

neurons, but only for stimuli placed within the inactivated region of space [12]. This highlights LIP's role in analyzing stimuli and/or directing attention toward stimuli within their RFs. An interesting follow-up to the Katz study will be to silence LIP using the same stimulus configuration under which MT was tested; namely, by placing the motion stimulus, not one of the saccade targets, in the RF (Figure 1A).

By using reversible inactivation to reveal a dissociation between decision-correlated neuronal responses and their causal impact on behavior, the Katz study presents an important challenge to understanding the mechanisms of perceptual decisions. Deploying emerging new approaches for large-scale monitoring and precise manipulation of neuronal activity across brain networks that span the sensory-motor continuum offers new opportunities to meeting the challenge. The coming years offer a particularly fruitful period in uncovering neural circuit mechanisms of decision-making.

<sup>1</sup>Center for Neural Science, New York University, New York, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neurobiology and Grossman Institute for Neuroscience, Quantitative Biology and Human Behavior, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

\*Correspondence: [bijan@nyu.edu](mailto:bijan@nyu.edu) (B. Pesaran).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2016.08.008>

#### References

1. Britten, K.H. *et al.* (1992) The analysis of visual motion: a comparison of neuronal and psychophysical performance. *J. Neurosci.* 12, 4745–4765
2. Shadlen, M.N. and Newsome, W.T. (2001) Neural basis of a perceptual decision in the parietal cortex (area LIP) of the rhesus monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* 86, 1916–1936
3. Gold, J.I. and Shadlen, M.N. (2007) The neural basis of decision making. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 30, 535–574
4. Wilke, M. *et al.* (2012) Functional imaging reveals rapid reorganization of cortical activity after parietal inactivation in monkeys. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 8274–8279
5. Katz, L.N. *et al.* (2016) Dissociated functional significance of decision-related activity in the primate dorsal stream. *Nature* 535, 285–288
6. Newsome, W.T. and Paré, E.B. (1988) A selective impairment of motion perception following lesions of the middle temporal visual area (MT). *J. Neurosci.* 8, 2201–2211
7. Freedman, D.J. and Assad, J.A. (2016) Neuronal mechanisms of visual categorization: an abstract view on decision making. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 39, 129–147
8. Sarma, A. *et al.* (2016) Task specific versus generalized mnemonic representations in parietal and prefrontal cortices. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 143–149
9. Dean, H.L. *et al.* (2012) Only coherent spiking in posterior parietal cortex coordinates looking and reaching. *Neuron* 73, 829–841
10. Wong, Y.T. *et al.* (2016) Coherent neuronal ensembles are rapidly recruited when making a look-reach decision. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 327–334
11. Rishel, C.A. *et al.* (2013) Independent category and spatial encoding in parietal cortex. *Neuron* 77, 969–979
12. Balan, P.F. and Gottlieb, J. (2009) Functional significance of nonspatial information in monkey lateral intraparietal area. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 8166–8176

## Forum

### Intergenerational Neuroimaging of Human Brain Circuitry

Tiffany C. Ho,<sup>1,2,3</sup>  
Stephan J. Sanders,<sup>1,2</sup>  
Ian H. Gotlib,<sup>3,4</sup> and  
Fumiko Hoeft<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

Neuroscientists are increasingly using advanced neuroimaging methods to elucidate the intergenerational transmission of human brain circuitry. This new line of work promises to shed light on the ontogeny of complex behavioral traits, including psychiatric disorders, and possible mechanisms of transmission. Here we highlight recent intergenerational neuroimaging studies and provide recommendations for future work.

Extensive work identifying risk genes indicates that complex behaviors (e.g., depression, anxiety) in humans are in part heritable [1]. Evidence that parental behavior and experiences (e.g., trauma exposure) can lead to **epigenetic** changes in offspring nevertheless indicates that **intergenerational transmission** of traits and behaviors includes both genetic and non-genetic (epigenetic, environmental) influences [2,3]. Genetic and epigenetic effects, however, occur at the molecular level and

## Glossary

**Cross-fostering:** a study design wherein offspring are removed from their biological parents at various stages of development and raised by surrogates. This design has the potential to disentangle genetic from prenatal and postnatal environmental effects [3,12].

**Endophenotype:** a stable phenotype that is heritable, co-segregates with the illness of interest, is not state dependent, is present at a higher rate within affected families, can be reliably measured, and is specific to the illness of interest [4].

**Epigenetic:** regarding changes in the microstructure or expression of genes (e.g., DNA methylation, histone modification) without altering the DNA sequence. While parental experience and environmental effects (prenatal and postnatal) can lead to epigenetic changes in offspring, whether acquired epigenetic changes can propagate through the germline and cause behavioral change in subsequent generations in humans remains controversial [3].

**Genetic correlation:** the proportion of the variance in two traits that is due to genetic causes.

**Heritability:** the amount of variation in a phenotypic trait that is attributable to genetics and therefore not specific to intergenerational (i. e., parent to offspring) effects, which may include non-genetic effects.

**Intergenerational transmission:** the transfer of traits from parents to offspring, including genetic and non-genetic influences. For example, the impact of prenatal effects (e.g., parent nutrition, *in utero* environment) as well as postnatal rearing effects and other environmental factors could lead to epigenetic or behavioral changes in the offspring, which are thereby intergenerationally transmitted.

**Kinship matrix:** a matrix representing the probability that a random gene is identical by descent in pairs of related individuals (e.g., identical twins have approximately 100% probability, parent-offspring have approximately 50% probability).

**Mega-analysis:** because meta-analyses are limited in detecting effects since summary statistics are computed from each cohort separately, this technique for combining post-processed data from independent studies into a single analysis is more powerful and allows more complex analyses.

**Meta-analysis:** a statistical technique for combining results from independent studies without requiring raw data. The weights of effect sizes are based on the precision of the effect-size estimates per study. Generally, the precision of the effect size is directly related to the study's sample size; thus, sample-size-weighted estimates are often used in meta-analyses [7].

**Parent-of-origin effects:** when the phenotypic effect of an allele depends on whether it is inherited from the mother or father; typically characterized through epigenetic mechanisms of genomic imprinting. Parent-of-origin effects are implicated in complex trait variation.

are distal from complex behavioral phenotypes [4]. Intermediate phenotypes or **endophenotypes** at the level of brain circuitry lie in the lacuna between DNA sequences and clinical symptoms and presumably have a simpler molecular basis than disease states, thereby allowing researchers to focus on delineating the neurobiological architecture specific to the illness [4]. Thus, understanding the intergenerational transmission of brain circuitry by examining similarity or concordance of endophenotypes in parent–offspring dyads may shed light on the inheritance mechanisms involved in complex behavioral traits, the pathophysiology of brain-based diseases, potential biomarkers of treatment success (e.g., increased myelination in corticolimbic tracts), and modifiable targets (e.g., prenatal nutrition) for interventions.

Here we highlight recent neuroimaging studies that advance our understanding of the intergenerational transmission of human brain circuitry, with a focus on endophenotypes for psychiatric disorders. We discuss the strengths and limitations of each approach and offer recommendations for future research.

Consortia pooling genomic and neuroimaging data from multiple sites have been important in generating normative data across diverse populations and identifying potential endophenotypes of psychiatric disease [5]. The ENIGMA Consortium, for example, has applied standardized preprocessing protocols to diffusion imaging data from five large twin/sibling studies and one extended pedigree study [6]. Researchers then computed **heritability** estimates of fractional anisotropy (FA), a quantitative index of white matter properties useful for understanding tract organization, using **meta-analysis** and **mega-analysis** approaches. In both approaches, the variance of the brain phenotype of interest, FA, was modeled by the sum of the variance due to additive genetic factors and the variance due to environmental effects (shared and

individual). The additive genetic effects were estimated from correlations among family members, structured by a **kinship matrix**, and heritability was computed as the ratio of additive genetic variance to total phenotypic variance. Researchers found significant heritability effects in whole-brain and tract-specific FA across all cohorts (although cohort-specific effects were also found), with the highest heritability in the corpus callosum and the lowest heritability in the fornix. Importantly, these studies identified whole-brain and tract-specific FA as potential endophenotypes for future imaging genetics studies investigating psychiatric disorders. These studies, however, relied heavily on twin/sibling data, which do not provide parental information and therefore cannot directly assess intergenerational effects. Furthermore, different correlation structures depending on the family design (e.g., including grandparents or cousins) will yield different heritability estimates that may have an impact on meta-analytic approaches, which assume that larger cohorts yield more precise heritability estimates [7]. Assuming equal sample sizes, twin designs provide more precise estimates of heritability, but a sufficiently large extended pedigree design has the advantage of better estimating the covariance structure in a kinship matrix and providing heritability estimates that are less likely to be inflated by the effects of shared environment [7].

Some researchers have begun to estimate shared heritability of brain and behavior phenotypes using extended pedigree designs. For instance, in a multiplex–multigenerational study of people with schizophrenia, Roalf *et al.* used a standard measure to compute heritability and modeled each individual's regional brain volume (or shape) as a function of additive genetic effects estimated from correlations among family members, individual-specific residual environmental factors, and covariates (age, sex, site); the authors found significant heritability effects in limbic volume and shape, suggesting these

to be potential endophenotypes for schizophrenia [8]. Similarly, Fox *et al.* measured FDG-PET and behavioral responses during a well-standardized task of threat processing in a large familial sample of preadolescent rhesus monkeys [9]. The authors computed the heritability of brain metabolism, the heritability of a behavioral anxiety phenotype, and the bivariate heritability of both phenotypes, then conducted voxelwise bivariate **genetic correlations** and found strong associations between metabolism in a prefrontal–limbic–midbrain circuit and anxious behavior. Therefore, using neuroimaging data to conduct genetic correlations is a powerful way to identify brain regions that share genetic factors with behavioral traits (Figure 1A). Extended pedigree designs, however, are more susceptible to uncontrolled age-related influences (which we discuss further below when discussing general limitations and future directions) and are more logistically difficult to recruit (the sample studied by Fox *et al.*, while representative of rhesus monkey families who interbreed, is not typical of human families). Nevertheless, we expect that future intergenerational neuroimaging studies in humans utilizing extended pedigree designs will be poised to identify robust endophenotypes.

Although we anticipate that large studies with extended pedigree designs will aid in identifying robust intergenerationally transmitted endophenotypes, other researchers have directly measured the concordance of an endophenotype of interest between parent–offspring dyads using smaller cohorts that are more logistically feasible. Foland-Ross *et al.* compared cortical thickness measurements in two groups of mothers (depressed, non-depressed) and their non-depressed daughters (categorized accordingly as high or low risk) [10]. Cortical thickness in regions of interest (ROIs) comprising fusiform cortex that showed significant differences between depressed and non-depressed mothers were computed for each daughter; hierarchical linear

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4354077>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4354077>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)