

Steinhaus' lattice-point problem for Banach spaces <sup>☆</sup>Tomasz Kania <sup>a,b</sup>, Tomasz Kochanek <sup>c,d,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Western Gateway Building, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland<sup>b</sup> Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Rd, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom<sup>c</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warsaw, Poland<sup>d</sup> Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 30 March 2016

Available online 17 September 2016

Submitted by R. Timoney

## Keywords:

Steinhaus' problem

Lattice points

Strictly convex space

## ABSTRACT

Steinhaus proved that given a positive integer  $n$ , one may find a circle surrounding exactly  $n$  points of the integer lattice. This statement has been recently extended to Hilbert spaces by Zwoleński, who replaced the integer lattice by any infinite set that intersects every ball in at most finitely many points. We investigate Banach spaces satisfying this property, which we call (S), and characterise them by means of a new geometric property of the unit sphere which allows us to show, *e.g.*, that all strictly convex norms have (S), nonetheless, there are plenty of non-strictly convex norms satisfying (S). We also study the corresponding renorming problem.

Crown Copyright © 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and statement of the main results

The following feature of the integer lattice in the Euclidean plane was probably first observed by Steinhaus [10, Problem 24 on p. 17]: for any natural number  $n$  one may find a circle surrounding exactly  $n$  lattice points. Zwoleński [11] generalised this fact to the setting of Hilbert spaces in the following manner. He replaced the set of lattice points by a more general *quasi-finite* set, *i.e.*, an infinite subset  $A$  of a metric space  $X$  such that each ball in  $X$  contains only finitely many elements of  $A$ . His result then reads as follows.

**Theorem ([11]).** *Let  $A$  be a quasi-finite subset of a Hilbert space  $X$ . Then there exists a dense subset  $Y \subset X$  such that for every  $y \in Y$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a ball  $B$  centred at  $y$  with  $|A \cap B| = n$ .*

Let us then distill the property that we will term *Steinhaus' property* (S). A metric space  $X$  has this property if, by definition,

<sup>☆</sup> The first-named author acknowledges with thanks funding received from the European Research Council/ERC Grant Agreement No. 291497. The research of the second-named author was supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education in the years 2013–14, under Project No. IP2012011072.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [tomasz.marcin.kania@gmail.com](mailto:tomasz.marcin.kania@gmail.com), [t.kania@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:t.kania@warwick.ac.uk) (T. Kania), [tkoch@impan.pl](mailto:tkoch@impan.pl) (T. Kochanek).

(S) for any quasi-finite set  $A \subset X$  there exists a dense set  $Y \subset X$  such that for all  $y \in Y$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a ball  $B$  centred at  $y$  with  $|A \cap B| = n$ .

We translate condition (S), formulated above, into three equivalent statements concerning the geometry of the unit ball of a Banach space. Roughly speaking, they require that, locally, the unit sphere of  $X$  does not look the same at any two distinct points. This approach will be particularly beneficial, as it will allow us to identify spaces that share that property with Hilbert spaces, yet of a very different nature. Our first main result then reads as follows.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (S)  $X$  has Steinhaus' property;
- (S<sub>1</sub>) for any quasi-finite set  $A \subset X$  there exists a dense set  $Y \subset X$  such that for every  $y \in Y$  there exists a ball  $B$  centred at  $y$  with  $|A \cap B| = 1$ ;
- (S') for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and each  $\delta > 0$  there exists a  $z \in X$  with  $\|z\| < \delta$  such that one of the vectors  $x + z$  and  $y + z$  has norm greater than 1, whereas the other has norm smaller than 1;
- (S'') for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and each  $\delta > 0$  there exists a  $z \in X$  with  $\|z\| < \delta$  such that  $\|x + z\| \neq \|y + z\|$ .

In other words, condition (S'') means exactly that one cannot find a 'neighbourhood' of parallel line segments on the unit sphere of equal length. This seems to be a new geometric property which, as we will see, is essentially weaker than strict convexity. Notice that, in contrast to many other classical properties, property (S) is not inherited by subspaces and, in a sense, is neither local nor global.

Properties (S') and (S'') are related to another (weaker) property of 'non-flatness' of the unit sphere:

(F) the unit sphere  $S_X$  of  $X$  does not contain any flat faces, that is to say, there is no non-empty subset of  $S_X$ , open in the relative norm topology, that is contained in a hyperplane.

Here by a *hyperplane* of  $X$  we understand a translation of a subspace of  $X$  of codimension 1, *i.e.*, a set of the form  $x + \ker(x^*)$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . Note, however, that (F) does not imply (S'') that is witnessed by the norm  $\|(x, y, z)\| = \max\{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, |z|\}$  for  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  (consider the points  $(1, 0, 0)$  and  $(1, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ ). However, whether every Banach space admits a renorming satisfying (F) seems to be an attractive open problem.

We employ the announced equivalence to extend Zwoleński's result to strictly convex Banach spaces (Corollary 2). It is well-known that not every Banach space admits a strictly convex renorming, just to mention the examples of  $\ell_\infty(\Gamma)$  for any uncountable set  $\Gamma$  (see [3] and [4, §4.5]) or the quotient space  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  ([2]). This motivates the question of whether strict convexity and property (S) are equivalent at the level of renormings, and a negative answer is a part of our next result.

**Theorem B.** *Assuming that the continuum is a real-valued measurable cardinal, there exists a non-strictly convexifiable Banach space whose norm satisfies (S). Moreover, for any Banach space  $X$  we have:*

- (i) if  $\dim X \leq 2$ , then  $X$  has property (S) if and only if  $X$  is strictly convex;
- (ii) if  $\dim X > 2$  and  $X$  admits a renorming with property (S), then it also admits a non-strictly convex renorming with property (S).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4613764>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4613764>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)