



Simple transitive 2-representations for some 2-subcategories of Soergel bimodules



Marco Mackaay^{a,b}, Volodymyr Mazorchuk^{c,*}

^a Center for Mathematical Analysis, Geometry, and Dynamical Systems, Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

^b Departamento de Matemática, FCT, Universidade do Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

^c Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, Box 480, SE-75106, Uppsala, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 February 2016

Received in revised form 17 June 2016

Available online 19 July 2016

Communicated by S. Donkin

ABSTRACT

We classify simple transitive 2-representations of certain 2-subcategories of the 2-category of Soergel bimodules over the coinvariant algebra in Coxeter types B_2 and $I_2(5)$. In the $I_2(5)$ case it turns out that simple transitive 2-representations are exhausted by cell 2-representations. In the B_2 case we show that, apart from cell 2-representations, there is a unique, up to equivalence, additional simple transitive 2-representation and we give an explicit construction of this 2-representation.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and description of the results

Classification problems are, historically, the main driving force of representation theory. The desire to understand and, in particular, classify certain classes of representations of a given group or algebra was behind the majority of research in the general area of representation theory since its birth.

The abstract 2-representation theory, which originated in [3,5,10,23], studies functorial actions of 2-categories. The “finite-dimensional” part of this theory, that is 2-representation theory of finitary 2-categories, was systematically developed in the series [16–21] and continued in [27–29]. In particular, the paper [20] proposes a very good candidate for the notion of a “simple” 2-representation, called a *simple transitive* 2-representation. In the same paper one finds a classification of such 2-representations for a special class of finitary 2-categories with involution which includes the 2-category of Soergel bimodules over the coinvariant algebra of the symmetric group. This is extended in [21] to more general 2-categories and (slightly) more general classes of 2-representations. Some nice applications of these classification results were obtained in [11].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mmackaay@ualg.pt (M. Mackaay), mazor@math.uu.se (V. Mazorchuk).

The classification of simple transitive 2-representations for some “smallest” 2-categories which do not fit the setup and methods of [20,21] was completed in [22,30]. All the results mentioned above have, however, one common feature. It turns out that in all these cases the simple transitive 2-representations are exhausted by the so-called *cell 2-representations* defined and studied in [16]. So far there was only one, quite artificial, example of a family of simple transitive 2-representations which are not equivalent to cell 2-representations, constructed in [20, Subsection 3.2] using transitive group actions.

In the present paper we study simple transitive 2-representations of a certain 2-subquotient \mathcal{Q}_n of the 2-category of Soergel bimodules for the dihedral group $D_{2,n}$, where $n \geq 3$. For $n = 3$, this 2-category fits into the setup of [20] and hence the classification result from [20] directly applies. For $n > 3$, the 2-category \mathcal{Q}_n must be studied by other methods.

We show that every simple transitive 2-representation of \mathcal{Q}_5 is equivalent to a cell 2-representation, see [Theorem 5](#). We also show that, apart from cell 2-representations, there is a unique, up to equivalence, simple transitive 2-representation of \mathcal{Q}_4 , see [Theorem 12](#). The corresponding 2-representation is explicitly constructed in Subsection 5.8. This subsection is the heart of this paper. Construction of this new 2-representation is based on the careful interplay of several category-theoretic tricks. The case $n > 5$ seems, at the moment, computationally too difficult.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we collect all necessary preliminaries from 2-representation theory. In Section 3 we recall the definition and combinatorics of the 2-category of Soergel bimodules over a dihedral group and define the 2-category \mathcal{Q}_n , our main object of study. [Theorem 5](#) is proved in Section 4. [Theorem 12](#) is proved in Section 5.

2. Generalities on 2-categories and 2-representations

2.1. Notation and conventions

We work over \mathbb{C} and write \otimes for $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$. By a module we mean a *left* module. Maps are composed from right to left.

2.2. Finitary and fiat 2-categories

We refer the reader to [13–15] for generalities on 2-categories.

A 2-category is a category enriched over the monoidal category **Cat** of small categories. Thus, a 2-category \mathcal{C} consists of objects (denoted by Roman lower case letters in a typewriter font), 1-morphisms (denoted by capital Roman letters), and 2-morphisms (denoted by Greek lower case letters), composition of 1-morphisms, horizontal and vertical compositions of 2-morphisms (denoted \circ_0 and \circ_1 respectively), identity 1-morphisms and identity 2-morphisms. These must satisfy the obvious collection of axioms. For a 1-morphism F , we denote by id_F the corresponding identity 2-morphism. As usual, we often write $F(\alpha)$ for $\text{id}_F \circ_0 \alpha$ and α_F for $\alpha \circ_0 \text{id}_F$.

A 2-category \mathcal{C} is called *finitary* if, for each pair (i, j) of objects in \mathcal{C} , the category $\mathcal{C}(i, j)$ is an idempotent split, additive and Krull–Schmidt \mathbb{C} -linear category with finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects and finite dimensional morphism spaces; moreover, all compositions must be compatible with these additional structures, see [16] for details.

A finitary 2-category \mathcal{C} is called *fiat* if it has a weak involution \star together with adjunction 2-morphisms satisfying the usual axioms of adjoint functors, for each pair (F, F^*) of 1-morphisms, see [16] for details.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4595694>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4595694>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)