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#### Abstract

Let $\xi$ be a discrete random variable (r.v.) with uniform distribution on the support set $\{0,1, \ldots, N\}$. We study the problem of construction of non-degenerate independent r.v.'s $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ such that $\xi=\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}$, if these r.v.'s exist. We describe a general form for the solutions to this problem, offer some analytic constructions and develop algorithms for computing the distributions of $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\xi$ be a discrete r.v. with uniform distribution on the support set $\{0,1, \ldots, N\}$, where $N>1$ is given. We investigate the problem of existence of non-degenerate independent r.v.'s $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\xi_{1}+\xi_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and develop general schemes for construction of these r.v.'s. In Section 2, we reformulate the problem in terms of convolutions of vectors, introduce generating functions and roots of unity. In Section 3, we prove our main theorem which says that the r.v.'s $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ must have uniform distributions on specific sets of integers. In Section 4, we develop several schemes for analytic and numerical construction of the distributions of the r.v.'s $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$, which are expressed in terms of these specific sets of integers. In particular, we shall establish a connection between our main problem and the problem of ordered factorization of integers into primes. Our motivation for studying the problem is given in Section 2.2.

For simplicity of notation, all vectors in this paper are rows (rather than columns).

## 2. Reformulation of the main problem

### 2.1. Vectors and their convolutions

If $F, F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ denote the distribution functions of $\xi, \xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$, respectively, then (1) is equivalent to $F=F_{1} \star F_{2}$, where $\star$ denotes the convolution of distribution functions.

[^0]If $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ satisfying (1) exist, then they have to be supported on the sets of integers $\{0,1, \ldots, L\}$ and $\{0,1, \ldots, K\}$ for some integral $L$ and $K=N-L$; otherwise, we get an easy contradiction.

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{l}=\mathrm{P}\left\{\xi_{1}=l\right\}, \quad r_{k}=\mathrm{P}\left\{\xi_{2}=k\right\} ; \quad l=0,1, \ldots, L ; k=0,1, \ldots, K . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These numbers obviously satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{l} \geq 0(l=0,1, \ldots, L), \quad \sum_{l=0}^{L} q_{l}=1 ; \quad r_{k} \geq 0(k=0,1, \ldots, K), \quad \sum_{k=0}^{K} r_{k}=1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of numbers (2), the relation $F=F_{1} \star F_{2}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N+1}=\sum_{l=\max \{0, n-K\}}^{\min \{n, L\}} q_{l} r_{n-l} \text { for all } n=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. The numbers (2) satisfying (3) and (4) exist if and only if there exist nonnegative numbers $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{L}$ and $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{K}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\sum_{l=\max \{0, n-K\}}^{\min \{n, L\}} a_{l} b_{n-l} \text { for all } n=0,1, \ldots, N . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) If the numbers (3) satisfying (4) exist, then we can simply set $a_{l}=(N+1) q_{l}(l=0,1, \ldots, L)$ and $b_{k}=r_{k}(k=$ $0,1, \ldots, K)$.
(ii) Assume that there exist nonnegative numbers $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{L}$ and $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{K}$ satisfying (5). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=\sum_{l=0}^{L} a_{l}, \quad n_{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{K} b_{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{l=\max \{0, n-K\}}^{\min \{n, L\}} a_{l} b_{n-l}=\sum_{l=0}^{L} a_{l} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{K} b_{k},
$$

it follows from (5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1} n_{2}=N+1 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $q_{l}=a_{l} / n_{1}$ for all $l=0,1, \ldots, L$ and $r_{k}=b_{k} / n_{2}$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots, K$. Then $q_{l}$ and $r_{k}$ satisfy (3) and (4). The proof is complete.

Consider the sets of numbers $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{L}$ and $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{K}$ from Lemma 1 . Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{L}\right) \in \mathrm{R}_{+}^{L+1}, \quad \mathbb{B}=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{K}\right) \in \mathrm{R}_{+}^{K+1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{C}_{N}=(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathrm{R}^{N+1}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for any $M$ we denote by $\mathrm{R}_{+}^{M}$ the set of row-vectors of size $M$ with nonnegative components. Then the relation (5) is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A} \star \mathbb{B}=\mathbb{C}_{N} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now formalize the main problem as follows.
The problem. For given $N>1$ and $0 \leq L \leq N$, establish the existence of vectors $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{L+1}$ and $\mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N-L+1}$ so that the relation (9) holds and, if such vectors exist, construct them.

Note that this problem is more difficult than the problem stated in the abstract as it requires deconvoluting the uniform distribution for any given $N$ and $L$, whereas in the abstract only $N$ was assumed to be fixed.

It follows from (9) that $a_{0} b_{0}=1$. The validity of (9) for some vectors $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ is equivalent to the validity of $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}} * \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}=\mathbb{C}_{N}$ with $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=c \mathbb{A}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}=\mathbb{B} / c$, for any $c>0$. We choose $\mathbb{A}$ so that $a_{0}=1$ (the equation $a_{0} b_{0}=1$ does not allow $a_{0}$ to be zero). Then $a_{0} b_{0}=1$ yields $b_{0}=1$. Summarizing this paragraph, without loss of generality we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=b_{0}=1 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$
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