A LITERATURE REVIEW OF PEDIATRIC SPINAL MANIPULATION AND CHIROPRACTIC MANIPULATIVE THERAPY: EVALUATION OF CONSISTENT USE OF SAFETY TERMINOLOGY



Aurélie M. Marchand, DC, MScACPP

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study was to perform a literature search to identify relevant studies on pediatric spinal manipulation and chiropractic manipulative therapy and to assess if safety terminology was consistent with the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH).

Methods: A literature search was performed in PubMed using the following terms: *spinal manipulation pediatric*, *chiropractic safety pediatric*, and *manual therapy safety pediatric*. PubMed was searched from inception to April 2012 with no language limitations. The international standards included the terminology of the World Health Organization on side effects, adverse reactions, adverse events and the ICH guideline templates that were adapted for manual therapy for this study. **Results:** Of the 9 relevant articles identified in this study, 3 reported methodology for classifying safety incidents, and all 9 used safety terminology (adverse effects or adverse events). However, terminology was not used consistently. **Conclusion:** Most of the articles identified in this literature review did not use terminology consistent with the standards established by the ICH when reporting on safety incidents following pediatric spinal manipulation or chiropractic manipulative therapy. More efforts should be taken to include consistent terminology for studies of spinal manipulation and chiropractic manipulative therapy for children. (J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2015;38:692-698) **Key Indexing Terms:** *Chiropractic; Children; Pediatrics; Manual Therapy; Safety*

hiropractic care, including spinal manipulation, is commonly used in the management of pediatric spinal, musculoskeletal, and nonmusculoskeletal complaints. ¹⁻³ Conditions such as back pain have been shown to be prevalent in the pediatric population and leading to recurrence of episodes in adulthood. ^{2,4-6} Pediatric chiropractic research is currently emergent with descriptive studies including few observational studies and clinical trials looking at the effectiveness of chiropractic treatment for specific conditions. ² The safety of manual therapy as a treatment approach has also received increased attention in the last decade. ^{1,2,7} Several studies providing primary data on the occurrence of safety incidents after pediatric manual therapy have

used inconsistent safety terminology. ^{1,7-11} Despite the small amount of information currently available on this topic, 2 systems of classification for safety incidents have been proposed. ^{1,12,13}

Lack of consistency in reporting information on adverse reactions/events may lead to confusion regarding the type of safety incident occurring. Investigating and reporting safety incidents will ultimately improve the care provided to patients by allowing appropriate identification and management of known risks. 14 Therefore, understanding international standards in terminology, procedures, and requirements in medical patient safety such as those offered by the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) may offer better consistency. The use of internationally agreed terminology and reporting procedures should allow better intra- and interprofessional understanding and sharing of information on the safety of chiropractic pediatric care. Therefore, the purposes of this study were to perform a literature search to identify relevant studies on pediatric spinal manipulation and chiropractic manipulative therapy and to assess if safety terminology was consistent with categories suggested by the ICH.

Doctor of Chiropractic, Private Practice, Padova, Italy. Submit requests for reprints to: Aurélie M. Marchand, DC, MScACPP, Via Piastreto 1, 51011 Buggiano (PT), Italy (e-mail: aureliemarchand@hotmail.com).

Paper submitted December 27, 2011; in revised form May 2, 2012; accepted May 17, 2012.

0161-4754

Copyright © 2015 by National University of Health Sciences. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmpt.2012.07.009

 Table 1. The ICH E2B(R2) Guideline Adapted to Chiropractic Care for This Literature Review

Overall description	Detailed description
Identification information	Identification of patient (country, initials, or reference)
	Date of report transmission (declared to the collecting system)
	Type of report (spontaneous, report from study, other, unknown)
	Seriousness (serious: yes/no choice; if serious which type: death, life-threatening, inpatient hospitalization,
	persistent, or significant disability/incapacity (as per reporter's opinion); congenital anomaly/birth defect
	(applicable for treatment during pregnancy); other medically important condition
	Date of patient report (when patient reported a side effect)
	Additional documents held by the chiropractor (of relevance)
D	Report of nullification (report was found to be erroneous; reasons for nullification)
Primary source of information	Identification of reporter (chiropractor's initial, name, or code)
	Country from which the report is sent Qualification of reporter (chiropractors: BSc, MSc, MChiro, other specialties)
	Study identification (when appropriate)
	Information of the sender (collection system of information that would be used by authorities)
	Information of the series (concerns system of information and would be used by authorities)
Information on the case	Patient initials/patient record number (for suckling infants, parent information includes parent
	age information—date of birth or age, last menstrual period, weight (kg), height (cm), sex of
	parent, relevant medical history and concurrent conditions of parents, and relevant drug
	history of parents)
	Age information (date of birth, age at time of onset of reaction/event, patient age group
	[neonate, infant, child, adolescent, adult, or elderly])
	Weight (kg)—requirement of weight and height in manual treatments may not be as
	important as for drug administration
	Height (cm) Sex
	Last menstrual period (as appropriate)
	Relevant medical history and concurrent conditions
	Relevant drug history
	In cases of death: date of death, reported causes of death, autopsy: yes/no, autopsy-determined
	cause(s) of death
Reaction(s)/event(s)	Reaction/event as reported by patient (exact words)
	Reaction/event in MedDRA terminology (lowest level term)
	Reaction/event MedDRA term (preferred term)
	Terms highlighted by the reporter (Highlighted term [patient reported that reaction/event was
	a major concern or reason for reporting the case])
	1, yes (highlighted by reporter), not serious 2, no (not highlighted by reporter), not serious
	2, no (not highlighted by reporter), not serious 3, yes (highlighted by reporter), serious
	4, no (not highlighted by reporter), serious
	Date of start of reaction/event
	Date of end of reaction/event
	Duration of reaction/event
	Time intervals between suspect drug administration (in this case therapy by the chiropractor)
	and start of reaction/event
	Outcome of reaction/event at the time of last observation: recovered/resolved, recovering/
	resolving, not recovered/not resolved, recovered/resolved with sequelae, fatal, unknown
	Results of test and procedures relevant to the investigation of the patient (both positive
	and negative results)
Drug(s) information this section has	Results of tests and procedures relevant to the investigation Sex of chiropractor
Drug(s) information—this section has been adapted for manual procedure(s)	Technique used
been adapted for manual procedure(s)	Force, speed, and amplitude of thrust (where applicable)
	Anatomical area treated (specific joint(s) or tissue(s))
	Initial presentation of the patient (indications for treatment)
	Date of start of treatment
	Time interval between treatment and start of reaction/event
	Date of last treatment
	Duration of treatment
	Actions taken: stopped treatment, decreased treatment, no change in
	treatment, modification considered, unknown, not applicable
	Effect rechallenge for suspected procedure: occurrence of reaction/event after readministration
	Relatedness of treatment to reaction(s)/event(s)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2620501

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2620501

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>