Chemosphere 97 (2014) 135-139

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemosphere

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemosphere



Technical Note

Slowly released molasses barrier system for controlling nitrate plumes in groundwater: A pilot-scale tank study



CrossMark

Chemosphere

癯

Byung Sun Lee^{a,b}, Kyuyeon Lee^b, Jae Yeon Um^a, Kyoungphile Nam^{b,*}

^a Rural Research Institute, Korea Rural Community Corporation, Ansan, Republic of Korea ^b Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

HIGHLIGHTS

• A well-type barrier system with solidified molasses was studied for NO3 removal.

• Removal efficiency for 142 mg L^{-1} of NO₃ was 79–84% at the pilot-scale tank.

• Pore clogging and hydraulic disturbance were not evident in the barrier system.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 July 2013 Received in revised form 28 October 2013 Accepted 29 October 2013 Available online 23 November 2013

Keywords: Slowly released molasses Heterotrophic denitrification Nitrate Nitrite reductase

ABSTRACT

A well-type barrier system containing solidified molasses as a reactive medium was developed to promote the indigenous denitrifying activity and to treat nitrate plumes in groundwater. Three slowly released molasses (SRM) barrier systems harboring 60, 120, and 120 SRM rods, which were named System A, B, and C, respectively, were operated to examine nitrate removal efficiency in a pilot-scale sandy tank. These SRM systems induced a consistent removal of nitrate without pore clogging and hydraulic disturbance during the test period. The initial nitrate concentration was 142 mg L⁻¹, and the concentrations decreased by 80%, 84%, and 79% in System A, B, and C, respectively. In particular, System C was inoculated with heterotrophic denitrifiers, but the nitrate removal efficiency was not enhanced compared to System B, probably due to the prior existence of indigenous denitrifiers in the sandy tank. The presence of nitrite reductase-encoding gene (i.e. *nirK*) at the site was confirmed by denatured gradient gel electrophoresis analysis.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Nitrate contamination in shallow groundwater has been found in many parts of rural area worldwide in the last few decades due to the excessive usage of animal manures and nitrogenous fertilizers to enhance crop yields and the land disposal of domestic wastewaters. Nitrate contamination of groundwater can cause methemoglobinemia in infants and other health-related problems in rural populations who use shallow groundwater as a water supply. In addition, the discharge of nitrate-contaminated groundwater into wetlands, rivers, estuaries, and the coastal environment can contribute to toxic algal blooms in these water bodies (Appleyard and Schmoll, 2006).

Conventional technologies such as ion exchange, reverse osmosis, electrodialysis, and distillation are available for treating nitrate

* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea. Tel.: +82 2 880 1448; fax: +82 2 873 2684.

E-mail address: kpnam@snu.ac.kr (K. Nam).

in groundwater. However, these technologies are mechanically complex, require periodical maintenance, and are costly (Moon et al., 2008). As alternatives, available organic compounds such as acetic acid, glucose, ethanol, and methanol are widely utilized for denitrification due to their high solubility and lessened cause of coprecipitation or adsorption (Her and Huang, 1995; Mohseni-Bandpi et al., 1999; Chou et al., 2003; Louzeiro et al., 2003). Some studies have proposed molasses, a byproduct from the sugar production process, as a reliable carbon source for denitrification due to rapid nitrate destruction and highly denitrifying efficiencies (Hamlin et al., 2008; Quan et al., 2005; Roy et al., 2010). For in situ denitrification in groundwater, subsurface biofilm barriers with an injected mixture of liquid-phase molasses and nutrients were designed (Cunningham et al., 2003; Dutta et al., 2005). In their studies, nitrate concentrations of 443–1217 mg L^{-1} were decreased by 94-99% with 99% reduction of average hydraulic conductivity during 6-12-month test periods.

Recently, heterotrophic denitrification combined with a welltype reactive barrier system using solidified molasses named slowly released molasses (SRM system) has been developed as a

^{0045-6535/\$ -} see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.10.088

long-term *in situ* remedial option for treating nitrate in groundwater (Lee et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012). An SRM system was designed to operate with periodic additions of SRM rods into the well-type barriers. The long-term molasses release characteristics of the SRM system were confirmed with a pilot-scale sandy tank, and the longevity of the SRM system was determined using mathematical modeling (Lee et al., 2012). In this study, the nitrate removal efficiency of the SRM system in the presence or absence of indigenous denitrifiers was tested in a pilot-scale sandy tank as an *in situ* remedial approach.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental set-up

An SRM rod (od $\times L = 4 \times 30$ cm) was made by dispersing 177 g of molasses (Hydex, Korea) in 360 g of paraffin wax–cellulose–silica sand matrix (215 g of paraffin wax, 109 g of cellulose, and 36 g of silica sands) in a cylindrical mold at ambient temperature. The paraffin wax–cellulose–silica matrix could accomplish slow dissolution and diffusion-controlled transport of molasses from the rod. Apparent molasses solubility from the SRM rod was approximately 6000 mg L⁻¹ as chemical oxygen demand (COD) value, which was measured in a batch-type release test conducted for 112 d (Lee et al., 2012).

The pilot-scale flow tank ($L \times W \times D = 8 \times 4 \times 2$ m) was filled with 95 t of natural soil materials, which was packed to a density of 1.68 g cm³, a porosity of 0.45, a total organic carbon content of 0.11%, and a depth of 2 m. The soil texture of the filling material was sand (sand 96%, silt 4%) based on the textural diagram of the United States Department of Agriculture. The sand used for this study was from a river mouth in Korea. The input and output chambers on the upstream and downstream ends of the tank were separated from the sand by rigid stainless steel screens to prevent sands from entering the chambers. Water levels of the input chambers at the upstream and the output chambers at the downstream were kept at a constant level, which lets the groundwater flow in the longitudinal direction with a constant rate. The flow velocity was controlled by the hydraulic head of each end, and it was maintained at 120 cm d⁻¹. A total of 120 polyvinylchloride (PVC) screened wells (od × L = 10 × 150 cm) consisted of three discrete barriers installed at 1-m intervals in natural sand in the flow tank as shown in Fig. 1. PVCs were manufactured to be fully screened wells (slit interval <1 mm). In each barrier, forty wells were arrayed in a zigzag shape vertical to the flow direction. A hydrologic conductivity in the sandy tank was estimated to be 8.01×10^{-2} cm s⁻¹ based on a tracer test (Lee et al., 2009).

2.2. SRM system operation

Synthetic nitrate-contaminated groundwater (142 mg L⁻¹) was made by mixing tap water $(600 L d^{-1})$ and a diluted nitrate solution of 312 mg L^{-1} (500 L d^{-1}). The tap water from the water supply line and the nitrate solution from a large nitrate storage tank were introduced into the input chamber. Mixing was facilitated by two underwater circulators in the input chamber. This synthetic groundwater contained four basal salts in mg L⁻¹: 19NH₄Cl, 7KH₂PO₄, 19K₂HPO₄, and 19MgSO₄. The natural sand in the flow tank was pretreated with 142 mg L^{-1} nitrate-contaminated groundwater for 1 month. The SRM rods were placed in the wells to perform nitrate removal tests. The operating conditions of the three SRM systems were as follows: (i) System A: single straight line type with 60 SRM rods as shown in Fig. 1a, with a test period of 13 d, (ii) System B: double straight lines type with 120 SRM rods as shown in Fig. 1b, with a test period of 21 d, (iii) System C: double straight lines type with 120 SRM rods, an additional inoculation of an external denitrifier Pseudomonas sp. KY1 with nirK (Lee, 2010) into the sand to increase nitrate removal efficiency, with a test period of 55 d. The systems were operated at room temperature.

Pseudomonas sp. KY1 was enriched in a 1000 L volumetric water tank at room temperature for 15 d. The solution in the water tank



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the large test tank setup (A top view); (a) single straight line type SRM system (System A), (b) double straight lines type SRM system (System B and C). Closed circle (\bullet), closed square (\blacksquare), and letter (S) represent for SRM rod, groundwater-, and soil-sampling point, respectively.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4408998

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4408998

Daneshyari.com