



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

American Journal of Infection Control

journal homepage: www.ajicjournal.org

Brief report

Endemic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Nurses' risk perceptions and attitudesAna Luisa Pedro RN^{a,*}, António Sousa-Uva MD, PhD^b, Elaine Pina MD^c^a Infection Control Committee, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Central, Lisboa, Portugal^b Occupational and Environmental Department, Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública, Lisboa, Portugal^c Programa de Prevenção e Controlo de Infecção e Resistência aos Antimicrobianos, Direção Geral de Saúde, Portugal

Key Words:

Staphylococcus aureus
Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
Risk perception
Behavior
Infection control

Dissemination of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) remains one of the most difficult challenges for prevention, control, and treatment of health care–associated infections. A survey and interviews were conducted on nurses from a hospital center. We found that most nurses' perceived risk of acquiring MRSA related to themselves (72%), other nurses (88.5%), and patients (97.8%). This perception influences attitudes, leading to compliance with the existing recommendations.

Copyright © 2014 by the Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology, Inc. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

In Portugal, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is endemic in most hospitals, with resistance rates >49% in cerebrospinal fluid and blood samples.¹ Several international organizations have developed guidelines for prevention and control of MRSA,^{2–4} namely patient isolation or cohorting, contact precautions, active patient screening at admission, decolonization, and environmental decontamination.

Full adoption of guidelines for prevention of cross infection depends on the awareness of the guidelines and risk perception related to the presence of MRSA by health care workers (HCWs). Behavioral theories suggest that a high-risk perception encourages individuals to adopt actions to reduce risk.^{5,6}

METHODS

We conducted a cross-sectional study to determine perception and attitudes in relation with risk of exposure to MRSA. The study included nurses from 10 clinical units in a teaching medical center in Lisbon, which is composed of 4 hospital sites, though only 2 were used to conduct the study, in old buildings with wards of ≥4 beds and very limited isolation facilities. Wards with patients with more risk factors for acquiring MRSA and where surveillance of MRSA has been in place longer were selected.

We intended to identify nurses' knowledge about the MRSA chain of transmission and nurses' perception of risks related to acquisition and transmission of MRSA for themselves, other nurses, and patients. We also identified how knowledge and perception are reflected in practices described by HCWs.

The study protocol was submitted for approval by the hospital board and ethics committee. Internal consistency was evaluated with the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test.⁷ After factorial analysis the sentence about “MRSA isolation report in discharge and transfer forms” was categorized as “risk perception” for purposes of analysis. Following expert review, the questionnaire and semistructured interview guide were subjected to pilot testing on a sample of the study population and were modified accordingly.

The self-assessed anonymous questionnaire was distributed to a sample of nurses from 3 intensive care units and 7 medical wards (MWs). Additionally, an interview was conducted with 1 nurse per unit, selected on a voluntary basis.

The questionnaire was composed of 21 items: 6 assessed knowledge, 8 addressed risk perception, and 7 addressed attitudes. Knowledge questions were multiple choice, and risk perception and attitudes were rated with a 5-point Likert-type scale. In the interviews, a visual analog scale was used to evaluate risk perception. In general, the statements were designed to elicit an agreement as the correct answer, with exception of statements related to time spent on the tasks.

As appropriate, the χ^2 (qualitative variables) and Mann-Whitney *U* or Wilcoxon tests (quantitative variables) were applied. A *P* value <.05 was considered statistically significant. The Bardin method was used for analysis of interview content.⁸

* Address correspondence to Ana Luisa Pedro, Rua de França n° 10-2° Direito, 2605-222–Belas, Lisbon, Portugal.

E-mail address: analuisapedro@netcabo.pt (A.L. Pedro).

Conflict of interest: None to report.




Fig 1. Nurses' perception of MRSA transmission risk to themselves, other professionals, and patients (%). MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; PPE, personal protective equipment. *Answer considered: totally disagree versus disagree.




Fig 2. Nurses' attitudes to control methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* cross-infection transmission (%). MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; PPE, personal protective equipment; WHO, World Health Organization. *Answer considered: rarely versus never.

RESULTS

After excluding the pretested and interviewees, 212 questionnaires were distributed, and 139 (65.6%) valid responses were received. The respondents were predominantly women (84.9%), with mean professional experience of 8.8 years (range, 0-34 years). Most worked in MWs (n = 90; 64.7%).

KNOWLEDGE

A mean of 3 correct answers was obtained for the 6 knowledge questions. The most frequently correct answers were related to sources of MRSA (77.7%), professionals' colonization risk (64%),

professionals' hands as a major route of transmission (64%), and microbiologic characterization of MRSA (58.3%). The less frequently correct answers were those referring to risk factors and *S aureus* resistance rates in Portugal (46% and 18%, respectively).

RISK PERCEPTION

In general, 71.9% (n = 100) nurses agreed that they can be at risk to acquire MRSA (Fig 1). Adopting preventive measures, such as hand hygiene (95%) and donning personal protective equipment (PPE) (84.9%), were seen as reducing personal risk. Professionals who felt at risk (n = 100) agreed that other nurses were also at risk (n = 95; odds ratio [OR] = 7.49; 95% confidence interval [CI],

دانلود مقاله



<http://daneshyari.com/article/2636907>



- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات