# Planar graphs are 9/2-colorable 

Daniel W. Cranston ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Landon Rabern ${ }^{\text {b }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, United States<br>b LBD Data Solutions, Lancaster, PA, United States

## A R T I C L E I N F O

## Article history:

Received 19 January 2015
Available online xxxx

## Keywords:

4 Color Theorem
Coloring
Planar
Homomorphism
Fractional coloring

A B S T R A C T

We show that every planar graph $G$ has a 2-fold 9-coloring. In particular, this implies that $G$ has fractional chromatic number at most $\frac{9}{2}$. This is the first proof (independent of the 4 Color Theorem) that there exists a constant $k<5$ such that every planar $G$ has fractional chromatic number at most $k$.
© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, loopless, and simple (parallel edges are forbidden). To fractionally color a graph $G$, we assign to each independent set in $G$ a nonnegative weight, such that for each vertex $v$ the sum of the weights on the independent sets containing $v$ is 1 . A graph $G$ is fractionally $k$-colorable if $G$ has such an assignment of weights where the sum of the weights is at most $k$. The minimum $k$ such that $G$ is fractionally $k$-colorable is its fractional chromatic number, denoted $\chi_{f}(G)$. (If we restrict the weight on each independent set to be either 0 or 1 , then we return to the standard definition of chromatic number.) In 1997, Scheinerman and Ullman [13, p. 75] succinctly described the state of the art for fractionally coloring planar graphs. Not much has changed since then.

[^0]The fractional analogue of the four-color theorem is the assertion that the maximum value of $\chi_{f}(G)$ over all planar graphs $G$ is 4 . That this maximum is no more than 4 follows from the four-color theorem itself, while the example of $K_{4}$ shows that it is no less than 4. Given that the proof of the four-color theorem is so difficult, one might ask whether it is possible to prove an interesting upper bound for this maximum without appeal to the four-color theorem. Certainly $\chi_{f}(G) \leq 5$ for any planar $G$, because $\chi(G) \leq 5$, a result whose proof is elementary. But what about a simple proof of, say, $\chi_{f}(G) \leq \frac{9}{2}$ for all planar G? The only result in this direction is in a 1973 paper of Hilton, Rado, and Scott [7] that predates the proof of the four-color theorem; they prove $\chi_{f}(G)<5$ for any planar graph G, although they are not able to find any constant $c<5$ with $\chi_{f}(G)<c$ for all planar graphs $G$. This may be the first appearance in print of the invariant $\chi_{f}$.

In Section 2, we give exactly what Scheinerman and Ullman asked for-a simple proof that $\chi_{f}(G) \leq \frac{9}{2}$ for every planar graph $G$. In fact, this result is an immediate corollary of a stronger statement in our main theorem. Before we can express it precisely, we need another definition. A $k$-fold $\ell$-coloring of a graph $G$ assigns to each vertex a set of $k$ colors, such that adjacent vertices receive disjoint sets, and the union of all sets has size at most $\ell$. If $G$ has a $k$-fold $\ell$-coloring, then $\chi_{f}(G) \leq \frac{\ell}{k}$. To see this, consider the $\ell$ independent sets induced by the color classes; assign to each of these sets the weight $\frac{1}{k}$. Now we can state the theorem.

Main Theorem. Every planar graph $G$ has a 2-fold 9-coloring. In particular, $\chi_{f}(G) \leq \frac{9}{2}$.
In an intuitive sense, the Main Theorem sits somewhere between the 4 Color Theorem and the 5 Color Theorem. It is certainly implied by the former, but it does not immediately imply the latter. The Kneser graph $K_{n: k}$ has as its vertices the $k$-element subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and two vertices are adjacent if their corresponding sets are disjoint. Saying that a graph $G$ has a 2 -fold 9 -coloring is equivalent to saying that it has a homomorphism to the Kneser graph $K_{9: 2}$. To claim that a coloring result for planar graphs is between the 4 and 5 Color Theorems, we would like to show that every planar graph $G$ has a homomorphism to a graph $H$, such that $H$ has clique number 4 and chromatic number 5 . (Since $K_{4}$ can map into $H$, we know that $H$ has clique number at least 4. And clique number less than 5 means our result is something more than just the 5 Color Theorem. The fact that $H$ has chromatic number 5 means that our result implies the 5 Color Theorem.) Unfortunately, $K_{9: 2}$ is not such a graph. It is easy to see that $\omega\left(K_{n: k}\right)=\lfloor n / k\rfloor$; so $\omega\left(K_{9: 2}\right)=4$, as desired. However, Lovász [9] showed that $\chi\left(K_{n: k}\right)=n-2 k+2$; thus $\chi\left(K_{9: 2}\right)=9-2(2)+2=7$. Fortunately, we can easily overcome this problem.

The categorical product (or universal product) of graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, denoted $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ is defined as follows. Let $V\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)=\left\{(u, v) \mid u \in V\left(G_{1}\right)\right.$ and $\left.v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$; now $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is adjacent to $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ if $u_{1} u_{2} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $v_{1} v_{2} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$. Let $H=K_{5} \times K_{9: 2}$. It is well-known [6] that if a graph $G$ has a homomorphism to each of graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$,
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