#### Minerals Engineering 86 (2016) 24-33

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Minerals Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mineng

## Characterization of the microstructure of mechanically-activated olivine using X-ray diffraction pattern analysis

### Iiajie Li\*, Michael Hitch

Norman B, Keevil Institute of Mining Engineering, University of British Columbia, 517-6350 Stores Road, Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1Z4, Canada

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 August 2015 Revised 29 October 2015 Accepted 25 November 2015 Available online 1 December 2015

Keywords: Olivine X-ray diffraction Microstructure Mechanical activation

#### ABSTRACT

During mechanical activation, disorder in the crystal structure of olivine has been recognized as one of the most important factors for enhancing the reaction rate of downstream carbonation processes. This paper investigates the detailed microstructure of olivine after mechanical activation in a SPEX 8000 mill using the X-ray diffraction technique. In order to successfully extract information from the microstructure using X-ray diffraction patterns, various models were applied and compared, including the Scherrer method, Williamson-Hall method, Multiple Whole Profile fitting method, and Rietveld method. Results show that with 120 min of milling, the media particle size initially decreased dramatically, and then increased slightly, the BET surface area and amorphization degree increased, the lattice was initially compressed and then expended, the crystallite sizes decreased, and strains increased. The strain value for 120-min mechanically-activated olivine, calculated by the Scherrer method, Williamson-Hall method, Rietveld method, and MWP method, was  $2.15 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $1.64 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $0.73 \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $0.28 \times 10^{-3}$ , respectively. tively. The Rietveld method was found to be the most accurate method and therefore most suitable method for characterizing the microstructure of olivine and mine waste materials containing olivine.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Mechanical activation by high intensity milling has been commonly used as an effective pretreatment method in various areas, such as mining, metallurgy and materials engineering. Mechanical activation is one of the three broad catalogues for grinding, the other two being coarse grinding and fine grinding (Boldyrev et al., 1996). In mineral processing, the aim of coarse and fine grinding is liberate the ore for downstream separation. Furthermore, fine grinding also used after mineral separation in order to give concentrates the right particle size distribution or a sufficiently high surface area. Whereas mechanical activation aims to enhance a reaction (Baláž, 2008). A level of mechanical activation can be achieved as the material is milled to the brittle-ductile transition range (Boldyrev et al., 1996). Beyond the integration of particles and the formation of new surfaces, mechanical activation alters the properties of a material (Tkáčová, 1989). Additional changes such as structural distortions are crucial in determining the reactivity of material. These distortions result in the storage of excess enthalpy, which lowers the activation energy of subsequent reactions (Boldyrev et al., 1996). The main advantages of mechanical activation include: (a) its simplicity as a process, which

can be completed over a single grinding stage; (b) its attractiveness as a more environmentally friendly process, as it does not use solvents, gas, etc.; (c) its ability to obtain metastable state products or nano-particles, which are hard (if not impossible) to achieve using traditional methods (Boldyrev, 2006). The only drawback to mechanical activation is its intensive energy consumption. However, when integrated as part of the total milling process, the operational energy consumption can be reduced to a practical level (Haug, 2010).

Olivine is an industrial mineral composed of an independent SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedral linked by divalent ions in 6-fold coordination (such as  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$ ), with a theoretical formula of (Mg, Fe)<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> (Klein et al., 1993). Traditionally, olivine is used for refractory material, slag conditioner, blasting sand and foundry sand. When the reactivity of olivine's surface is enhanced, it has many new applications, such as a neutralizer for sulphuric acid, a heavy metal adsorbent, a catalyst for decomposing toxic organic chemicals, a magnesium source for soil improvement in agriculture, and an additive in water treatment (Kleiv and Thornhill, 2006). Most recently, olivine, especially its magnesium end-member forsterite, is recognized as one of the most promising feedstock in CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration by mineral carbonation.

In recent years, mechanical activation on olivine has been a popular object of study, and specifically the importance of crystal structure changes for downstream reactions (Fabian et al., 2010;







-	
4	5

Nomenciature			
Α	the degree of amorphization	m	the median of the log normal crystallite size distribution
A,	the cosine Fourier coefficients of the peak profile		calculated by the MWP method
A <sup>S</sup>	$A_{\rm I}$ relates to the crystal size	n	the order of reflection $(n = 1, 2, 3)$
a, b, c	the lattice parameters	R	the residual value of the figure-of-merit function in
В	a parameter in the Williamson–Hall plots, where		Rietveld method
	$B = \frac{\beta_f \cos \theta}{2}$	R <sub>wp</sub>	the weighted profile residue in line profile fitting meth-
$B_0$	the background of the diffraction peak for a non-	·· F	od
	activated mineral and	$R_{e}^{*}$	the effective outer cut-off radius of dislocation
$B_X$	the background of the diffraction peak for the mechan-	$S_G^{r}$	granulometric surface area
	ically activated mineral	SBET	the BET surface area
b	the Burgers vector of dislocation	$t_M$	the time of mechanical activation
C	the average dislocation contrast factor	V	the volume of a lattice
D	the crystallite size	Wi	the coefficient in <i>R</i> , which is $1/y_i(obs)$
$D_V$	the volume weighted crystallite size	$W_N$	the specific milling energy input
d	the lattice spacing of an unstrained sample	$y_i(calc)$	the calculated intensity at <i>i</i> th step
$\Delta d$	the change in <i>d</i> at a strained status	$y_i(obs)$	the measured intensity at <i>i</i> th step
<i>d</i> <sub>10</sub>	10% passing size	β	the integral breadth of a XRD peak
d <sub>50</sub>	50% passing size	$\beta_{C}$	the Cauchy components of $\beta$
d <sub>90</sub>	90% passing size	$\beta_G$	the Gaussian components of $\beta$
$d_{\text{BET}}$	the BET size	$\beta_{SC}$	the Cauchy component of size-integral breadth
$d^*$	a parameter in the Williamson–Hall plots, where	$\beta_{SG}$	the Gaussian component of size-integral breadth
	$d^* = \frac{2 \sin \theta}{\lambda}$	$\beta_{DC}$	the Cauchy component of strain-integral breadth
FWHM	the full-width at half of the maximum height of a XRD	$\beta_{DG}$	the Gaussian component of strain-integral breadth
	peak	$\beta_S$	the total-size-integral breadth
g	the diffraction vector	$\beta_f$	the integral width of the sample
h, k, l	Miller indices of diffraction planes	$\beta_D$	the total-strain-integral breadth
$I_B$	the intensity of background	3	the strain, which is defined as $\varepsilon = \Delta d/d$
I <sub>max</sub>	the maximum height of a XRD peak	$\langle \mathcal{E}_{L}^{2} \rangle$	the mean square strain
$I_0$	the integral intensity of a diffraction peak for a non-	$\langle \mathcal{E}_L^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	the root mean square strain (RMSS)
	activated mineral	$\sigma$	the variance of the log normal crystallite size distribu-
$I_X$	the integral intensity of a diffraction peak for the		tion calculated by the MWP method
	mechanically activated mineral	$\theta$	the diffraction angle, and $2\theta$ is the peak position of XRD
K	a unit cell geometry-dependent constant in Scherrer		profile
	equation	λ	the wave length
L	the Fourier length	$\rho_{\perp}$	the average dislocation density
$L_0$	the edge length diameter of a crystallite	ho'	the density of olivine
MWP	multiple whole profile fitting method		
$M^{*}$	the arrangement of dislocations		

Haug, 2010; Kleiv and Thornhill, 2006; Turianicová and Baláz, 2008). Kleiv and Thornhill (2006) found that structural disordering of the olivine surface is largely responsible for the disproportionate increase in the reactivity of acid leaching. Similarly, Summers et al. (2004) found that dry grinding that caused structural damage improved reactivity by changing the diffusion rate in direct aqueous mineral carbonation. Atashin et al. (2015) suggested that the most desirable microstructure for  $CO_2$  sequestration is about 55 kJ/g milling energy input.

Nomonalatura

To quantify the structure changes X-ray diffraction patterns were widely applied in many studies. The crystal structure of olivine has been presented using several parameters from peak geometry, such as full width at half maximum (FHWM) (Kleiv and Thornhill, 2006; Summers et al., 2004), peak intensity (Rigopoulos et al., 2015), and integral area of peak (Baláž et al., 2008; Haug, 2010). Haug (2010) has found that the crystallinity calculation based on peak area of the XRD pattern demonstrated the best correlation with energy consumption. The main reason for this is that the crystallinity calculation contains all the factors influencing the shape of the XRD pattern, such as crystallite size, grain size of particles and amorphization materials. However, it is better to analyze the individual structural characteristics in order to have a better understanding of how mechanical energy

activates olivine. At present, little work has been done on accurate characterization of structural distortions caused by the mechanical activation of olivine, such as changes in crystallite size, microstrain, lattice parameter, and phase transformation.

The aim of the present study is the quantitative and qualitative characterization of microstructural changes in olivine in response to dry mechanical activation, based on X-ray diffraction pattern. Various models for microstructure characterization are discussed, including the Scherrer method, Williamson–Hall method, Multiple Whole Profile fitting and Rietveld method.

#### 2. Material and experimental

The olivine foundry sand (olivine) used in this study was purchased from Ward's Canada Limited, and was from the Twin Sisters ultramafic complex in northwest Washington State, USA. The chemical composition of olivine was analyzed using X-ray fluorescence (XRF) at Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd. The major oxides of olivine powder were 50.94% MgO, 40.7% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 8.39% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.68% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.15% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.09% CaO, 0.02% TiO<sub>2</sub>, and 0.12% MnO, and loss on ignition (LOI) was 0. X-ray powder diffraction analysis (XRPD) detected approximately 97.9% pure olivine, 0.2% lizardite, 1.5% chromite, and 0.4% quartz in the foundry sand. Normalization of Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/232807

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/232807

Daneshyari.com