Construction and Building Materials 104 (2016) 230-234

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Construction and Building Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/conbuildmat

Performance properties of rubberized stone matrix asphalt mixtures produced through different processes



IS

Zhaoxing Xie^a, Junan Shen^{b,*}

^a Dept of Civil Engineering, Suzhou University of Science and Technology, 1701 Binhe Road, Suzhou 215011, China ^b Department of Civil Engineering and Construction Management, Georgia Southern University, 1332 Southern Drive, Statesboro, 30458 GA, USA

HIGHLIGHTS

• The introduction processes of CRM (dry process and wet process) may have effect on the high temperature dynamic modulus and rutting resistance, while no significant influence on the moisture susceptibility and fatigue life.

• The use of combination of SBS and CRM in the terminal SMA significantly improved the resistance to rutting and fatigue cracking.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 July 2015 Received in revised form 9 November 2015 Accepted 9 December 2015 Available online 15 December 2015

Keywords: Stone matrix asphalt Crumb rubber Dry process Wet process Terminal Performance

ABSTRACT

The study investigated the dynamic modulus, rutting resistance, moisture susceptibility and fatigue resistance of rubberized Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA) through laboratory performance tests. Rubberized SMA mixes were produced by three processes: the dry process, the wet process and the terminal in the laboratory. For comparison purposes, SMA mixtures containing styrene–butadiene–styrene (SBS) modified binder and virgin asphalt of PG 67-22 were also evaluated and compared to rubberized SMA. Dynamic modulus and direct tension fatigue tests were performed using the Asphalt Mixture Performance Tester (AMPT) system. Rutting resistance and moisture susceptibility were analyzed by Hamburg wheel tracking test using asphalt pavement analyzer (APA). The results showed that: (1) the incorporation of CRM improved the high temperature dynamic modulus, the resistance to rutting and fatigue life of SMA mixes. (2) The introduction processes of CRM (dry process and wet process) may have effect on the high temperature dynamic modulus and rutting resistance, while no significant influence on the moisture susceptibility and fatigue life. (3) The use of combination of SBS and CRM in the terminal SMA significantly improved the resistance to rutting and fatigue cracking. (4) The rubberized SMA with 10% CRM had the lower performance properties than SBS SMA, regardless of the dry process or the wet process.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Crumb rubber modifier (CRM) is introduced into asphalt mixtures by the three general processes: the dry process, the wet process and the terminal blend. In the typical dry process, CRM, generally considered to be an aggregate replacement in the mix rather than a binder additive, is mixed directly with aggregate in the drum at the mixture production plant. In the wet process, CRM, considered as the asphalt binder modifier, is field blended with asphalt binder in a mixing tank at high temperature (170–205 °C) for 45–60 min. For the terminal blend, CRM and

polymers (i.e. styrene–butadiene–styrene (SBS)) are used to produce the rubberized binders at a supplier's terminal, which can be shipped to the mixture production plant and stored in the plant's binder storage tanks, like SBS modified asphalt binder [1].

Different processes may result in the different performance properties of the rubberized mixtures. Rubberized mixtures in the wet process or at the terminal blend exhibited the similar or better performance properties compared to the control asphalt mixtures [2–7]. The dry process has the inconsistent field performance with service life varying from two to twenty years, depending on the CRM type and content as well as the construction method [8]. However, the dry process is easier and more economic for a manufacturer to produce the rubberized mix since it needs neither the mixing tanks nor the terminal equipment.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: zxie0011@gmail.com (Z. Xie), jshen@georgiasouthern.edu (J. Shen).

A modified dry process was used in Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA) in Georgia since 2008: smaller size (30 or 40 mesh) and lower content of CRM (about 10% mass of asphalt binder) as well as a cross-link agent (transpolyoctenamer (TOR) polymer) were used to produce rubberized SMA. The field performance showed that rubberized SMA pavement in this dry process exhibited good conditions after three years in service [9,10]. However, it is unclear whether the rubberized SMA in this dry process has the similar performance to the wet process and the terminal blend, although the dry process is more economic.

2. Objectives

Since the introduction processes of CRM into the asphalt mixes have significant effect on the performance properties of the rubberized mixes, it is necessary to investigate the performance differences of the three rubberized mixtures so that agencies can make a better choice on the process types that will perform best for their desired application. The objectives of the study are to investigate the performance properties of rubberized SMA mixes and to explore the influence of the introduction methods of CRM (the dry process and the wet process) on the performance characteristics of SMA mixes.

3. Scope

In this study, the three rubberized SMA mixes were produced by three processes: the dry process, the wet process and the terminal blend in the laboratory. For comparison purposes, SMA mixtures containing SBS modified binder and virgin asphalt of PG 67-22 were also used. Optimum asphalt contents (OAC) of the above five SMA mixtures were designed according to the specification of Georgia department of transportation (GDT 123). The performance properties of the five SMA mixes were evaluated in terms of dynamic modulus, rutting resistance, moisture susceptibility and fatigue resistance. Dynamic modulus and direct tension fatigue tests were performed using the Asphalt Mixture Performance Tester (AMPT) system. Rutting resistance and moisture susceptibility were analyzed by Hamburg wheel tracking test using asphalt pavement analyzer (APA).

4. Experimental

4.1. Materials and sample preparing

Five SMA mixtures were produced using five asphalt binders: virgin asphalt of PG 67-22 (noted as virgin SMA), rubberized binder in the dry process (noted as dry process SMA), rubberized binder in the wet process (noted as wet process SMA), terminal blend binder (noted as terminal SMA) and SBS modified binder (noted as sSBS SMA). –30 mesh ambient CRM at 10% of the weight of the asphalt binder was used in rubberized SMA pavement in Georgia. Based on the CRM engineering application in Georgia, the wet process rubberized binder was produced by mixing 10% –30 mesh ambient CRM with a virgin binder of PG 67-22 at 170 °C and 700 RMP for 45 min in the laboratory. The dry process binder used the same CRM and virgin binder, which were introduced into aggregates together with a cross-link agent – TOR polymer at 4.5% of the weight of the CRM.

To avoid excessive drain-down, cellulose fiber at 0.35% by the weight of the total mixture was added to all mixes. For anti-stripping purposes, hydrated lime at 1.0% by the weight of the total aggregate was used in all mixes. The gradation of 12.5-mm SMA showed in Table 1 were designed in accordance with Georgia's mix design procedure [11], and optimum asphalt content (OAC) of SMA mixtures were designed according to the specification of SMA design (GDT 123). Table 2 presents OAC of SMA mixtures.

Table 1

Aggregate gradation of SMA.

Sieve (in.)	3/4	1/2	3/8	No. 4	No. 8	No. 200	
Percentage passing (%)	100.0	90.7	61.7	27.0	17.8	10.0	

Table 2

Optimum asphalt content of SMA mixture.

SMA mix type	Virgin	Dry process	Wet process	Terminal	SBS
OAC (%)	6.3	6.3	6.9	6.4	6.3

Mixture specimens were prepared in the following ways. The loose mixtures were aged in a forced-draft oven for $2 h \pm 5$ min at a compacted temperature before compaction to simulate the short-aging during the mixing and construction, and then the aged loose mixtures were compacted by a Superpave gyratory compactor (SGC). The SGC compacted samples were then cored/cut to the specified sizes for the dynamic modulus test, the direct tension fatigue test and APA Hamburg wheel tracking test. The sample dimensions and the target air voids are presented in Table 3.

5. Test method

5.1. Dynamic modulus (E^*) test

Dynamic modulus tests were conducted to measure the linear viscoelastic (LVE) behavior of SMA mixtures. Dynamic modulus tests were performed in load-controlled and axial compression mode using AMPT. In this test, the strain amplitudes were controlled below 115 micro strains to ensure the specimen response was within a linear viscoelastic limit. Three replicate specimens at a target air void level were tested at three temperatures (4 °C, 20 °C, 45 °C) and four loading frequencies (0.01 Hz, 0.1 Hz, 1 Hz, 10 Hz) according to the AASHTO 13 TP79-12 requirement. Prior to *E** testing, the specimens were conditioned in an environmental chamber to reach the test temperature stipulated in AASHTO 13 TP79-12. Conditioning times for the *E** test at 4 °C, 20 °C, and 45 °C were 18 h, 3 h, and 3 h, respectively.

5.2. Direct tension fatigue test

The S-VECD model is based on the elastic-viscoelastic correspondence principle, the work potential theory, and the temperature-time superposition principle. The S-VECD direct tension fatigue tests were performed to characterize fatigue performance of SMA mixtures at 17 °C and a frequency of 10 Hz using an AMPT [12]. Three to four replicate specimens at a target air void were measured at three to four different strain amplitudes (high, medium and low) to produce a wide range of N_f (from 1000 to 100,000) [13]. Prior to the direct tension fatigue test, the samples were glued to two end platens using a steel epoxy and a special gluing jig was used to eliminate eccentricity, and then the specimens were conditioned in an environmental chamber for 3 h to reach the test temperature. The data from the direct tension fatigue test were analyzed by the simplified viscoelastic continuum damage (S-VECD) theory using the fatigue analysis software developed by Underwood and Kim and G^R (the rate of change of the averaged released pseudo strain energy values) failure criterion was used in the fatigue life prediction of SMA mixtures [12].

5.3. Hamburg wheel tracking test

The Hamburg wheel tracking test was conducted to investigate SMA's resistance to moisture damage and rutting using APA in

Table 3	
Sample dimensions and target air voids.	

Test type	$\text{Diameter} \times \text{height}$	Target air void
Dynamic modulus test	100 mm × 150 mm	5.0 ± 0.5%
Direct tension fatigue test	100 mm × 130 mm	5.0 ± 0.5%
Hamburg wheel tracking test	150 mm × 62 mm	7.0 ± 1.0%

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/256317

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/256317

Daneshyari.com