Accepted Manuscript

Revisiting the foundations of dominant-strategy mechanisms

Yi-Chun Chen, Jiangtao Li

 PII:
 S0022-0531(18)30628-8

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2018.10.001

 Reference:
 YJETH 4830

To appear in: Journal of Economic Theory

Received date:28 July 2016Revised date:29 August 2018Accepted date:1 October 2018

Please cite this article in press as: Chen, Y.-C., Li, J. Revisiting the foundations of dominant-strategy mechanisms. J. Econ. Theory (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2018.10.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Revisiting the Foundations of Dominant-Strategy Mechanisms^{*}

Yi-Chun Chen^{\dagger} Jiangtao Li^{\ddagger}

October 5, 2018

Abstract

An important question in mechanism design is whether there is any theoretical foundation for the use of dominant-strategy mechanisms. This paper studies the maxmin and Bayesian foundations of dominant-strategy mechanisms in general social choice environments with quasi-linear preferences and private values. We propose a condition called the uniform shortest-path tree that, under regularity, ensures the foundations of dominant-strategy mechanisms. This exposes the underlying logic of the existence of such foundations in the single-unit auction setting, and extends the argument to cases where it was hitherto unknown. To prove this result, we adopt the linear programming approach to mechanism design. In settings in which the uniform shortest-path tree condition is violated, maxmin/ Bayesian foundations might not exist. We illustrate this by two examples: bilateral trade with ex ante unidentified traders and auction with type-dependent outside option.

JEL Classification: D82, D86

Keywords: mechanism design; robust mechanism design; dominant-strategy mechanisms; maxmin foundation; linear programming; duality approach

*We are indebted to Jeff Ely for bringing us to this topic and Stephen Morris for encouragement. We thank Rakesh Vohra for stimulating discussions and detailed comments that substantially improved the paper. We further wish to thank Tilman Börgers, Gabriel Carroll, Kim-Sau Chung, Takashi Kunimoto, Satoru Takahashi, Takuro Yamashita, and many seminar audiences for helpful comments. We are grateful to the Associate Editor and anonymous referees for the comments and suggestions. Part of this paper was written while Li was visiting the University of Michigan and also when Li was employed at the University of New South Wales, and he would like to thank these institutions for hospitality and support. We acknowledge the financial support from the Singapore Ministry of Education Academic Research Fund Tier 1. All remaining errors are our own.

[†]Department of Economics, National University of Singapore, ecsycc@nus.edu.sg [‡]School of Economics, Singapore Management University, jasonli1017@gmail.com Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11032305

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11032305

Daneshyari.com