



## Biparentally deserted offspring are viable in a species with intense sexual conflict over care



Ákos Pogány<sup>a,\*</sup>, András Kosztolányi<sup>b</sup>, Ádám Miklósi<sup>a</sup>, Jan Komdeur<sup>c</sup>, Tamás Székely<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Ethology, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>b</sup> Department of Ecology, Szent István University, Rottenbiller utca 50, H-1077 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>c</sup> Behavioural Ecology and Self-Organization, Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Studies, University of Groningen, 9700 CC Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biology and Biochemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, BA2 7AY Bath, United Kingdom

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 11 March 2015

Received in revised form 21 April 2015

Accepted 25 April 2015

Available online 28 April 2015

#### Keywords:

Sexual conflict

Cost of conflict

Biparental desertion

Offspring viability

Parental care

Penduline tit

### ABSTRACT

Desertion of clutch (or brood) by both parents often leads to breeding failure, since in vast majority of birds care by at least one parent is required for any young to fledge. Recent works in a highly polygamous passerine bird, the Eurasian penduline tit (*Remiz pendulinus*), suggest that biparental clutch desertion is due to intense sexual conflict over care. However, an alternative yet untested hypothesis for biparental desertion is low offspring viability so that the parents abandon the offspring that have poor prospect for survival. Here we test the latter hypothesis in a common garden experiment by comparing the viability of deserted and cared for eggs. We show that embryonic development does not differ between deserted and cared for eggs. Therefore, sexual conflict over care remains the best supported hypothesis for biparental clutch desertion in penduline tits. Our work points out that conflict over care is a potential – yet rarely considered – cause of biparental nest desertion, and a strong alternative for the traditional explanations of low offspring viability, human disturbance or deteriorating ambient environment. Apart from a handful of species, the intensity of sexual conflict has not been quantified, and we call for further studies to consider sexual conflict as a cause of nest desertion.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

One of the most thoroughly investigated instances of sexual conflict over care decisions is the remarkable breeding system of the Eurasian penduline tit (*Remiz pendulinus*). This small passerine bird is highly polygamous with each sex having up to six mates in a given breeding season (Persson and Öhrström, 1989). In accord, full care of eggs and young is provided by either the female (47% of  $N=534$  nests) or male alone (14%); the remaining substantial proportion of nests (39%) are biparentally deserted, dooming these breeding attempts to failure (Pogány et al., 2012). Such care patterns are consistent across five ecologically distant European populations studied to date (Persson and Öhrström, 1989; van Dijk et al., 2010a). Clutch desertion by one or both parents occurs during egg-laying, usually when the clutch contains 3–4 eggs (van Dijk et al., 2007a). In our previous works we reported intense sexual conflict

over parental care in this species, by showing that each sex may increase its reproductive success by deserting and searching for new mates, even though desertion is costly to the mate (Szentirmai et al., 2007; van Dijk et al., 2012 for a comprehensive reference on sexual conflict, see Parker (1979) and Arnqvist and Rowe (2005)). At a given clutch, the outcome of desertion by a parent depends on the mate's decision: offspring are produced only when the mate remains to care, whereas both sexes derive only costs (including time and energy spent on nest-building and egg-production) when the mate also deserts.

Three hypotheses may explain the high frequency of biparental clutch desertion in the penduline tit: sexual conflict over care, uncertain or low parentage, and low offspring viability. First, parents of both sexes can increase their own reproductive success by desertion (Szentirmai et al., 2007), and this strategy should be selected for in a highly polygamous species as long as the lifetime net benefit of care decisions including desertion is higher than caring uniformly. Second, extra-pair offspring may drive desertion (van Dijk et al., 2010b), because large fitness costs are associated with caring for unrelated young, i.e., those sired by extra-pair

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +36 1 3812179; fax: +36 1 3812180.  
E-mail address: [akos.pogany@ttk.elte.hu](mailto:akos.pogany@ttk.elte.hu) (Á. Pogány).

males, or laid by extra-pair females in the nest. Third, low offspring viability may influence biparental clutch desertion to avoid the fitness loss associated with caring for low-quality offspring instead of those with high survival and reproductive prospects. The latter hypothesis follows from the parental investment theory that predicts parental effort to be adjusted to the reproductive value of the current brood (Trivers, 1972). Reproductive value, however, involves two aspects of the current brood: the number and the quality of offspring produced (Fisher, 1930; Williams, 1966), hence we use ‘offspring viability’ to specify that we focus on offspring quality in this study.

To address the offspring viability hypothesis, here we test in a common garden experiment whether deserted and cared for eggs may have different viability. The aim of our study is to test an alternative explanation for biparental desertion in an organism that is frequently used to illustrate sexual conflict over care (Arnqvist and Rowe, 2005; Lessells, 2012; Székely, 2014). Our experiment, however, is important beyond penduline tits for experimentally comparing the viability of naturally deserted and cared for eggs. Low offspring viability is often cited as an explanation for biparental desertion in a wide range of species including seabirds, herons, shorebirds and passerines (Clutton-Brock, 1991; Székely et al., 1996). By comparing the viability and embryonic development between deserted and cared for eggs, we test two predictions: eggs from biparentally deserted nests (i) are less likely to initiate embryonic development than eggs from cared for nests, and (ii) are less likely to reach to an advanced developmental stage.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Ethics statement

Our research was carried out in the Danube delta (close to Crişan, Tulcea County, Romania, 45°10'N, 29°26'E) with permission from the Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve (reference: 4697/ARBDD/11.03.2010). Ethical guidelines of the association of the study of animal behavior and our home universities were headed. All below research procedures were approved by the Ethical Board of Eötvös Loránd University (reference: ELTE MÁB 02/2014).

### 2.2. Fieldwork

We monitored the breeding population every other day by searching for new nests, and checking the reproductive stage of established nests (see more details on fieldwork methodology in van Dijk et al. (2007b)). Penduline tits have sexually dimorphic plumage, so adults can be sexed reliably in the field (Pogány and Székely, 2007; Kingma et al., 2008). We trapped penduline tits either using mist nets and song playback before egg-laying (van Dijk et al., 2007b), or inside their nest during incubation by closing the entrance tube. Penduline tits were ringed with a numbered aluminium ring from the Romanian Ornithological Society and a unique combination of three color rings (A C Hughes, Middlesex, UK). Our study aimed to compare the viability of eggs from naturally deserted and cared for nests, and we carefully avoided disturbance-induced desertion in the sensitive period of egg-laying (cf. Valera et al., 1997). Therefore, not all parents were ringed at nests from which we collected eggs for the experiment (both parents ringed at 10.8% of nests, only the male or the female ringed at 35.1% of nests, and neither parents were ringed at 54.1% of  $N = 37$  nests, Supplementary Fig. 1). As the identity of several parents was unknown, and the species is highly polygamous, we may have sampled the same individuals more than once. We addressed this possible source of pseudo-replication statistically in a sensitivity analysis. Our results and conclusions did not change after taking into account the possibility of non-independence in our dataset (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Care type and reproductive stage were established by daily observations of attending parent(s), and recording clutch size daily during egg-laying (van Dijk et al., 2007a). Since male-only care is less frequent than female-only care (14% vs. 47%; Pogány et al., 2012; Persson and Öhrström, 1989), we compared biparentally deserted with female-only cared for eggs in this experiment. We collected 78 eggs from 37 nests (18 biparentally deserted and 19 female-only nests). From deserted nests, all eggs were collected (maximum four, with  $2.4 \pm 0.3$  (mean  $\pm$  SE) eggs per nest), whereas a maximum of two eggs (with  $1.8 \pm 0.1$  eggs per nest) were collected from cared for nests to avoid disturbance-induced nest desertion. Therefore, number of eggs collected per nest tended to be larger in deserted group than in cared for group (Welch two-sample  $t$ -test:  $t_{20,8} = 2.0$ ,  $P = 0.057$ ). Subsequent observations at cared for nests confirmed that the female remained to care following egg-collection at each of these nests. Deserted eggs were collected from inactive nests where no bird was seen at the nest for at least 20 min on two consecutive days (van Dijk et al., 2007a).  $2 \times 20$  min total observation time is sufficient to assign desertion by the male, the female or both parents in this species, as males and females appear within  $3.7 \pm 5.2$  min (mean  $\pm$  SD) and  $6.8 \pm 7.5$  min, (respectively) from start of observation at active nests (van Dijk et al., 2007a). In addition, none of the birds classified as ‘deserted’ based on this method returned to their nests afterwards during any of our field studies to date including this study (e.g., Pogány et al., 2012; van Dijk et al., 2007a, 2010a). Cared for eggs were collected during the course of egg-laying (on day four or five) after male desertion was confirmed (i.e., only the female was present at the nest during two consecutive checks). Therefore, the inactive period (time between the onset of incubation in the incubator and laying of the last egg in a given clutch) was different between deserted and cared for eggs ( $2.5 \pm 0.2$  days (mean  $\pm$  SE) vs. 0 days, respectively). Eggs are less likely to start embryonic development with increasing inactive period (cf. Arnold et al., 1987). The between-group difference, therefore, may have confounded our analysis of offspring viability and we controlled for the effect of inactive period in the statistical models (see below).

### 2.3. Incubation of eggs

Eggs were incubated in a purpose-designed incubator (Minimax Keltetőgépek, Vértesszőlős, Hungary), equipped with an automated egg-rotation mechanism. Eggs were marked with a permanent marker based on care type, and eggs from the same nest were placed in the incubator in groups. Temperature was kept automatically at  $36.8 \pm 0.1$  °C, whereas humidity was controlled manually at 60–70%. Humidity was measured two times daily from a digital humidity meter (Boneco 7054 Thermohigrometer, Plaston Group, Widnau, Switzerland) from inside the incubator, and we adjusted humidity by placing more (or fewer) water pots into the incubator to change the area of evaporating water surface. Because we had only one incubator in which new eggs were placed continually during the study, we kept constant temperature and humidity, as well as egg-rotation frequency, which are optimal for early and mid-development of embryos. This method, although optimized from experimental point of view, resulted in sub-optimal conditions during the last few days of embryonic development (cf. Gage and Duerr, 2007) and hence low hatching success.

Eggs were candled twice daily (between 0500 and 0600 h, EEST, and 1900–2000 h) using a LED light to monitor development. Signs of embryonic development becomes apparent by day four, therefore, if no embryo was observed by day six, the egg was removed from the incubator and was considered as not developing. For eggs that started to develop, either the date when embryo development ended or the date of hatching was recorded. In this study, we followed offspring development until hatching, and hatched young

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2426535>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2426535>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)