



Original article

The glycaemic index of Manuka honey

Lynne Chepulis*, Evelyn Francis

Department of Nursing and Health Studies, Waiariki Institute of Technology, Rotorua, New Zealand

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 June 2012

Accepted 17 November 2012

Keywords:

Manuka honey

Glycaemic index

Blood glucose levels

SUMMARY

Background & aims: Rates of obesity and diabetes are increasing in Western populations, and it is suggested that these diseases can be moderated, in part, by consuming foods that produce a low blood sugar response. Anecdotally honeys are thought to be comparable to simple sugars for sweetness and glycaemic response, although little is currently known about the medically beneficial Manuka honey from New Zealand. The aim of this study was to measure the glycaemic index (GI) of five samples of Manuka honey from different geographic origins.

Methods: Five high methylglyoxal (460–667 mg/kg) Manuka honey samples were selected from different geographical locales around the North Island of NZ and tested for GI in 10 healthy volunteers in a single-blinded, randomised study. Participants were fed honey containing 25 g of available carbohydrate in 200 ml water and the blood glucose responses measured (incremental area under the curve; IAUC) and compared to that of 25 g of available carbohydrate from glucose.

Results: All five honey samples were shown to have moderate GI values (54–59), although variation amongst the group was high.

Conclusions: The GI of five Manuka honeys tested was in the moderate range, being 54–59.

This study is registered under ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier number NCT01615588.

© 2012 European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Manuka honey is produced by introduced European honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) feeding on the Manuka tree (*Leptospermum scoparium*), which grows throughout New Zealand. Consumed for literally thousands of years, and believed historically by many cultures to possess healing properties, honeys from certain floral sources are now gaining recognition for their potential health benefits. Manuka Honey, for example, has been shown to have high levels of the bioactive compound methylglyoxal¹ and as a result Manuka honey is now well accepted in the literature for its positive effects on health and wellness (including antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, immunostimulatory activities and wound healing capabilities).^{2,3}

The World Health Organisation (WHO) has reported the global prevalence of obesity/overweight has doubled since 1980⁴ and predict that rates of diabetes related death will double between 2005 and 2030.⁵ It is strongly recommended by the Public Health arm of the World Health Organisation to actively promote blood glucose control, an intervention that is achievable in both developing and developed countries.⁵ Data shows that there are strong correlations between consumption of high glycaemic index (GI) foods and increased weight

gain, and that low GI foods can be beneficial for weight management and weight loss.⁶ Importantly, there are a number of studies that also show that the blood glucose response of honey is actually lower than comparable amounts of pure sugar^{7–9} despite the fact that amount of total carbohydrate in honey and pure sugar is similar (approximately 80% of the solids in honey are sugars).¹⁰ Furthermore, other studies have reported that consumption of honey leads to a greater elevation of insulin, and lower blood glucose levels compared with glucose syrup.^{2,11,12} It has been suggested that this could be due to the fact that honey contains a large number of enzymes, vitamins, flavonoids, phenolic and organic compounds.^{2,13}

Currently there is minimal data available for the GI value of Manuka honey as only one sample has previously been tested.¹⁴ However, given the interest in the medical and health-promoting properties of Manuka honey, as well as the extensive composition of different honeys, the GI properties of Manuka honey warrant investigation.

2. Methods

2.1. Glycaemic index testing protocol

The protocol used to determine the GI value of the Manuka honey samples follows the International Organization for

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +64 7 3468754.

E-mail address: lynne.chepulis@waiariki.ac.nz (L. Chepulis).

Standardization ISO 26642:2010(E) for the determination of the glycaemic index (GI)¹⁵ The study undertaken was single-blinded and all samples (including glucose) were randomised throughout the six testing sessions. All six testing sessions were completed within a two-week period (Monday, Wednesday and Friday of both weeks).

2.2. Participants

The Waiariki Institute of Technology Research Ethics Committee approved this study for GI testing, and written informed consent was obtained from all 10 volunteers (8 female and 2 male). The mean age of the study group was 28.4 years (range 24–44 years). Height and weight were measured by the Waiariki Occupational Health nurse and used to calculate Body Mass Index (BMI). The mean BMI of the study group was 22.6 kg/m² (range 19.5–26.5 kg/m²). Screening criteria included healthy individuals with no food allergies, diabetes or chronic illness, and who were not taking any medications. These screening methods are in line with standard GI testing criteria.⁷

2.3. Test meals

2.3.1. Reference glucose

The reference solution was 25 g of D-glucose anhydrous (Hansells (New Zealand) Ltd). A sample size of 27.5 g was required to deliver 25 g of available carbohydrate in 200 ml of water.

2.3.2. Test foods

Samples of unprocessed Manuka honey were selected from different geographical regions of New Zealand. The honeys were tested for methylglyoxal levels (as an indicator of them being pure Manuka rather than a Manuka/Kanuka blend) by HPLC using the method outlined by Mavric et al. (2008).¹ Five honeys with a methylglyoxal level of at least 450 mg/kg were selected (see Table 1). Each serving of honey was prepared to contain 25 g of available carbohydrate.

Each sample was mixed into 200 ml of water before consuming. Lids were placed on the cups before giving them to the participants so that they could not easily distinguish what they were drinking.

2.4. Calculation of portion size of the honey products

Available carbohydrate was determined by Asure Quality NZ Ltd using gas chromatography as described by the Australia New Zealand Food Authority (1992).¹⁶ Portion size was determined by calculating what weight of honey was required to provide 25 g of available carbohydrate. This information is given in Table 1.

2.5. Blood glucose testing and analyses

The volunteers attended the clinic at 08:00 h for testing after a 10-h overnight fast. A fasting blood sample was collected by

Table 2
Sugar profile information.

| Sample | Sucrose (%) | Fructose (%) | Glucose (%) | Maltose (%) | Lactose (anhydrous (%)) |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| 1 WINPDW 60-11 | <0.1 | 40.4 | 31.9 | 3.0 | <0.1 |
| 2 BR15-11-154 | <0.1 | 39.9 | 31.8 | 3.6 | <0.1 |
| 3 PORD3-11 | <0.1 | 39.9 | 34.3 | 1.8 | <0.1 |
| 4 MED WM38-10 | <0.1 | 40.4 | 32.5 | 2.7 | <0.1 |
| 5 M75-11 | <0.1 | 38.5 | 35.2 | 2.3 | <0.1 |

capillary blood sampling via finger prick within five minutes, and the value taken as the baseline blood glucose concentration. Participants consumed the test sample within five minutes after the baseline sample had been collected. Further blood samples were taken at 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 min respectively from the initial time of consumption. Capillary blood was analysed immediately for blood glucose concentration using a calibrated Accu-Chek Performa blood glucose meter (Roche Diagnostics, Germany).

2.6. Measurement of glycaemic index

Glycaemic index was determined using a method based upon that of Wolever and Jenkins (1986).¹⁷ This involves calculating the incremental area under the blood glucose response curve (IAUC) of a 25 g carbohydrate portion of a test food and expressing it as a percent of the response to 25 g of carbohydrate from the reference food (glucose) taken by the same participant. The area under the curve was determined as the area of those increments above baseline only. This, and statistical mean comparison (ANOVA) between samples was determined using NCSS (Statistics Analysis and Graphics) 2007 software.

2.7. Sugar profiles

Sugar Profiles of the five chosen Manuka honeys were analysed by Asure Quality NZ Ltd using Gas Chromatography with Flame Ionisation Detection as detailed by the Australia New Zealand Food Authority (1992).¹⁸ These results are shown in Table 2.

3. Results

The mean blood glucose responses for glucose and the five honey samples are given in Fig. 1. Glucose generated the largest increase in blood glucose levels. All five Manuka honey samples demonstrated blood glucose curves that were similar to each other, and all samples (including glucose) had a mean blood glucose level peak at 30 minutes.

GI values for the five honey samples are given in Table 3. IAUC data from most participants was lower for the honey samples compared with the glucose standard; however, one participant showed increased IAUCs with honeys 1, 2, and 3 compared to the glucose. Similarly, a second participant showed an IAUC of more

Table 1
Sample information.

| Sample | Region | Methylglyoxal level (mg/kg) | Available carbohydrate (%; g/100 g) | Weight required to deliver 25 g available carbohydrate (g) |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 WINPDW 60-11 | Northland | 460 | 77.9 | 32.1 |
| 2 BR15-11-154 | Levin | 571 | 76.5 | 32.7 |
| 3 PORD3-11 | Central North Island | 569 | 77.5 | 32.3 |
| 4 MED WM38-10 | Wairarapa | 666 | 78.0 | 32.1 |
| 5 M75-11 | East Cape | 667 | 77.9 | 32.1 |
| 6 Glucose | | na | 91.0 | 27.5 |

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2685886>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2685886>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)