



# Robotic implementation of the slide method for measurement of the thermal emissivity of building elements



Fabio Pini, Chiara Ferrari, Antonio Libbra, Francesco Leali, Alberto Muscio\*

Department of Engineering "Enzo Ferrari", University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, via Vivarelli 10, Modena, 41125, Italy

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 29 April 2015

Received in revised form 13 July 2015

Accepted 16 July 2015

Available online 20 July 2015

### Keywords:

Emissivity

Thermal emittance

Infrared emittance

Infrared radiation

Cool roof

Measurement

Emissometer

Slide method

## ABSTRACT

A significant interest exists in measuring the thermal emissivity of building surfaces since high values combined with high solar reflectance allow rejecting solar energy absorbed by irradiated surfaces, whereas intermediate or low values permit to limit condensation of humidity, heat loss to the sky, or heat transfer through airspaces. The most used measurement method is probably that described by the ASTM C1371 Standard, which correlates the thermal emissivity to the radiative heat flux exchanged in the infrared between the sample surface, kept at ambient temperature, and the bottom surface of a hot emissometer head. With samples showing a low thermal conductivity, the 'slide method' modification is generally used: the hot head is allowed to slide above the sample in order to prevent this from warming up. The slide movement, however, is carried out by hand and time is needed to achieve a stabilized output, therefore the measurement may be time-consuming and also affected by the operator. In order to solve both problems, an automated approach is proposed here, in which the head is moved by the arm of a robot. This manages either the slide movement or the calibration with reference samples, interacting with a computerized data acquisition system that monitors the emissometer output.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Thermal emissivity, or thermal emittance, or infrared emittance, is a surface property that represents the ratio of radiant energy emitted in the infrared by a surface and the maximum theoretical emission at the same temperature. It ranges from 0 to 1 or 100%. Measuring the thermal emissivity raises significant interest in the construction sector since a proper choice of its value permits to control the temperature of building surfaces, or heat transfer through such surfaces. It is well known that high values of thermal emissivity allow rejecting solar energy absorbed by irradiated opaque surfaces [1] since in low wind conditions heat transfer to the external environment by infrared radiation is higher than heat transfer with the air by convection. In fact, the performance of opaque building elements in terms of control of solar gains is often expressed through the Solar Reflectance Index (SRI), a parameter defined by the ASTM E1980 Standard [2] that combines thermal emissivity with solar reflectance, i.e. the surface property representing the fraction of incident solar radiation that is reflected. High values of the SRI, resulting from high values of both solar reflectance and

thermal emissivity, are required for solar reflective cool roofing materials, aimed at limiting solar gains through opaque building elements and, consequently, overheating or both single buildings and entire urban areas. In this regard, solar reflectance is the key parameter, but a low thermal emissivity may affect strongly re-emission of the absorbed solar energy and, therefore, the SRI. This is the case of metal surfaces, which can overheat as much as black roofing materials [3–6]. On the other hand, thermal emissivity values lower than those of common non-metallic materials may limit heat loss toward the sky during nighttime or affect the time of humidity condensation [7,8], and they can be desired in case one aims at effects such as limiting excessive cooling and condensation on building surfaces during nighttime. Very low values of thermal emissivity are also exploited to build radiant barriers, including advanced insulation systems such as the so-called multi-reflective radiant barriers [9], aimed at limiting heat transfer by infrared radiation through roofs, air spaces, or wall air gaps.

In order to assess the energy performance of buildings, thermal emissivity of building surfaces is a parameter that must be known. For an accurate performance assessment, it must be known by measurement. In this regard, several measurement methods are available (see [10] for a review focused on the construction sector, and also [11]), but most methods can be used only in the laboratory, often on small specimens of pure material, therefore they are of low practical usefulness in the construction industry. Only

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 059 2056194.

E-mail address: [alberto.muscio@unimore.it](mailto:alberto.muscio@unimore.it) (A. Muscio).

URL: <http://www.eelab.unimore.it> (A. Muscio).

two methods seem available for emissivity measurement on actual building elements, usable either in the laboratory or on field. These are described in the ASTM C1371 Standard Test Method [12] and the EN 15976 Standard [13]. ASTM C1371 is probably the most used one, endorsed for performance assessment of solar reflective materials by both the Cool Roof Rating Council of the U.S.A. [14] and the European Cool Roof Council [15] (the latter however allows also EN 15976 after having tested it in an inter-laboratory comparison [16]).

In the authors' knowledge, only one instrument compliant with ASTM C1371 is commercially available, the Devices and Services AE/RD1 Emissometer. This measures the total hemispherical emissivity of the sample through the following relationship [17]):

$$\Delta V = k \frac{\sigma_0 (T_d^4 - T^4)}{1/\varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon_d - 1} \equiv f(\varepsilon) \quad (1)$$

In the above formula, the voltage signal  $\Delta V$  [V] returned by a thermopile sensor embedded in the instrument head is proportional by a calibration constant  $k$  to the radiative heat flux exchanged between the sample surface and the bottom surface of the head. The first surface has thermal emissivity  $\varepsilon$  unknown and absolute thermodynamic temperature stabilized at a value  $T$  [K] as close as possible to the ambient one,  $T_a$  [K]; the second surface has known thermal emissivity  $\varepsilon_d$  and absolute thermodynamic temperature stabilized at an assigned value  $T_d$  [K], significantly higher than that of the analyzed surface or the ambient ( $T_d > T \cong T_a$ ). The calibration constant  $k$  multiplies the heat flux exchanged by thermal radiation between the two surfaces, which are assumed to be flat, parallel, virtually infinite and facing each other, as well as gray and diffusive. The emissometer is calibrated before each test by measuring two reference samples with known emissivity, respectively equal to 0.05 and 0.88 in the experiments described here. The samples were provided by the producer of the emissometer, which ensures linearity of the instrument, that is of the correlation between  $\Delta V$  and  $\varepsilon$  in the last equality of Eq. (1), and uncertainty  $\pm 0.01$  in the range  $0.03 \leq \varepsilon \leq 0.93$ . The instrument measures something between normal and hemispherical emissivity, nonetheless it was shown to yield the hemispherical emissivity when that of the two reference samples is interpolated [18,19]. While it is a quite simple device, it is largely used in the scientific community and the industry, and studies have been made for its improvement [20,21].

If the sample shows a non-negligible resistance to heat transfer, due to a low thermal conductivity of the support material, the heat input applied by the emissometer head to the measured surface causes a thermal gradient across the thickness of the sample itself. As a result, the temperature  $T$  of the measured surface rises to a value significantly higher than that of the ambient air,  $T_a$ . In this case, the actual value of thermal emissivity can be recovered by using one among the modifications of the standard method suggested by the producer of the emissometer. The most used one is the so-called 'slide method' [22–24], in which the head of the emissometer is allowed to slide above the measured surface in order to prevent the sample from warming up. The sliding operation is carried out by hand and time is needed to achieve a stabilized output of the instrument, therefore the measurement may be time-consuming, and it may also be affected by the operator's expertise. An approach was recently proposed [21] to solve both problems, based on automating the sliding operation by means of a robotized arm. In particular, the emissometer head is moved by the arm of a SCARA robot, which manages either the sliding movement or the calibration with the reference samples. The voltage output returned by the emissometer is acquired by a computerized data acquisition system, which allows visualizing in real time the time-evolution pattern of the measured signal and may also interact with the robot. The approach has eventually provided the encouraging



Fig. 1. Experimental apparatus.

results presented here, with measurements in very good agreement with manual operation and also excellent repeatability.

## 2. Experimental setup and method

An experimental apparatus has been developed in order to automate the slide method. The apparatus is based on a robotic arm and a PC based Human Machine Interface (PC-HMI). As depicted in Fig. 1, the core of the apparatus is a Mitsubishi RH-5AH55 SCARA robot, No. 1 in the figure, connected to a MELFA CR2A-572 controller.

The arm of the robot has radius of the working volume 0.55 m and maximum payload 5 kg. It handles the measurement head of a Devices and Services AE1 emissometer, No. 3, through a dedicated holding device, No. 2. Entering into details, a tailored adapter with vertical compliance has been designed to attach the emissometer head. The top of the adapter is rigidly connected with the cylindrical shaft of the robot arm. Conversely, a spring connects the emissometer head and the compliance adapter to provide continuous contact with the surface of the tested sample. The adapter allows avoiding accurate robot programming and positioning since the spring self-adapts the head to keep it in contact with the sample surface.

The robot workspace is arranged in a calibration area, No. 7, and two measurement areas, No. 8 and No. 9. The calibration area locates the High Emissivity standard (HE standard) as No. 4, and the Low Emissivity standard (LE standard) as No. 5, on a heat sink provided with the emissometer, No. 6. A fan placed on the back of the heat sink is employed to improve and keep constant the exchange of heat between heat sink and surrounding air. The measurement areas No. 8 and No. 9 are symmetrical with respect to the calibration area No. 7 and locate the Material Samples (MS) to be tested. The proposed layout reduces the robot movement and allows replacement of a sample during the performance of measurements on the other one.

Concerning the PC-HMI, a PC with Windows OS, No. 11, and a National Instruments Data Acquisition card (DAQ card) PCI-6034E with SCB-68 board, No. 10, are employed for data acquisition, signal conditioning, and control of the robot.

The slide method is implemented by means of a robot control routine and a dedicated software tool. The control routine is run by the robot controller. A first high speed movement is employed to place the emissometer head on the HE and LE standards. In sequence, the robot arm moves the head on the HE standard and keeps it in place for 90 s, thereafter it moves the head on the LE standard and keeps it in place for 90 s. Such sequence is repeated several times until constant voltage values are returned by the head sensor for both standards. In the experimental practice, one

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/262139>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/262139>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)