"IT'S A BURDEN YOU CARRY": DESCRIBING MORAL DISTRESS IN EMERGENCY NURSING

Authors: Lisa A. Wolf, PhD, RN, CEN, FAEN, Cydne Perhats, MPH, Altair M. Delao, MPH, Michael D. Moon, PhD, RN, CNS-CC, FAEN, Paul R. Clark, PhD, MA, RN, and Kathleen E. Zavotsky, PhD, RN, CEN, ACNS-BC, CCRN, Des Plaines, IL, San Antonio, TX, Louisville, KY, and New Brunswick, NJ

CE Earn Up to 9.0 CE Hours. See page 94.

Introduction: Moral distress in nursing has been studied in many settings, but there is a paucity of research on moral distress as it manifests in the emergency department. One study suggests a correlation between moral distress and aspects of burnout, and other researchers report that nurses have considered leaving their position or even their profession because of moral distress. Further exploration of these issues may provide insight into their effects on ED patient care and the emergency nursing profession. The purpose of this study was to explore the nature of moral distress as it is experienced and described by emergency nurses.

Methods: A qualitative, exploratory design was employed using semi-structured focus groups for data collection. Using an iterative process, transcripts were analyzed for emerging themes by the research team. Six researchers analyzed the transcripts using a thematic analysis approach.

Results: Themes from the data included dysfunctional practice arena, being overwhelmed, and adaptive/maladaptive coping. Participants described, overall, a profound feeling of not being able to provide patient care as they wanted to.

Discussion: Causes of moral distress in emergency nurses are environment driven, not incident driven, as is described in other settings, and include a high-acuity, high-demand, technical environment with insufficient resources. Interventions should be targeted to improve environmental factors that contribute to the moral distress of emergency nurses. Future research should focus on the development and validation of an instrument to measure moral distress in this setting.

Key words: Moral distress; Emergency department; Nursing; Qualitative

mergency nurses work in a predictably unpredictable environment of chaos, trauma, and high acuity.

Lisa A. Wolf, *Member, Pioneer Valley Chapter*, is Director, Institute for Emergency Nursing Research, Emergency Nurses Association, Des Plaines, IL.

Cydne Perhats is Senior Associate, Institute for Emergency Nursing Research, Emergency Nurses Association, Des Plaines, IL.

Altair M. Delao is Senior Associate, Institute for Emergency Nursing Research, Emergency Nurses Association, Des Plaines, IL.

Michael D. Moon, *Member, San Antonio Chapter*, is Associate Professor, University of the Incarnate Word, San Antonio, TX.

Paul R. Clark, *Member, Kentuckiana Chapter*, is Assistant Professor, University of Louisville School of Nursing, Louisville, KY, and System Educator, Norton Healthcare Institute for Nursing, Louisville, KY.

Kathleen E. Zavotsky, *Member, West Central New Jersey Chapter,* is Director, Nursing Research, Advanced Practice and Education, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, New Brunswick, NJ.

For correspondence, write: Lisa A. Wolf, PhD, RN, CEN, FAEN, Emergency Nurses Association, 915 Lee St, Des Plaines, IL 60016; E-mail: lwolf@ena.org. J Emerg Nurs 2016;42:37-46.

Available online 28 September 2015

Copyright © 2016 Emergency Nurses Association. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2015.08.008

Attributes of both individual nurses and the environment in which they practice can either challenge or facilitate resistance to moral distress. The concept of moral distress has been defined as a phenomenon in which one knows the right action to take but is constrained from taking it. 1 In 2001, Corley and colleagues² expanded this definition, describing moral distress as "the painful psychological disequilibrium that results from recognizing the ethicallyappropriate action, yet not taking it, because of such obstacles as lack of time, supervisory reluctance, an inhibiting medical power structure, institution policy, or legal considerations." Thus, moral distress may not be the internal struggle of an individual nurse but the conflict between nurses and the constraints of the environment in which they practice. Johnstone and Hutchinson³ suggest that the best term for this phenomenon may not be "moral distress" but rather "moral discordance," a suggestion that carries some merit and may frame future discussion.

Moral distress as it is currently understood in nursing has been studied in many settings, but there is a paucity of research on the nature and content of moral distress as it manifests in the emergency department.⁴ Because current

Theme	Study categories	Synthesis of findings from qualitative studies: 1995-2008 ^a
Challenges of the Emerg	ency Quality and Safety of Patient Care	Institutional Culpability
Care Environment	Safety and risks to staff and patients	Patient advocacy
	Compromised patient care/unmet needs	Tatient advocacy
	Inadequate/unsafe staffing	Patient Pain and Suffering
	Unnecessary pain and suffering	Devaluing patient wishes
	Lost art of nursing	Patient suffering
Patient Advocacy	Uses of Technology	Institutional Culpability
	Excessive documentation requirements	Adverse effects to the system
	Distraction from patient care	That else elisets to the system
	Unreliable equipment	
	Remote monitoring of patient flow	
	Conflicting Roles and Expectations	Institutional Culpability
	Competing demands/unrealistic expectations	Health care constraints
	Perceived role conflicts and hierarchy of decision make	xing
	Unsupportive managers and administrators	Unequal Power Hierarchies
	Workplace bullying	Conflicting professional goals and value
	Resource-poor setting	Unequal authority
	Overemphasis on tasks and metrics at the expense of patient care	
Being Overwhelmed	Time Pressures	Institutional Culpability
	Lack of time to manage competing demands Focus on time-based metrics	Health care constraints
	Patient Volume and Flow	Institutional Culpability
	High patient volume	Adverse effects to the system
	Systemic problems that impact the emergency departs (eg, lack of inpatient beds)	ment
	Frequent Users N/A	
	Inappropriate use of the emergency department	
	Poor care coordination	
	Discrimination/stigma	
Adaptive/Maladaptive Co		Human Reactivity
	Complacency/compassion fatigue	Emotional withdrawal
	Stress/burnout	Powerlessness
	Depression/despair	
	Depersonalization/withdrawal	
	Family problems	
	Desire to leave job	
	Physical Symptoms	Human Reactivity
	Chronic health problems	Biopsychosocial response
	Sleep disturbances/fatigue	
	Poor diet/eating disorders	37/4
	Coping Mechanisms (Constructive and Destructive)	N/A
	Self-medication including alcohol Self-protective behaviors	

VOLUME 42 • ISSUE 1 January 2016

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2609457

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2609457

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>