



Badly-covered graphs



Márcia R. Cappelle^a, Felix Joos^b, Janina Müttel^b, Dieter Rautenbach^{b,*}

^a Instituto de Informática, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil

^b Institut für Optimierung und Operations Research, Universität Ulm, Ulm, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 January 2013

Received in revised form 28 August 2013

Accepted 9 November 2013

Available online 26 November 2013

Keywords:

Well-covered graph

Maximal independent set

Clique

ABSTRACT

We show that the maximum number of different sizes of maximal complete k -partite induced subgraphs of a graph G of sufficiently large order n is at least $(n - k + 1) - \log_2(n - k + 1) - 4$ and at most $n - \lfloor \log_2 \left(\frac{n}{k} \right) \rfloor$. We analyze some features of the structure of graphs with the maximum possible number of different sizes of maximal independent sets, and give best-possible estimates for $K_{1,k}$ -free graphs and regular graphs.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In [3], Plummer defined a graph to be *well-covered* if all its maximal independent sets have the same size. At the 5th Latin American Workshop on Cliques in Graphs, Szwarcfiter [5] proposed studying graphs that are as far from being well-covered as possible; more precisely, he asked about the maximum possible number of sizes of maximal independent sets in a graph of order n . Apparently, Moon and Moser [2] were the first to contribute to this problem. Before we summarize some known results and explain our contribution, we introduce some terminology.

For a positive integer k , let $[k]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. For a finite, simple, and undirected graph G and a positive integer k , let $\text{MMIS}(G, k)$ denote the set of all maximal complete k -partite induced subgraphs of G . That is, for every graph H in $\text{MMIS}(G, k)$, the vertex set $V(H)$ of H can be partitioned into k possibly empty sets V_1, \dots, V_k such that

- V_i is an independent set of G for $i \in [k]$,
- G contains all edges between V_i and V_j for distinct $i, j \in [k]$, and
- H is not a proper subgraph of another complete k -partite induced subgraph of G .

Note that the elements of $\text{MMIS}(G, 1)$ correspond to the maximal independent sets of G , which in turn correspond to the cliques of the complement \bar{G} of G . Let $\text{mmiss}(G, k)$ denote the number of different orders of the graphs in $\text{MMIS}(G, k)$; that is, the problem proposed by Szwarcfiter and already studied by Moon and Moser concerns the maximum possible value of $\text{mmiss}(G, 1)$.¹

In 1965, Moon and Moser [2] proved that

$$\text{mmiss}(G, 1) \leq n - \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor \quad (1)$$

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 7315023630; fax: +49 731501223630.

E-mail addresses: marcia@inf.ufg.br (M.R. Cappelle), felix.joos@uni-ulm.de (F. Joos), janina.muettel@uni-ulm.de (J. Müttel), dieter.rautenbach@uni-ulm.de, dieter.rautenbach@googlemail.com (D. Rautenbach).

¹ “MMIS” stands for “maximal multipartite induced subgraphs” and “mmiss” stands for “maximal multipartite induced subgraph sizes”.

for every graph G of order n . Furthermore, for every n , they constructed graphs G of order n with

$$\text{mmiss}(G, 1) \geq n - \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor - 2 \lfloor \log_2 \log_2 n \rfloor - 4.$$

In 1966, Erdős [1] improved the lower bound by replacing the $\log_2 \log_2 n$ term with an iterated logarithm, and, in 1971, Spencer [4] constructed for every positive integer n at least 33 000, graphs G of order n with

$$\text{mmiss}(G, 1) \geq n - \log_2 n - 4. \tag{2}$$

Even though in view of (1) and (2) the maximum value of $\text{mmiss}(G, 1)$ for graphs G of order n is known up to a small constant, the extremal graphs are not known exactly. Nevertheless, the constructions in [2,1,4] share certain recurrent features.

Our first contribution is the generalization of (1) to $\text{mmiss}(G, k)$ for general k . Our result and a simple modification of Spencer’s construction imply that the maximum values of $\text{mmiss}(G, k)$ and $\text{mmiss}(G, 1)$ for graphs of order n only differ by a constant for fixed k . Therefore, we reconsider $\text{mmiss}(G, 1)$ and show that the recurrent features of the (almost-)extremal constructions have a reason. Finally, we give best-possible estimates for $\text{mmiss}(G, 1)$ for $K_{1,k}$ -free graphs and regular graphs.

2. Results

We begin with a simple bound for general graphs, which generalizes (1).

Theorem 1. *If G is a graph of order n and k is a positive integer, then*

$$\text{mmiss}(G, k) \leq n - \left\lfloor \log_2 \binom{n}{k!} \right\rfloor. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let H_0 be a complete k -partite induced subgraph of G of maximum order $n(H_0)$. If $n(H_0)$ is at most the right-hand side of (3), then (3) follows immediately. Hence, we may assume that the set $R = V(G) \setminus V(H_0)$ has order at most $\log_2 \binom{n}{k!} - 1$. Let (V_1, \dots, V_k) be an ordered partition of $V(H_0)$ into possibly empty independent sets that are pairwise completely joined in G .

Let S be a subset of R . Note that there are $2^{|R|}$ such subsets. We claim that there are at most $k!$ distinct graphs H in $\text{MMIS}(G, k)$ with $S = V(H) \cap R$. In fact, if $G[S]$ is not a complete k -partite graph, then there is no such graph. Hence we may assume that $G[S]$ is a complete k -partite graph. Let $\mathcal{P} = (S_1, \dots, S_k)$ be an ordered partition of S into possibly empty independent sets that are pairwise completely joined in G . Note that there are at most $k!$ such ordered partitions. If, for $i \in [k]$,

$$V'_i = \{u \in V_i : N_G(u) \cap S_i = \emptyset \text{ and } S_j \subseteq N_G(u) \text{ for every } j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}\},$$

then $H(\mathcal{P}) = G \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_i \cup V'_i \right]$ is the unique maximal complete k -partite induced subgraph of G that arises from $G[S]$ by adding, for every $i \in [k]$, vertices from V_i to S_i .

Now, let H be a graph in $\text{MMIS}(G, k)$ with $S = V(H) \cap R$. Let (U_1, \dots, U_k) be an ordered partition of $V(H)$ into possibly empty independent sets that are pairwise completely joined in G . Since H and H_0 are complete k -partite, every set U_i intersects at most one of the sets V_j , and every set V_j intersects at most one of the sets U_i . This implies that we may assume that $U_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for $i, j \in [k]$ with $i \neq j$; that is, we assume that the partition (U_1, \dots, U_k) is ordered in such a way that the set U_i intersects at most the set V_i for $i \in [k]$. Let $T_i = U_i \cap R$ for $i \in [k]$. Note that (T_1, \dots, T_k) is an ordered partition of S into possibly empty independent sets that are pairwise completely joined in G . In view of the above remark about the uniqueness of $H(\mathcal{P})$, it follows that $H = H((T_1, \dots, T_k))$; that is, each graph in $\text{MMIS}(G, k)$ equals $H(\mathcal{P})$ for some ordered partition \mathcal{P} of S .

Since $|R| \leq \log_2 \binom{n}{k!} - 1$, this implies that $\text{mmiss}(G, k) \leq k! 2^{|R|} \leq \frac{n}{2} \leq n - \left\lfloor \log_2 \binom{n}{k!} \right\rfloor$, which completes the proof. \square

Adding to the graphs constructed by Spencer [4] an independent set of $k - 1$ vertices joined to all remaining vertices yields graphs G of order $n \geq 33\,000 + (k - 1)$ with $\text{mmiss}(G, k) \geq (n - k + 1) - \log_2(n - k + 1) - 4$; that is, for constant k and sufficiently large order, the bound in Theorem 1 is best possible up to a constant additive term.

We now reconsider the extremal graphs for $\text{mmiss}(G, 1)$. For $k = 1$, the graph H_0 considered in the proof of Theorem 1 is a maximum independent set. In order to receive essentially $n - \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$ maximal independent sets of different sizes, the independence number $\alpha(G)$ of G should be essentially equal to $n - \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$; that is, the complement R should have order essentially equal to $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$. Furthermore, for essentially every subset S of R , the graph G should contain a maximal independent set $I(S)$ with $I(S) \cap R = S$, and essentially all these sets should be of different size. As we show in Theorem 3 below, this extreme situation naturally leads to the disjoint union of exponentially growing stars, which is a common constructive feature in all (almost-)extremal constructions [2,1,4].

Before we come to this result, we need a small number-theoretic lemma. We have the feeling that this lemma should be well known, but since we did not find a reference, we include the simple proof.

Lemma 2. *If, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, D is a set of k positive integers such that every integer between 0 and $2^k - 1$ has a representation as a sum of elements of D , then $D = \{2^0, 2^1, \dots, 2^{k-1}\}$.*

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on k . For $k = 1$, the result is trivial. Now, let $k \geq 2$. Let $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$ be such that $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_k$. Since D has 2^k subsets and there are exactly 2^k integers between 0 and $2^k - 1$, every such integer has a unique representation as a sum of elements of D , and all sums of the elements of distinct subsets of D are distinct.

If $d_k > 2^{k-1}$, then every integer between 0 and 2^{k-1} has a representation as a sum of elements of $D \setminus \{d_k\}$. Since there are $2^{k-1} + 1$ such integers but $D \setminus \{d_k\}$ only has 2^{k-1} subsets, this is impossible.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/418193>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/418193>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)