



Bacteriology

Performance of the chromID *Salmonella* Elite chromogenic agar in comparison with CHROMagar™ *Salmonella*, Oxoid™ Brilliance™ *Salmonella* and Hektoen agars for the isolation of *Salmonella* from stool specimens



Delphine Martiny^{a,*}, Anne Dediste^a, Claire Anglade^b, Linda Vlaes^a, Catherine Moens^a, Souad Mohamed^a, Olivier Vandenberg^{a,c}

^a Department of Microbiology, iris-Lab, Iris-Brussels Public Hospital Network, Brussels, Belgium

^b Department of Clinical Affairs, bioMérieux, Craaponne, France

^c Infectious Diseases Epidemiological Unit, Public Health School, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 May 2016

Received in revised form 13 July 2016

Accepted 21 July 2016

Available online 27 July 2016

Keywords:

Chromogenic medium

Salmonella

Screening

Stool samples

ABSTRACT

chromID™ *Salmonella* Elite is compared with 3 culture media commonly used for *Salmonella* isolation from stool specimens. As results were equivalent to other chromogenic media (100% sensitivity, 98% specificity), only financial arguments should guide the choice for a medium with respect to another.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Salmonella infection constitutes a major public health burden worldwide (Crump and Mintz, 2010). Typhoid fever mostly appears in developing countries, whereas nontyphoidal salmonellosis are ubiquitous and induce a wide panel of clinical manifestations ranging from common gastroenteritis to bacteremia and systemic infections in up to 5% of cases (Gal-Mor et al., 2014). In Europe, *Salmonella* is the second cause of bacterial gastroenteritis after *Campylobacter* and this microorganism is responsible for more than 6 million human infections in the 27 EU Member States annually (Havelaar et al., 2013). In low-income and middle-income countries, the number of typhoid fever episodes was estimated to be of 12 million with 129,000 fatal outcomes (Crump, 2014; Mogašale et al., 2014). Salmonellosis is mainly a foodborne infection with more than 85% of cases related to contaminated food consumption (Majowicz et al., 2010). The increasing number of strains that develop resistance mechanisms against frequently used antimicrobials highlights the need for *Salmonella* isolation and routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing, which can direct appropriate therapy (Rahman et al., 2014). Bacterial culture should therefore be considered as the gold standard (Parry et al., 2011). The aim of the present study is to evaluate the performance of the chromID™ *Salmonella* Elite

chromogenic agar in comparison with other frequently used agars for the isolation of *Salmonella* from stool specimens.

During a 3-month period, all stool specimens submitted to the iris-Lab, Brussels, Belgium, for bacterial analysis were included in the evaluation. In addition, frozen stool specimens were also retrospectively included. One gram or 1 mL of stool was suspended in 2 mL of physiological saline 0.85% and then 10 µL of each suspension were aseptically streaked for isolation, without enrichment, onto each of the following 3 chromogenic agars: chromID™ *Salmonella* Elite (bioMérieux; Marcy l'Etoile, France), BBL™ CHROMagar™ *Salmonella* (Becton Dickinson; Erembodegem, Belgium) and Oxoid™ Brilliance™ *Salmonella* (ThermoFisher Scientific; Erembodegem, Belgium); and onto a conventional Hektoen selective medium (bioMérieux). In parallel, 100 µL of each suspension were inoculated on a Selenite F broth (ThermoFisher Scientific). Agar plates and broths were incubated at 35–37 °C in aerobic atmosphere and growth was evaluated after 18–24 h incubation.

Salmonella was suspected when typically colored colonies (as specified in the manufacturer's instructions) were observed on the agar (reading level) and confirmed by conventional identification techniques including MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry using a Microflex LT (Bruker Daltonics; Bremen, Germany), VITEK® 2 ID GN card (bioMérieux) and agglutination tests (confirmatory level). Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns were evaluated using VITEK® 2 AST N236 card

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +32-24352032; fax: +32-24352039.

E-mail address: delphine_martiny@stpierre-bru.be (D. Martiny).

Table 1
Serovars isolated from the stool samples examined by using the 4 media.

| Salmonella serovar | Number of stool samples per serovar | Number of stool samples per serovar detected with: | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | chromID Salmonella ELITE | | CHROMagar | | Brilliance | | Hektoen | |
| | | Without enrichment | With enrichment | Without enrichment | With enrichment | Without enrichment | With enrichment | Without enrichment | With enrichment |
| Braenderup | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Durban | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Enterica subsp. Enterica (9:eh:e:n,x) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Enteritidis | 13 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 13 |
| Infantis | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Johannesburg | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Montevideo | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Newport | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Toronto | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Typhi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Typhimurium | 14 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 14 |
| Typhimurium var. Copenhagen | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 38 | 22 | 38 | 20 | 38 | 17 | 37 | 23 | 38 |

(bioMérieux) and all *Salmonella* strains were referred to the Belgian National Reference Center for confirmation.

Irrespective of the medium used, specimens were considered positive as soon as suspected colonies were confirmed to be *Salmonella* by confirmatory tests.

S. typhimurium ATCC 14028 and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 were used as quality control strains for the 3 chromogenic media.

In parallel, fresh stool specimens were tested for the presence of other enteropathogenic bacteria including *Yersinia*, *Campylobacter* and *Shigella*, according to standard laboratory procedures.

A total of 311 fresh specimens were included in this evaluation, 5 of which were positive for *Salmonella*. The prevalence of *Salmonella* ranged from 0.5% to 1.5% depending on the study period considered. *Campylobacter* sp. and *Clostridium difficile* were isolated in 4.2% ($n = 13$) and 3.5% ($n = 11$) of cases, respectively. Three other enteropathogenic

microorganisms were isolated (1.0%), namely 1 *Aeromonas*, 1 *Yersinia enterocolitica*, and 1 *EPEC*. This distribution is in agreement with our local epidemiology.

To enhance the proportion of positive samples, 81 frozen specimens were added, 33 of which were positive for *Salmonella*. A total of 38 of 392 specimens were thus positive for *Salmonella* isolates (9.7%) from 14 different serotypes.

The performance characteristics of each medium, without and with preenrichment using Selenite broth was compared using combined data, both before and after confirmatory tests, and is presented in Tables 1 and 2. The results presented in Table 1 firstly highlight the need for an enrichment procedure that considerably improves the sensitivity of the bacterial isolation; without enrichment, the best sensitivity was 60.5% when using the Hektoen medium, whereas the lowest sensitivity observed with an enrichment step was 97.4% using the

Table 2
Growth results at the reading level and after confirmatory tests, with and without the use of enrichment broth.

| Medium | Reading level | | | | | | | | After confirmatory tests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|-------|----|----|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|----|-----|-----|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| | N° | | | | Sensitivity % (2-sided 95% CI) | Specificity % (2-sided 95% CI) | PPV | NPV | N° | | | | Sensitivity % (2-sided 95% CI) | Specificity % (2-sided 95% CI) | PPV | NPV | | | | | |
| | TP | FP | TN | FN | | | | | TP | FP | TN | FN | | | | | | | | | |
| Without enrichment | chromID ELITE | 22/38 | | | | 57.9% | 351/354 | 88.0% | 95.6% | 22 | 0 | 354 | 16 | 22/38 | | | | 57.9% | 354/354 | 100.0% | 95.7% |
| | | 21/38 | | | | (42.2–72.1%) | (97.5–99.8%) | 20/38 | | | | | | (42.2–72.1%) | (99.0–100.0%) | | | | | | |
| | CHROMagar | 21/38 | | | | 55.3% | 350/354 | 84.0% | 95.4% | 20 | 0 | 354 | 18 | 52.6% | | | | 100.0% | 354/354 | 100.0% | 95.2% |
| | | 17/38 | | | | (39.7–69.9%) | (97.1–99.7%) | 37.3–67.5% | | | | | | (99.0–100.0%) | | | | | | | |
| Brilliance | 17/38 | | | | 44.7% | 353/354 | 94.4% | 94.4% | 17 | 0 | 354 | 21 | 44.7% | | | | 100.0% | 354/354 | 100.0% | 94.4% | |
| | 23/38 | | | | (30.1–60.3%) | (98.4–100.0%) | 30.1–60.3% | | | | | | (99.0–100.0%) | | | | | | | | |
| Hektoen | 23/38 | | | | 60.5% | 311/354 | 34.8% | 95.4% | 23 | 0 | 354 | 15 | 60.5% | | | | 100.0% | 354/354 | 100.0% | 95.9% | |
| | 38/38 | | | | (44.7–74.4%) | (84.0–90.9%) | 44.7–74.4% | | | | | | (99.0–100.0%) | | | | | | | | |
| With enrichment | chromID ELITE | 38/38 | | | | 100.0% | 347/354 | 84.4% | 100.0% | 38 | 0 | 354 | 0 | 100.0% | | | | 100.0% | 354/354 | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| | | 37/38 | | | | (90.7–100.0%) | (96.0–99.2%) | 90.7–100.0% | | | | | | (99.0–100.0%) | | | | | | | |
| | CHROMagar | 38/38 | | | | 100.0% | 348/354 | 86.4% | 100.0% | 38 | 0 | 354 | 0 | 100.0% | | | | 100.0% | 354/354 | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| | | 37/38 | | | | (90.7–100.0%) | (96.3–99.4%) | 90.7–100.0% | | | | | | (99.0–100.0%) | | | | | | | |
| Brilliance | 37/38 | | | | 97.4% | 352/354 | 94.9% | 99.7% | 37 | 0 | 354 | 1 | 97.4% | | | | 100.0% | 354/354 | 100.0% | 99.7% | |
| | 38/38 | | | | (86.2–99.9%) | (98.0–99.9%) | 86.2–99.9% | | | | | | (99.0–100.0%) | | | | | | | | |
| Hektoen | 38/38 | | | | 100.0% | 285/354 | 35.5% | 100.0% | 38 | 0 | 354 | 0 | 100.0% | | | | 100.0% | 354/354 | 100.0% | 100.0% | |
| | 38/38 | | | | (90.7–100.0%) | (76.1–84.3%) | 90.7–100.0% | | | | | | (99.0–100.0%) | | | | | | | | |

TP = true-positive result; FP = false-positive result; TN = true-negative result; FN = false-negative result; PPV = positive-predictive value; NPV = negative-predictive value.

دانلود مقاله



<http://daneshyari.com/article/3346753>



- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات