

# Accepted Manuscript

Does improved information improve incentives?

Pierre Chaigneau, Alex Edmans, Daniel Gottlieb

PII: S0304-405X(18)30126-0  
DOI: [10.1016/j.jfineco.2018.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2018.05.002)  
Reference: FINEC 2897

To appear in: *Journal of Financial Economics*

Received date: 20 June 2017  
Revised date: 23 July 2017  
Accepted date: 27 July 2017

Please cite this article as: Pierre Chaigneau, Alex Edmans, Daniel Gottlieb, Does improved information improve incentives?, *Journal of Financial Economics* (2018), doi: [10.1016/j.jfineco.2018.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2018.05.002)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## Does improved information improve incentives?\*

Pierre Chaigneau<sup>†</sup>    Alex Edmans<sup>‡</sup>    Daniel Gottlieb<sup>§</sup>

May 21, 2018

**Abstract**

This paper studies the value of more precise signals on agent performance in an optimal contracting model with endogenous effort. With limited liability, the agent's wage is increasing in output only if output exceeds a threshold, else it is zero regardless of output. If the threshold is sufficiently high, the agent only beats it, and is rewarded for increasing output through greater effort, if there is a high noise realization. Thus, a fall in output volatility reduces effort incentives—information and effort are substitutes—offsetting the standard effect that improved information lowers the cost of compensation. We derive conditions relating the incentive effect to the underlying parameters of the agency problem.

Keywords: executive compensation, limited liability, options, risk management, relative performance evaluation.

JEL Classification: D86, G32, G34, J33.

---

\*We thank an anonymous referee and the editor (Toni Whited) for excellent suggestions that substantially improved the paper. We also thank Will Cong, Francesca Cornelli, Jean de Bettignies, Ohad Kadan, Andrey Malenko, Dmitry Orlov and seminar/conference participants at the AFA, BI Conference on Corporate Governance, Queen's University, Risk Theory Society, Washington University Conference on Corporate Finance, and Wharton for helpful comments, and Shiyong Dong for excellent research assistance. This paper was previously circulated under the title “The Value of Information For Contracting.”

<sup>†</sup>Smith School of Business at Queen's University, 143 Union Street West, Kingston ON K7L 2P3, Canada. HEC Montréal, 3000 Chemin de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine, Montréal, QC H3T 2A7, Canada.

<sup>‡</sup>London Business School, Regent's Park, London NW1 4SA, UK. Centre for Economic Policy Research, London EC1V 0DX, UK. European Corporate Governance Institute, Rue Ducale 1, 1000 Bruxelles, Belgium. Corresponding author at London Business School, Regent's Park, London NW1 4SA, UK. E-mail address: aedmans@london.edu (A.Edmans).

<sup>§</sup>Olin Business School, Washington University, Campus Box 1133, One Brookings Drive, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11020473>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11020473>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)