quadratic in k.

Theorem 1.1. For integers $k \ge 2$ and $n \ge 2k^2$, given two disjoint cross-intersecting families $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset {[n] \choose k}$, we have

$$\min\{|\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}|\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

As a warm-up, first we show that when *n* is at least cubic in *k*, this statement is true. Consider a pair of disjoint crossing-intersecting families A and B of *k*-sets of [n]. If both A and B are intersecting, then $A \cup B$ is also intersecting, by the Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem, for $n \ge 2k$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \le {\binom{n-1}{k-1}},$$

and thus we have the desired inequality

$$\min\{|\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}|\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Now suppose at least one of A and B is not intersecting, without loss of generality we may assume that A is not intersecting, then there exists $A_1, A_2 \in A$, such that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. Now the number of sets that intersect with both A_1 and A_2 provides an upper bound for |B|, which is at most

$$k^{2}\binom{n-2}{k-2} = \frac{k^{2}(k-1)}{(n-1)}\binom{n-1}{k-1} < \frac{1}{2}\binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

when $n \ge 2k^3$.

Next we will improve the range to $n \ge 2k^2$. The main tool used in this proof is the technique of shifting, which allows us to limit our attention to sets with certain structure. In this section we will only state and prove some relevant results. For more background on the applications of shifting in extremal set theory, we refer the reader to the survey [3] by Frankl.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For k = 2, the families A and B can be viewed as two *n*-vertex graphs. When $n \ge 2k^2 = 8$, $\frac{1}{2}\binom{n-1}{k-1} \ge 3$. Assume $|A|, |B| \ge 4$. If they are both intersecting, then the previous discussion gives the conclusion. Otherwise suppose A is not intersecting, then it contains two disjoint edges e and f. B can only contain at most 4 edges intersecting both e and f. However if all four edges are present, then $|A| \le 2$. This settles the k = 2 case.

Now we may assume that $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 2k^2$, suppose there exist disjoint cross-intersecting families $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset {n \choose k}$ such that

$$\min\{|\mathcal{A}|,|\mathcal{B}|\} > \frac{1}{2}\binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

We will prove the following statement: given positive integers $k, l \ge 3$, and $n \ge k + l$, suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}, \mathcal{B} \subset {\binom{[n]}{l}}$ are cross-intersecting. If $|\mathcal{A}| > \max\{k, l\} {\binom{n-2}{k-2}}$ and $|\mathcal{B}| > \max\{k, l\} {\binom{n-2}{l-2}}$, then there exists some element x contained in every subset of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , i.e., $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is *trivial*. Assuming this claim, if there exists x such that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are subfamilies of the 1-star centered at x, then $|\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}| \le {\binom{n-1}{k-1}}$, and Theorem 1.1 follows from the disjointness of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . Otherwise, either $|\mathcal{A}|$ or $|\mathcal{B}|$ has to be strictly smaller than

$$k\binom{n-2}{k-2} = k \cdot \frac{k-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1} \le \frac{1}{2}\binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

for $n \ge 2k^2$, which also proves Theorem 1.1.

Assume $k \leq l$. We prove by contradiction, suppose A and B satisfy the size condition, and $A \cup B$ is non-trivial, then both A and B must be non-trivial. Otherwise suppose A is trivial with center a,

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11017677

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11017677

Daneshyari.com