



Increasing toxicity of enrofloxacin over four generations of *Daphnia magna*



Mirco Dalla Bona^{a,*}, Francesca Lizzi^b, Arianna Borgato^b, Marco De Liguoro^b

^a Dept. of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animals and Environment - DAFNAE, University of Padua, Italy

^b Dept. of Comparative Biomedicine and Food Science - BCA, University of Padua, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 February 2016

Received in revised form

18 June 2016

Accepted 20 June 2016

Available online 2 July 2016

Keywords:

Daphnia magna

Enrofloxacin

Multigenerational toxicity

Embryonic toxicity

Heritable damage

ABSTRACT

The effects of both continuous and alternate exposure to 2 mg L⁻¹ of enrofloxacin (EFX) on survival, growth and reproduction were evaluated over four generations of *Daphnia magna*. Mortality increased, reaching 100% in most groups by the end of the third generation. Growth inhibition was detected in only one group of the fourth generation. Reproduction inhibition was > 50% in all groups and, in second and third generations, groups transferred to pure medium showed a greater inhibition of reproduction than those exposed to EFX. To verify whether the effects observed in these groups could be explained by the perinatal exposure to the antibacterial, a reproduction test with daphnids obtained from *in vitro* exposed *D. magna* embryos was also carried out. Perinatal exposure to EFX seemed to act as an 'all-or-nothing' toxicity effect as 31.4% of embryos died, but the surviving daphnids did not show any inhibition of reproduction activity. However, the embryonic mortality may at least partially justify the inhibition of reproduction observed in exposed groups along the multigenerational test. Concluding, the multigenerational test with *D. magna* did show disruption to a population that cannot be evidenced by the official tests. The increasing deterioration across generations might be inferred as the consequence of heritable alterations. Whilst the concentration tested was higher than those usually detected in the natural environment, the increasing toxicity of EFX across generations and the possible additive toxicity of fluoroquinolone mixtures, prevent harm to crustacean populations by effects in the real context from being completely ruled out.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Enrofloxacin (EFX) is a fluoroquinolone antibacterial agent largely used in veterinary medicine (Li et al., 2015). Both EFX and ciprofloxacin (CPX), its active metabolite/degradation product (Sukul and Spiteller, 2007), commonly used in human medicine, are water contaminants (CWF, 2011) and they have been repeatedly detected in the natural aquatic environment. Concentrations were usually below 1 µg L⁻¹ (He et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2010; Tong et al., 2011) but occasionally higher (Guedes-Alonso et al., 2013) and even in the mg L⁻¹ range (Fick et al., 2009; Larsson et al., 2007). In calves, goats, sheep, swine, poultry and rabbits, EFX is indicated for the treatment of various infections such as colibacillosis, salmonellosis, mycoplasmosis and pasteurellosis, and is available on the market in the EU as a solution intended for oral administration in drinking water or milk supplements. Using this formulation, EFX can be administered to

a large collection of animals when disease has been diagnosed only in few of them (metaphylactic treatment) or indeed when no disease has been diagnosed (prophylactic treatment). In practice, to prevent respiratory and intestinal diseases when animals are subjected to stressful conditions as transportation or overcrowding, mass administration of antibacterials is routinely used in intensive farming (CWF, 2011). These routine mass treatments are criticized for fear of boosting the emergence and spread of antibacterial resistant microorganisms (Laxminarayan et al., 2013), and also because they can result in a considerable environmental burden of drugs and/or metabolites when the spent litter is used for the fertilization of agricultural fields (Boxall et al., 2003). In soil, the easy sorption of EFX to the matrix may lead to its accumulation and subsequent slow desorption and contamination of the aquatic environment (Picó and Andreu, 2007). Furthermore, EFX may directly contaminate surface water in those countries where its use extends to aquaculture (Quesada et al., 2013; Rico et al., 2013).

For many years, *D. magna* has been recognised as a keystone species in the food webs of many continental water bodies, and

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mircodb@gmail.com (M. Dalla Bona).

has served as an important model for ecotoxicological research (Seda and Petrušek, 2011). The classic reproduction test with *D. magna* (OECD, 2012) lasts 21 days and involves only one generation of the microcrustacean. However, to better identify effects of prolonged exposure over generations at population level, various authors have performed multigenerational tests on daphnids (Arndt et al., 2014; Borgatta et al., 2015; Brennan et al., 2006; Dietrich et al., 2010; Vandegehuchte et al., 2010). Impacts on daphnid populations may reverberate across the whole aquatic ecosystem as they are principal grazers of algae and primary forage for fish in lentic in-land ecosystems (Colbourne et al., 2011). In a prior two-generation study on *Daphnia magna* (Dalla Bona et al., 2015) we observed a significant increase in EFX toxicity in F1 generation with respect to F0, even when the F1 generation had been returned to pure medium. In the current study a four-generation test was performed, in order to verify whether the trend persists into subsequent generations, thus leading to a progressive population level damage. To reproduce different possible scenarios across generations, both a continuous and an alternate exposure were explored. In multigenerational tests with alternate exposure scheme a direct exposure of embryos in the brood chamber to the tested substance is possible because daphnids actively exchange the fluid in the brood chamber for environmental water, in order to support embryonic oxygen demand (Abe et al., 2001). Furthermore, a brief exposition of neonates between their delivery by the mother and their collection and transfer to the clean solution should also be taken into account. For these reasons a perinatal exposure is unavoidable. Then, in order to verify whether the effects observed in those groups returned to pure medium could be explained by the perinatal antibacterial exposure, a reproduction test in pure medium with daphnids obtained from *D. magna* embryos exposed *in vitro* to EFX was also carried out.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Test chemical

EFX was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milano, Italy) (CAS number 93,106-60-6). Purity was $\geq 98\%$. Solubilisation in Achenner Daphnien Medium (ADaM: hardness $193 \text{ mgCaCO}_3 \text{ L}^{-1}$) (Klüttgen et al., 1994a, 1994b) was achieved by returning the pH of the medium to its original value (8.0) using 1 M NaOH. The pH was measured using a BASIC 20 pH-meter (CRISON, Carpi, Italy). The concentration of EFX in stock solutions was checked using an already reported HPLC method (Dalla Bona et al., 2015) and was always in the range $\pm 7\%$ of the nominal values. The stability of the compound in the conditions (Light, Temperature and Renewal Time) employed for the tests was previously verified (Dalla Bona et al., 2015). Therefore, test results were based on the nominal concentration (2 mg L^{-1}).

2.2. Culture conditions

Resting eggs of *Daphnia magna* were originally provided by ECOTOX (Milano, Italy). A single clone culture was selected based on its adequate sensitivity to potassium dichromate (ISO, 1996). The sensitivity was then checked periodically (every 4 months). The organisms were maintained in ADaM at $20 \pm 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, with a 16-h light ($2.6 \text{ mE m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$): 8-h dark photoperiod. They were fed three times per week with *Scenedesmus dimorphus* ($8 \times 10^5 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$). Further details about the culturing method have already been reported (De Liguoro et al., 2012).

2.3. Four-generations reproduction test

A four generations reproduction test was conducted, adapted from the OECD Test Guideline 211 (OECD, 2012), with only a single concentration of EFX assayed, due to the complexity of the experimental design (see below). The chosen concentration (2 mg L^{-1}) was based on previous results (Dalla Bona et al., 2015) which indicated an EC_{20} of 2.7 mg L^{-1} for reproduction inhibition in F0.

Each test group was composed of 10 third-brood neonates < 24 h old (OECD, 2012) allocated individually in a 150 mL beaker containing 50 mL either of the test solution (EFX 2 mg L^{-1}) or of pure ADaM, and incubated for 21 days at the same conditions (light, temperature) used for culturing. After exposing the group (E0) of the first generation, 20 specimens from the collected offspring (third-brood) were randomly assigned to two groups ($n=10$). The first group (EE1) was exposed again to EFX while the second one (EN1) was returned to clean medium. This continuous and alternate exposure scheme was to be followed across the four generations, therefore a total of 15 (1+2+4+8) test groups plus 4 control groups were originally scheduled (Fig. 1). However, where too few offspring were produced, due to excessive mortality and/or excessive inhibition of reproduction, the consequent tests on the subsequent generations were not carried out.

During each test, solutions were renewed every other day, the neonates removed and counted, and the feed (*S. dimorphus*, $8 \times 10^5 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$) supplied. Old (48-h) solutions were then pooled and monitored for pH, conductivity and dissolved oxygen using YSI 85 Multiparameter Instrument (YSI Incorporated, Yellow Springs, OH, USA).

2.4. Test on *D. magna* embryos

Gravid daphnids were collected from cultures and examined microscopically for the level of development of embryos in the brood chamber. Twenty specimens, carrying embryos in early development (stage 1) (LeBlanc et al., 2000), were selected for the experiment. The embryos were extracted by immobilizing the head of the adult with a dissecting probe while a second probe was used to gently free the embryos by separating the carapace (LeBlanc et al., 2000). Forty-eight of the collected embryos were randomly taken and individually transferred to each well of a 24-well Suspension Culture Plate (CELLSTAR, Greiner bio-one) containing either 2 mL of test solution ($n=24$) or 2 mL of ADaM ($n=24$). They were incubated for 3 days at the conditions (light and temperature) normally used for *D. magna* culture (see above). After incubation, the number of hatched embryos was recorded and 10 neonates obtained from exposed embryos (EFX 2 mg L^{-1}) and 10 obtained from controls were randomly taken and assigned to group 1 (perinatal exposure) and group 2 (controls) respectively. Daphnids from both groups were allocated to individual 150 mL beaker containing 50 mL of pure ADaM and incubated for 21 days in the chosen culturing conditions with medium renewed every other day. New neonates produced were removed and counted, and feed (*S. dimorphus*, $8 \times 10^5 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$) supplied. At the end of the test the growth rate, reproduction activity and mortality rate of the two groups were measured and compared.

2.5. Endpoints in multigenerational test

Evaluated endpoints were mortality, reproduction inhibition and growth inhibition.

Mortality among the parent animals was recorded every other day, at the same times as offspring were counted. An animal was recorded as dead when it was immobile, *i.e.* when was not able to swim, or if there was no observed movement of appendages or

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4419092>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4419092>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)