



# An addendum to “Migrative uninorms and nullnorms over t-norms and t-conorms” ☆

Wenwen Zong, Yong Su, Hua-Wen Liu \*

*School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China*

Received 5 June 2014; received in revised form 26 January 2016; accepted 29 January 2016

Available online 18 February 2016

---

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to complete some results in the paper “Migrative uninorms and nullnorms over t-norms and t-conorms” [1]. That paper studied the alpha-migrativity of uninorms over t-norms. Here, migrativity in the other direction is investigated.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

---

## 1. Introduction

As is pointed out by Fodor and Rudas [2], the migrativity of a t-norm  $T$  over a t-norm  $T_0$  is equivalent to the migrativity of a t-norm  $T_0$  over a t-norm  $T$ . Recently, Mas et al. studied the  $\alpha$ -migrativity of uninorms over t-norms. But the other direction, i.e.,  $\alpha$ -migrativity of t-norms and t-conorms over uninorms is missing. However, we find that the migrativity of a uninorm  $U$  over a t-norm  $T$  is not equivalent to the migrativity of a t-norm  $T$  over a uninorm  $U$ . In this paper, we point out the similarities and differences between the migrativity of a uninorm  $U$  over a t-norm  $T$  and the migrativity of a t-norm  $T$  over a uninorm  $U$  and we do an analogous study for the migrativity of t-conorms over uninorms (see Sec. 3 for details). The case for t-norms/t-conorms over nullnorms will be handled similarly (see Sec. 4 for details).

## 2. Preliminaries

The basic notions and results of t-norms and t-conorms can be found in [3]. We will just give in this section some basic facts about uninorms.

---

☆ Supported by the National Natural Foundation of China (Nos. 61174099, 61573211 and 11531009) and the Research Found for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20120131110001).

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2014.05.012>.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [hw.liu@sdu.edu.cn](mailto:hw.liu@sdu.edu.cn) (H.-W. Liu).

**Definition 2.1.** (See [3].) A binary operation  $U : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a uninorm if it is associative, commutative, non-decreasing in each place and has a neutral element  $e \in [0, 1]$ .

A uninorm with neutral element  $e = 1$  is clearly a t-norm and a uninorm with neutral element  $e = 0$  is a t-conorm. Any uninorm  $U$  satisfies that  $U(0, 1) \in \{0, 1\}$  and it is called conjunctive when  $U(1, 0) = 0$  and disjunctive when  $U(1, 0) = 1$ .

**Definition 2.2.** (See Mas et al. [1].) Let  $U$  be a conjunctive uninorm. We will say that  $U$  is locally internal on the boundary if it satisfies  $U(1, x) \in \{1, x\}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Similarly, if  $U$  is a disjunctive uninorm. We will say that  $U$  is locally internal on the boundary if it satisfies  $U(0, x) \in \{0, x\}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.3.** (See [3].) A binary operation  $F : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a nullnorm if it is associative, commutative, non-decreasing in each place and there exists  $k \in [0, 1]$  called absorbing element that verifies  $F(k, x) = k$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $F(0, x) = x$  for all  $x \leq k$  and  $F(1, x) = x$  for all  $x \geq k$ .

In that case, when  $k = 0$  we obtain a t-norm and when  $k = 1$  we obtain a t-conorm.

### 3. Migrativity of t-norms/t-conorms over uninorms

**Definition 3.1.** Given a uninorm  $U$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . A t-norm  $T$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -migrative over  $U$  or  $(\alpha, U)$ -migrative if

$$T(U(\alpha, x), y) = T(x, U(\alpha, y)) \tag{1}$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ .

Since the cases of t-norms and t-conorms are already known, we will consider only uninorms with neutral element  $e \in ]0, 1[$ .

**Remark 3.1.** In [2], Fodor and Rudas pointed out that  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -migrative with respect to  $T_0$  if and only if  $T_0$  is  $\alpha$ -migrative with respect to  $T$  if and only if  $T(\alpha, x) = T_0(\alpha, x)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . But, it may not be true when one of two t-norms is replaced by a uninorm. Below, we give some counterexamples.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $T_M(x, y) = \min(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  be a t-norm and

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \max(x, y) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

be a uninorm with neutral element  $e = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $U$  is  $(1, T_M)$ -migrative (see [4] in detail). But,

$$T_M\left(U\left(1, \frac{1}{4}\right), \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3} \neq \frac{1}{4} = T_M\left(\frac{1}{4}, U\left(1, \frac{1}{3}\right)\right),$$

i.e.,  $T_M$  is not  $(1, U)$ -migrative.

**Example 3.2.** For  $T_M$  and  $U$  in Example 3.1, routine calculation shows that  $T_M$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}, U)$ -migrative. But,

$$U\left(T_M\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), 1\right) = 1 \neq 0 = U\left(0, T_M\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right),$$

i.e.,  $U$  is not  $(\frac{1}{2}, T_M)$ -migrative.

Below, we analyze some of initial properties of  $(\alpha, U)$ -migrative t-norms.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/389088>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/389088>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)