



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Fuzzy Sets and Systems 283 (2016) 140-145

www.elsevier.com/locate/fss

Short communication

## Notes on "Exact calculations of extended logical operations on fuzzy truth values"

### Dechao Li

School of Mathematics, Physics and Information Science, Zhejiang Ocean University, Zhoushan, 316022, China

Received 9 September 2014; received in revised form 26 November 2014; accepted 28 November 2014

Available online 3 December 2014

#### Abstract

In this note, we show by counterexamples that Theorems 9 and 10, and Propositions 7, 18, 19 and 20 in a previous paper by Gera and Dombi (2008) [1] contain some flaws and then we provide the correct versions. © 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fuzzy truth value; Extension principle; Type-2 fuzzy sets

#### 1. Introduction

In order to strengthen the capability of modeling and manipulating inexact information in a logical manner, the concept of type-2 fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [11]. In recent years, type-2 fuzzy sets have been utilized in many areas [2,3,5-9]. These applications give motivation to investigate the operation of type-2 fuzzy sets. Gera and Dombi provided some computationally formulas for extended *t*-norms and *t*-conorms [1]. However, we note that Theorems 9 and 10, and Propositions 17, 18, 19 and 20 are correct only if the *t*-norm is left-continuous. In this note, we represent some examples showing that they are no longer valid for non-continuous *t*-norms, and then we provide the correct versions.

#### 2. Preliminary

In this section we will briefly recall the concepts of t-norms, t-conorms, implications and coimplications on the unit interval [0, 1].

**Definition 2.1.** (See [4].) A *t*-norm is a binary operation  $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that is commutative, associative, increasing in each variable, and has unit element 1.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2014.11.025 0165-0114/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2007.09.020. *E-mail address:* dch1831@163.com.

**Definition 2.2.** (See [4].) A *t*-conorm is a binary operation  $S : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that is commutative, associative, increasing in each variable, and has unit element 0.

**Example 2.3.** Some well-known *t*-norms on [0, 1] are  $T_M$ ,  $T_P$ ,  $T_L$ , and  $T_D$  given by, respectively:

$$T_M(x, y) = \min(x, y),$$
  

$$T_P(x, y) = xy,$$
  

$$T_L(x, y) = \max(x + y - 1, 0),$$
  

$$T_D(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x, y) \in [0, 1)^2, \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.4.** (See [4].) Let I be a nonempty index set. A t-norm T is lower semicontinuous if and only if it is left-continuous in each argument, i.e., for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq [0, 1], \{y_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq [0, 1]$  we have

$$T\left(x, \sup_{i \in I} y_i\right) = \sup_{i \in I} T\left(x, y_i\right) \text{ and } T\left(\sup_{i \in I} x_i, y\right) = \sup_{i \in I} T\left(x_i, y\right).$$
(1)

By the same token, the upper semicontinuity of a t-norm is equivalent to its right-continuity in each component. And then a t-norm T is continuous if and only if it is left-continuous and right-continuous.

**Example 2.5.** (See [4].) The following is a non-continuous *t*-norm:

$$T_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{2} & (x, y) \in [0, 1)^2, \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.6.** (See [4].) A t-norm *T* is called strict if it is continuous and strictly monotone.

**Definition 2.7.** (See [4].) A *t*-norm *T* is called nilpotent if it is continuous and if each  $a \in (0, 1)$  is a nilpotent element of *T*.

**Definition 2.8.** (See [4].) The *t*-norm *T* is called Archimedean if for each  $x, y \in (0, 1)$  there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_T^{(n)} < y$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** (See [4].) For each Archimedean t-norm T the following are equivalent:

- i. T is left-continuous.
- ii. *T* is continuous.

**Theorem 2.10.** (See [4].) Let T be a continuous Archimedean t-norm. Then the following are equivalent:

- i. T is nilpotent.
- ii. There exists some nilpotent element of T.
- iii. There exists some zero divisor of T.
- iv. T is not strict.

In [1], the t-norm (t-conorm) is denoted as an infix notation  $\Delta(\nabla)$  instead of the prefix notation T(x, y) (S(x, y)). So, in order to be consistent with [1],  $\Delta(\nabla)$  denotes t-norm (t-conorm) in this note.

**Definition 2.11.** (See [1,4].) The residual implication  $\triangleright : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  associated with a left-continuous *t*-norm  $\triangle$  is defined by

$$x \rhd y = \bigvee \{ z | x \triangle z \le y \}.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/389098

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/389098

Daneshyari.com