

the Journal of Nolecular Diagnostics

jmd.amjpathol.org

Analytical Validation of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Methods for Quantification of *Trypanosoma cruzi* DNA in Blood Samples from Chagas Disease Patients

Juan Carlos Ramírez,* Carolina Inés Cura,* Otacilio da Cruz Moreira,[†] Eliane Lages-Silva,[‡] Natalia Juiz,* Elsa Velázquez,[§] Juan David Ramírez,[¶] Anahí Alberti,^{||} Paula Pavia,** María Delmans Flores-Chávez,^{††} Arturo Muñoz-Calderón,^{‡‡} Deyanira Pérez-Morales,^{§§} José Santalla,^{¶¶} Paulo Marcos da Matta Guedes,^{|||} Julie Peneau,*** Paula Marcet,^{†††} Carlos Padilla,^{‡‡‡} David Cruz-Robles,^{§§§} Edward Valencia,^{¶¶¶} Gladys Elena Crisante,^{|||||} Gonzalo Greif,**** Inés Zulantay,^{††††} Jaime Alfredo Costales,^{‡‡‡‡} Miriam Alvarez-Martínez,^{§§§§§} Norma Edith Martínez,^{¶¶¶¶} Rodrigo Villarroel,^{|||||||} Sandro Villarroel,**** Zunilda Sánchez,^{††††††} Margarita Bisio,* Rudy Parrado,***** Lúcia Maria da Cunha Galvão,^{||||} Antonia Cláudia Jácome da Câmara,^{||||} Bertha Espinoza,^{§§} Belkisyole Alarcón de Noya,^{‡‡} Concepción Puerta,** Adelina Riarte,[§] Patricio Diosque,^{||} Sergio Sosa-Estani,[§] Felipe Guhl,[¶] Isabela Ribeiro,^{‡‡‡‡‡} Christine Aznar,*** Constança Britto,[†] Zaida Estela Yadón,^{§§§§§§} and Alejandro G. Schijman*

From the Laboratory of Molecular Biology of Chagas Disease (LaBMECh),* Instituto de Investigaciones en Ingeniería Genética y Biología Molecular (INGEBI-CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina; the Laboratory of Molecular Biology of Endemic Diseases,[†] Instituto Oswaldo Cruz/Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; the Laboratory of Discipline of Parasitology,[‡] Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro, Uberaba, Brazil; the National Institute of Parasitology "Dr. Mario Fatala Chabén",⁸ Buenos Aires, Argentina; the Center for Research in Tropical Microbiology and Parasitology,⁹ Universidad de los Andes, Bogota, Colombia; the Institute of Experimental Pathology, $^{\parallel}$ CONICET-Universidad Nacional de Salta, Salta, Argentina; the Laboratory of Molecular Parasitology,** Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogota, Colombia; the National Center for Microbiology,^{††} Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain; the Institute of Tropical Medicine,^{‡‡} Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas, Venezuela; the Biomedical Research Institute,^{§§} Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico DF, Mexico; the Laboratory of Parasitology and Molecular Biology, 99 Instituto Nacional de Laboratorios en Salud, La Paz, Bolivia; the Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, III Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brazil; the Hospital and University Laboratory-CH Andrée Rosemon, *** Cayenne, French Guiana; the Division of Parasitic Diseases and Malaria,^{†††} Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; the National Center for Public Health,^{‡‡‡} Instituto Nacional de Salud, Lima, Peru; the Laboratory of Genomics,^{§§§} Instituto Nacional de Cardiología "Ignacio Chávez", Mexico DF, Mexico; the Laboratory for Research in Infectious Diseases,^{¶¶¶} Universidad Peruana Cavetano Heredia, Lima, Peru; the Department of Biology, 📖 Universidad de los Andes, Merida, Venezuela; the Molecular Biology Unit, **** Instituto Pasteur de Montevideo, Montevideo, Uruguay; the Basic Clinical Parasitology Laboratory, † Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile; the Research Center for Infectious Diseases,¹¹¹¹ Pontificia Universidad Católica de Ecuador, Quito, Ecuador; the Microbiology Department,⁸⁸⁸⁸ Hospital Clinic and Barcelona Centre for International Health Research (CRESIB), Barcelona, Spain; the State Laboratory of Public Health, 1997 Acapulco, Mexico; the Biomedical Department, Instituto de Salud Pública, Santiago, Chile; the Laboratory of Molecular Biology,***** Universidad Mayor de San Simón, Cochabamba, Bolivia; the Research Institute for Health Sciences, ††††† Universidad Nacional de Asunción, Asuncion, Paraguay; the Drugs for Neglected Diseases Initiative (DNDi), ‡‡‡‡‡ Geneva, Switzerland; and the Communicable Diseases and Health Analysis Department, 58888 Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization (PAHO/WHO), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Accepted for publication April 3, 2015.

Address correspondence to Alejandro G. Schijman, M.D., Vuelta de Obligado 2490, C1428ADN, Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: schijman@ dna.uba.ar. An international study was performed by 26 experienced PCR laboratories from 14 countries to assess the performance of duplex quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) strategies on the basis of TaqMan probes for detection and quantification of parasitic loads in peripheral blood samples from Chagas disease patients. Two methods were studied: Satellite DNA (SatDNA) qPCR and kinetoplastid DNA (kDNA) qPCR. Both methods included an internal amplification control. Reportable range, analytical sensitivity, limits of detection and quantification, and precision were estimated according to international guidelines. In addition, inclusivity and exclusivity were estimated with DNA from stocks representing the different *Trypanosoma cruzi* discrete typing units and *Trypanosoma rangeli* and *Leishmania* spp. Both methods were challenged against 156 blood samples provided by the participant laboratories, including samples from acute and chronic patients with varied clinical findings, infected by oral route or vectorial transmission. kDNA qPCR showed better analytical sensitivity than SatDNA qPCR with limits of detection

Copyright © 2015 American Society for Investigative Pathology and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2015.04.010 of 0.23 and 0.70 parasite equivalents/mL, respectively. Analyses of clinical samples revealed a high concordance in terms of sensitivity and parasitic loads determined by both SatDNA and kDNA qPCRs. This effort is a major step toward international validation of qPCR methods for the quantification of *T. cruzi* DNA in human blood samples, aiming to provide an accurate surrogate biomarker for diagnosis and treatment monitoring for patients with Chagas disease. (*J Mol Diagn 2015, 17: 605–615; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2015.04.010*)

Chagas disease (CD), caused by the protozoan *Trypano*soma cruzi, affects mostly the poor populations in 21 countries of the Americas, where close to 7 to 8 million people are infected, 25 million are at risk, and 10 thousand deaths are recorded annually (World Health Organization, *www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs340/en*, last accessed November 1, 2014).¹ In recent years, this neglected tropical disease is becoming a global concern because of the increasing migration from Latin America to nonendemic countries from Europe and North America.²

Complex interactions between the genetic background of the parasite and the host and environmental and epidemiologic factors determine the outcome of the infection. In the acute phase of CD the symptoms are variable, and in most cases resolve spontaneously after some weeks. Appropriate treatment can eliminate the parasite during this phase, but the infection is only recognized in 1% to 2% of infected persons during the acute phase. In the chronic phase, approximately 70% of seropositive persons are asymptomatic, whereas 30% ultimately develop serious cardiac and/or digestive disorders several years or decades later, and necrotizing inflammatory injuries in the central nervous system in cases of CD reactivation under immunodepression. Each year, 2% to 3% of symptomatic persons start to present manifestations that can rapidly evolve to sudden death. However, the factors that govern the progression of chronic CD remain unknown, and no prognostic markers are available.³

Accurate diagnostics tools and surrogate markers of parasitologic response to treatment are priorities in CD research and development.⁴ To develop an accurate laboratory tool for diagnosis and treatment follow-up, several difficulties need to be addressed, such as the low and intermittent number of circulating parasites during the chronic phase of infection and parasite genotype diversity, because six discrete typing units (DTUs), TcI to TcVI, are unevenly distributed in different endemic regions.⁵

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)-based assays may fill these gaps, but their application in the clinical practice requires prior analytical and clinical validation studies.^{6,7} So far, a few real-time PCR strategies have been developed for *T. cruzi* DNA detection and quantification in CD patients.^{8–11}

As part of the Small Grants Programme (joined initiative of Communicable Diseases Research/Pan-American Health Organization) and The Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases/United Nations Development Program/United Nations Children's Fund/World Bank/ World Health Organization, an international study was performed by 26 experienced PCR laboratories from 14 countries to assess the performance of duplex qPCR strategies on the basis of TaqMan probes for detection and quantification of the parasite loads in blood samples of CD patients.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The studies in which the samples were collected were approved by the ethical committees of the participating institutions, according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent forms were signed by the adult study subjects and from parents/ guardians on behalf of all minor subjects. All samples were pre-existent at the time of this international study and were anonymized before being processed.

Spiked Blood Samples

Seronegative human blood samples were spiked with cultured epimastigotes of Sylvio X10 and CL-Brener stocks (TcId and TcVI, respectively) and were immediately mixed with one volume of guanidine hydrochloride 6 mol/L EDTA 0.2 mol/L buffer, pH 8.00 (GE).

Patients and Blood Specimens

Peripheral blood samples from 156 CD patients were distributed into eight groups according to their geographic origin, as follows. Group 1 (G1) included samples from four seropositive patients from Mexico, two patients with acute CD (G1a) and two patients with asymptomatic chronic CD (G1b). Group 2 (G2) included samples from two patients from French Guiana with acute CD acquired by oral

Supported by CDR/Small Grant Programme PAHO/WHO (A.G.S.) and partially by CONICET grant PIP 112-200801-02915 and the National Agency of Science and Technology grant PICT 33955.

The findings and conclusions of this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA).

J.C.R. and C.I.C. contributed equally to this work.

Disclosures: A.G.S. is a research member of CONICET. Z.E.Y. is Regional Advisor on Communicable Diseases Research and Health Analysis, Pan American Health Organization. B.A.d.N., A.R., S.S.-E., F.G., I.R., and A.G.S. are members of NHEPACHA Network. Life Technologies Argentina provided access to an ABI StepOne Real-Time PCR machine.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3327754

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3327754

Daneshyari.com