



Sufficient conditions for 2-rainbow connected graphs



Arnfried Kemnitz^a, Ingo Schiermeyer^{b,*}

^a Computational Mathematics, Technische Universität Braunschweig, 38023 Braunschweig, Germany

^b Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Algebra, Technische Universität Bergakademie Freiberg, 09596 Freiberg, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 September 2014

Received in revised form 12 October 2015

Accepted 15 October 2015

Available online 11 November 2015

Keywords:

Rainbow colouring

Rainbow connected

ABSTRACT

An edge-coloured connected graph G is rainbow connected if each two vertices are connected by a path whose edges have distinct colours. If such a colouring uses k colours then G is called k -rainbow connected. The rainbow connection number of G , denoted by $rc(G)$, is the minimum k such that G is k -rainbow connected. Even the problem to decide whether $rc(G) = 2$ is NP-complete. It has been shown that if G is a connected graph of order n and size m with $\binom{n-2}{2} + 2 \leq m \leq \binom{n-1}{2}$, then $2 \leq rc(G) \leq 3$.

In this paper we will present sufficient conditions for graphs G of this size to fulfil $rc(G) = 2$ depending on vertex degrees.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We use [1] for terminology and notation not defined here and consider finite and simple graphs only.

An edge-coloured connected graph G is called *rainbow connected* if each two vertices are connected by a path whose edges have different colours. Note that the edge colouring need not be proper. If such a colouring uses k colours then G is called *k -rainbow connected*. The rainbow connection number of G , denoted by $rc(G)$, is the minimum k such that G is k -rainbow connected.

This concept of rainbow connection in graphs was introduced by Chartrand et al. in [4]. An easy observation is that if G has n vertices then $rc(G) \leq n - 1$, since one may colour the edges of a given spanning tree of G with different colours and colour the remaining edges with one of the already used colours. Chartrand et al. [4] determined the precise rainbow connection number of several graph classes including complete multipartite graphs. The rainbow connection number has been studied for other graph classes in [2] and for graphs with fixed minimum degree in [2,8,11].

The computational complexity of rainbow connectivity has been studied in [3,9]. It is proved that the computation of $rc(G)$ is NP-hard [3,9]. In fact, it is already NP-complete to decide whether $rc(G) = 2$. It is also NP-complete to decide whether a given edge-coloured (with an unbounded number of colours) graph is rainbow connected [3]. More generally, it has been shown in [9] that for any fixed $k \geq 2$ it is NP-complete to decide whether $rc(G) = k$.

For the rainbow connection number of graphs the following results are known (and obvious).

Proposition 1. *Let G be a connected graph of order n . Then*

1. $1 \leq rc(G) \leq n - 1$,
2. $rc(G) \geq \text{diam}(G)$,
3. $rc(G) = 1$ if and only if G is complete,
4. $rc(G) = n - 1$ if and only if G is a tree.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: a.kemnitz@tu-bs.de (A. Kemnitz), Ingo.Schiermeyer@tu-freiberg.de (I. Schiermeyer).

Let $f(n, k)$ be the minimum integer such that every connected graph of order n and of size at least $f(n, k)$ is k -rainbow connected. In [7] the following problem was introduced:

Problem 1. Determine $f(n, k)$ for all n and k with $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

The following result is stated and proved in [7], but we reproduce the proof here for the readers' convenience.

Proposition 2. For n and k with $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ it holds that $f(n, k) \geq \binom{n-k+1}{2} + k - 1$.

Proof. We construct a graph G_k as follows: Take a $K_{n-k+1} - e$ and denote the two vertices of degree $n - k - 1$ with u_1 and u_2 . Now take a path P_k with vertices labelled w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k and identify the vertices u_2 and w_1 . The resulting graph G_k has order n and size $|E(G_k)| = \binom{n-k+1}{2} + k - 2$. For its diameter we obtain $d(u_1, w_k) = \text{diam}(G_k) = k + 1$ which implies $\text{rc}(G_k) \geq k + 1$. Hence $f(n, k) \geq \binom{n-k+1}{2} + k - 1$. \square

This leads to our second problem.

Problem 2. Determine all values of n and k such that $f(n, k) = \binom{n-k+1}{2} + k - 1$.

It has been shown that $f(n, k) = \binom{n-k+1}{2} + k - 1$

- for $k = 1, 2, n - 2$, and $n - 1$ in [7],
- for $k = 3$ and 4 in [10],
- and for $n - 6 \leq k \leq n - 3$ in [6].

Moreover, the following theorem was proved in [7].

Theorem 1 ([7]). Let G be a connected graph of order n and size m . If $\binom{n-1}{2} + 1 \leq m \leq \binom{n}{2} - 1$, then $\text{rc}(G) = 2$.

From the above mentioned results we deduce that if G is a connected graph of order n and size m with $\binom{n-2}{2} + 2 \leq m \leq \binom{n-1}{2}$, then $2 \leq \text{rc}(G) \leq 3$. In this paper we will present sufficient conditions for graphs G of size in this range fulfilling $\text{rc}(G) = 2$. The conditions depend on vertex degrees of the graph. Note that, as mentioned earlier, the problem to decide whether $\text{rc}(G) = 2$ is NP-complete.

2. Recursive approaches

In this section we will prove sufficient conditions for graphs to be 2-rainbow connected. The conditions are based on recursions. The following lemma will be used in proving the results.

Lemma 1. Let G be a connected graph of order n and $u \in V(G)$ be a vertex with $d(u) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Let $H = G - u, T = V(G) \setminus N[u]$, and $t = |T|$. Suppose that $\text{diam}(G) = 2$ and there is a perfect t -matching (tK_2) between all vertices of T and $N(u)$. Then it holds: If H is 2-rainbow connected, then G is 2-rainbow connected.

Proof. Let $T = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t\}$ and $N(u) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d(u)}\}$. We may assume that $v_i w_i \in E(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Consider now a 2-rainbow colouring c of the edges of H with colours 1 and 2. Then we define $c(uv_i) = 3 - c(v_i w_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Since H is 2-rainbow connected, we have to check that there is a 2-rainbow path between u and v for all vertices $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$. If $v \in N(u)$, then this is the case. If $v = w_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq t$, then $u v_i w_i$ is a 2-rainbow path. Hence, G is 2-rainbow connected. \square

Theorem 2. Let G be a connected graph of order n and size m with $\text{diam}(G) = 2$ and $\binom{n-2}{2} + 2 \leq m \leq \binom{n-1}{2}$. If $m \geq \binom{n-2}{2} + d(u) + 1$ for a vertex u with $d(u) \geq \frac{n}{2}$, then $\text{rc}(G) = 2$.

Proof. Let $H = G - u, T = V(G) \setminus N[u]$, and $t = |T|$. Then $|E(H)| \geq \binom{n-2}{2} + 1$ and so $1 \leq \text{rc}(H) \leq 2$ by Theorem 1 and Proposition 1.

We now show that there is a t -matching between the vertices of T and $N(u)$. Suppose there is no such t -matching. Then the marriage theorem of Hall [5] implies that there exists a subset $S \subseteq T$ with $|S| = k$ for some k with $2 \leq k \leq t$ such that $|N(S) \cap N(u)| \leq k - 1$ (note that $k = 1$ implies $\text{diam}(G) \geq 3$, a contradiction). Since $d(u) \geq \frac{n}{2}$, we have $2 \leq t \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$. Hence $|E(N(u), T)| \leq t(n - 1 - t) - k(n - 1 - t - (k - 1)) = t(n - 1 - t) - k(n - t - k)$ where $E(N(u), T)$ is the set of edges between $N(u)$ and T . So the number of missing edges between $N(u)$ and T is at least $k(n - t - k)$. We set $g(k) = k(n - t - k)$. Then $g(k)$ is concave in k since the second derivative is -2 and therefore $g(k) \geq \min\{g(2), g(t)\} \geq n - 2$ for all $2 \leq k \leq t \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$, where $g(2) \geq n - 2$ and $g(t) \geq g(\frac{n-2}{2}) = n - 2$. Since $E(N(u), T) \subseteq E(H)$ and at least $g(k)$ edges are missing from H , we obtain $|E(H)| \leq \binom{n-1}{2} - (n - 2) = \binom{n-2}{2} < \binom{n-2}{2} + 1$, a contradiction. Applying Lemma 1 implies that G is 2-rainbow connected. \square

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/418472>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/418472>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)