



Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología

Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology

www.revcolanest.com.co



Essay

Healthcare professional competencies in legal doctrine[☆]



José M^a Maestre*

MD, PhD, Education Innovation Director, Valdecilla Virtual Hospital, Santander, Cantabria, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 March 2015

Accepted 31 October 2015

Available online 18 December 2015

Keywords:

Simulation

Delivery of health care

Legislation as topic

Continuity of patient care

Liability, Legal

ABSTRACT

The analysis of medical malpractice claims related to adverse events in Spain reveals that it is commonly accepted as legal criteria that some doctors have innate and special abilities to carry out their activity. They also distinguish between ability and learning. This article reflects on adult learning theories and ways to facilitate professional development. It analyzes the consequences that the chosen criteria may influence the way healthcare providers are trained. It also reflects on the influence these criteria may have in judicial decisions related to the assessment of professional performance. The use of clinical simulation as a new training tool is reviewed.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación.

Las competencias del profesional sanitario en la doctrina jurídica

RESUMEN

El análisis de la jurisprudencia en relación a las reclamaciones por las consecuencias de la actuación de los profesionales sanitarios acepta el hecho de que algunos médicos poseen capacidades individuales innatas para el desempeño de su actividad. Asimismo, distingue entre capacidades y aprendizaje. En este artículo se reflexiona sobre las teorías de aprendizaje del adulto y formas de facilitar el desarrollo profesional. Se analizan las consecuencias que los criterios elegidos pueden tener para planificar el entrenamiento de los sanitarios y la influencia de dichos criterios en las sentencias judiciales relacionadas con la valoración del desempeño profesional. Se revisa el papel de la simulación clínica como nueva herramienta de entrenamiento.

© 2016 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación.

Palabras clave:

Simulación

Prestación de atención de salud

Legislación como asunto

Continuidad de la atención al paciente

Responsabilidad legal

[☆] Please cite this article as: Maestre JM. Las competencias del profesional sanitario en la doctrina jurídica. Rev Colomb Anesthesiol. 2016;44:36-39.

* Correspondence to: Avda. de Valdecilla s/n, 39002 Santander, Cantabria, Spain.

E-mail address: jmmaestre@hvvaldecilla.es

2256-2087/© 2016 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación.

Liability of healthcare professionals for undesired patient outcomes is an increasingly frequent occurrence in our societies. In this regard, there is sometimes lack of information about the legal criteria used to judge the skilfulness or unskillfulness in performing an activity. Moreover, different criteria are sometimes used to analyze and judge the action, making a distinction, for example, between skills and learning,¹ creating great uncertainty for the practitioner.

This article reflects on the concept of professional liability and the legal criteria employed in assessing it. The article also reviews the basics of professional practice and development, the role of clinical simulation in facilitating professional development and, finally, the challenges associated with the selection of the criteria used for planning training of healthcare professionals.

Professional liability concept

In general terms, professional liability is defined as the individual's duty to answer for his/her actions. When applied to the healthcare profession, it is understood as the duty of all physicians, nurses and other healthcare providers to redress and answer for the consequences of their actions, omissions or errors (involuntary or voluntary) in the context of their professional practice.²

Liability claims against healthcare providers as a result of harm caused to the patient during the care process are growing in America and in Europe, in the realm of both civil as well as criminal law. This is the result of several factors, some of which are worth highlighting. To start with, today patients are different in that they are better informed as a result of the availability of information on the web, although sometimes this creates a problem because of misleading advertising. Additionally, society demands better quality of life, and the prevailing hedonism makes dealing with suffering and pain more complex. Mercantilism also comes into play, prompting the parties involved in the lawsuit to see it as a means to obtain what are, oftentimes, substantial financial gains. For all these reasons, from passive and submissive individuals, patients have become autonomous subjects with the power to participate in their own clinical management.³

Legal criteria used in the assessment of professional liability

This increase in the number of lawsuits derived from the outcomes of clinical practice creates significant uncertainty for the practitioners, many times ignorant of the legal criteria that will be applied in assessing the skilfulness or lack thereof in the performance of their actions. Támara et al. reviewed 535 expert reports on professional liability derived from 402 cases over a 5-year period in Colombia. In terms of the forensic conclusion, the opinion in 165 cases was that the care provided had deviated from the standard; 225 cases were attributed to complications; 14 cases were considered iatrogenic; 20 were described as the natural course of the disease; and 2 were considered accidents.⁴ These results push practitioners to look for standards of practice to ensure a minimum level of quality

and offer a sense of security. Consequently, it is increasingly frequent to see practitioners trying to adjust their practice to a protocol endorsed by a Scientific Society or a Healthcare Institution.

In view of this situation, professor Vallejo has published in this Journal the parameters applied in analysing the existence of malpractice. She reviews three theories commonly used in the Spanish healthcare criminal doctrine to assess the existence or not of medical malpractice.¹ The article describes how the legal doctrine reviewed accepts the fact that some practitioners have innate individual abilities for performing their activities and, in assessing the existence of malpractice, it makes a distinction between whether this is attributable to a subject possessing superior qualities over those of another individual in accordance with the "average individual" norm. That is to say that it accepts the premise that certain healthcare professionals have special or superior abilities than those of the average practitioner.

On the other hand, according to that doctrine, in order to judge malpractice, individual special or superior abilities cannot be mistaken for special knowledge. The latter is defined as the "learning that the practitioner has gained from practical experience or through the study of his/her activity, translated into special knowledge regarding specific situations or cases".

I believe that these opinions, which accept the existence of special abilities among certain healthcare professionals and make a distinction between skills and learning, may affect the way in which professionals are trained and the way in which legal doctrine is applied. An alternative approach is proposed.

The underpinnings of professional practice

In particular in civil proceedings, it is increasingly common to value redress of any harm sustained by the patient as a result of the clinical action. In those cases, the legal doctrine only requires proof of existence of the harm and the causality relationship between healthcare provision and the harmful outcome. Consequently, proof of absence of professional liability in creating the harm will rest with the healthcare institution. The criminal law doctrine requires proof of the fact that the practitioner acted wrongfully. This means then that the two doctrines consider that the healthcare practitioner acquires a duty of means and not of outcomes towards the patient.

For this reason, healthcare practice in our countries has been based over the past few years on the use of the most efficient diagnostic and therapeutic methods based on the best scientific evidence available each time. This means that the practitioner is expected to use the most efficient means available in his/her setting to help restore the health of the patient, but is unable to guarantee a successful outcome.

Consequently, healthcare professionals must acquire the necessary competencies to meet these criteria.⁵ These competencies are generally defined as the knowledge, skills, attitudes and general and specific behaviours required to perform the job of a clinical specialty. Now, what determines the ability of a physician, nurse or any other healthcare

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2755811>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2755811>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)