Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Mathematics and Computation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amc

The generalized 3-connectivity of graph products \ddagger

Hengzhe Li^{a,*}, Yingbin Ma^a, Weihua Yang^b, Yifei Wang^a

^a College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, PR China ^b Department of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, Shanxi, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Connectivity Generalized connectivity Cartesian product Lexicographic product

ABSTRACT

The generalized *k*-connectivity $\kappa_k(G)$ of a graph *G*, which was introduced by Chartrand et al. (1984), is a generalization of the concept of vertex connectivity. For this generalization, the generalized 2-connectivity $\kappa_2(G)$ of a graph *G* is exactly the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of *G*. In this paper, let *G* be a connected graph of order *n* and let *H* be a 2-connected graph. For Cartesian product, we show that $\kappa_3(G\square H) \ge \kappa_3(G) + 1$ if $\kappa(G) = \kappa_3(G)$; $\kappa_3(G\square H) \ge \kappa_3(G) + 2$ if $\kappa(G) > \kappa_3(G)$. Moreover, above bounds are sharp. As an example, we show

that $\kappa_3(\overline{C_{n_1} \Box C_{n_2} \Box \cdots C_{n_k}}) = 2k - 1$, where C_{n_i} is a cycle. For lexicographic product, we prove that $\kappa_3(H \circ G) \ge \max\{3\delta(G) + 1, \lceil \frac{3n+1}{2} \rceil\}$ if $\delta(G) < \frac{2n-1}{3}$, and $\kappa_3(H \circ G) = 2n$ if $\delta(G) \ge \frac{2n-1}{3}$.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. We refer to the book [1] for graph theoretic notations and terminology not described here. The generalized connectivity of a graph G, which was introduced by Chartrand et al. [2], is a natural and nice generalization of the concept of vertex connectivity.

A tree *T* is called an *S*-tree $(\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_k\}$ -tree) if $S \subseteq V(T)$, where $S = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_k\} \in V(G)$. A family of trees $T_1, T_2, ..., T_r$ are *internally disjoint S*-trees if $E(T_i) \cap E(T_j) = \emptyset$ and $V(T_i) \cap V(T_j) = S$ for any pair of integers *i* and *j*, where $1 \le i < j \le r$. We use $\kappa(S)$ to denote the greatest number of internally disjoint *S*-trees. For an integer *k* with $2 \le k \le n$, the generalized *k*-connectivity $\kappa_k(G)$ of *G* is defined as $\min\{\kappa(S) \mid S \in V(G) \text{ and } |S| = k\}$. Clearly, when $|S| = 2, \kappa_2(G)$ is nothing new but the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of *G*, that is, $\kappa_2(G) = \kappa(G)$, which is the reason why one addresses $\kappa_k(G)$ as the generalized connectivity of *G*. By convention, for a connected graph *G* with less than *k* vertices, we set $\kappa_k(G) = 1$. For any graph *G*, clearly, $\kappa(G) \ge 1$ if and only if $\kappa_3(G) \ge 1$.

In addition to being a natural combinatorial measure, the generalized connectivity can be motivated by its interesting interpretation in practice. For example, suppose that *G* represents a network. If one considers to connect a pair of vertices of *G*, then a path is used to connect them. However, if one wants to connect a set *S* of vertices of *G* with $|S| \ge 3$, then a tree has to be used to connect them. This kind of tree with minimum order for connecting a set of vertices is usually called a Steiner tree, and popularly used in the physical design of VLSI, see [17]. Usually, one wants to consider how tough a network can be, for the connection of a set of vertices. Then, the number of totally independent ways to connect them is a measure for this purpose. The generalized *k*-connectivity can serve for measuring the capability of a network *G* to connect any *k* vertices in *G*.

E-mail addresses: lhz@htu.cn, hengzhe_li@126.com (H. Li).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2016.10.002 0096-3003/© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.







^{*} The research was supported by NSFC 11401181 and 11526082, and the Scientific Research Foundation for Ph.D. of Henan Normal University qd13042. * Corresponding author.

Determining $\kappa_k(G)$ for general graph is non-trivial problem. In [7], Li and Li derived that for any fixed integer $k \ge 2$, given a graph *G* and a subset *S* of *V*(*G*), deciding whether there are *k* internally disjoint trees connecting *S*, namely deciding whether $\kappa(S) \ge k$, is NP-complete. The exact values of $\kappa_k(G)$ are known for only a small class of graphs, examples are complete graphs [3], complete bipartite graphs [6], complete equipartition 3-partite graphs [9], star graphs and bubble-sort graphs [10], Cayley graphs generated by trees and cycles [11] connected Cayley graphs on Abelian groups with small degrees [18]. Upper bounds and lower bounds of generalized connectivity of a graph have been investigated by Li et al. [4,8,14] and Li and Mao [15]. Extremal problem have been investigated by Li et al. [12,13]. We refer the readers to [16] for more results. In [3], Chartrandet al. determined generalized *k*-connectivity of complete graphs.

Theorem 1 [3]. For every two integers *n* and *k* with $2 \le k \le n$, $\kappa_k(K_n) = n - \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$.

In [8], Li et al. showed the following upper bound of generalized 3-connectivity of a graph.

Theorem 2 [8]. Let G be a connected graph with at least three vertices. If G has two adjacent vertices with minimum degree δ , then $\kappa_3(G) \leq \delta - 1$.

Theorem 3 [8]. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. Then $\kappa_3(G) \leq \kappa(G)$. Moreover, the upper bound is sharp.

In [5], Li et al. obtained the following upper bound of *k*-connectivity of a graph.

Theorem 4 [5]. For any graph *G* with order at least *k*,

$$\kappa_k(G) \leq \min_{S \subseteq V(G), |S|=k} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{k-1} |E(G[S])| + \frac{1}{k} |E[S, \overline{S}]| \right\rfloor,$$

where $S \subseteq V(G)$ with |S| = k, and $\overline{S} = V(G) \setminus S$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.

In [4], Li et al. studied the generalized 3-connectivity of Cartesian product graphs and showed the following result.

Theorem 5 [4]. Let G and H be connected graphs such that $\kappa_3(G) \ge \kappa_3(H)$. The following assertions hold:

- (i) If $\kappa(G) = \kappa_3(G)$, then $\kappa_3(G \Box H) \ge \kappa_3(G) + \kappa_3(H) 1$. Moreover, the bound is sharp;
- (ii) If $\kappa(G) > \kappa_3(G)$, then $\kappa_3(G \Box H) \ge \kappa_3(G) + \kappa_3(H)$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.

Note that above bounds are not sharp for some graph class, for example, cycles. For two cycles C_1 and C_2 with length 3, we know that $\kappa(C_1) = \kappa_3(C_1) = 1$ and $\kappa(C_2) = \kappa_3(C_2) = 1$. Thus $\kappa_3(C_1 \square C_2) \ge 1$ by Theorem 5. In fact, it is not difficult to check that $\kappa_3(C_1 \square C_2) = 3$. Therefore, it is meaningful to investigate the generalized 3-connectivity of the Cartesian product of a graph and a 2-connected graph.

In [15], Li and Mao studied the generalized 3-connectivity of the lexicographic product graphs and obtained the following result.

Theorem 6 [15]. Let G and H be two connected graphs. Then $\kappa_3(G \circ H) \ge \kappa_3(G)|V(G)|$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.

It is easy to check that this result is also not sharp for the lexicographic product of two cycles with length 3. Therefore, it is also meaningful to investigate the generalized 3-connectivity of the lexicographic product of a graph and a 2-connected graph.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some definitions and notations. In Section 3, we investigate the generalized 3-connectivity of the Cartesian product of a graph and a 2-connected graph. In Section 4, we investigate the generalized 3-connectivity of the lexicographic product of a graph and a 2-connected graph.

2. Definitions and notations

We use P_n to denote a path with n vertices. A path P is called a u-v path, denoted by P_{uv} , if u and v are the endpoints of P. Let C be a cycle with vertex set $V(C) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$. Two vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent if and only if $|i - j| = 1 \pmod{n}$. We use $P_{v_iv_i}$ and $P_{v_iv_i}$ to denote the path $v_iv_{i+1} \dots v_j$ and $v_jv_{j+1} \dots v_i$, respectively.

Recall that the *Cartesian product* (also called the *square product*) of two graphs *G* and *H*, written as $G \Box H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, in which two vertices (u, v) and (u', v') are adjacent if and only if u = u' and $vv' \in E(H)$, or v = v' and $uu' \in E(G)$. Clearly, the Cartesian product is commutative, that is, $G \Box H \cong H \Box G$.

Let *G* and *H* be two graphs with $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$ and $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_m\}$, respectively. We use $G(u_j, v_i)$ to denote the subgraph of $G \Box H$ induced by the set $\{(u_j, v_i) \mid 1 \le j \le n\}$. Similarly, we use $H(u_j, v_i)$ to denote the subgraph of $G \Box H$ induced by the set $\{(u_j, v_i) \mid 1 \le i \le m\}$. It is easy to see $G(u_{j_1}, v_i) = G(u_{j_2}, v_i)$ for different u_{j_1} and u_{j_2} of *G*. Thus, we can replace $G(u_j, v_i)$ by G^{v_i} for simplicity. Similarly, we can replace $H(u_j, v_i)$ by H^{u_j} . The following serval mappings are particularly useful for our proofs.

Given a vertex $v_a \in V(H)$, define

 $u^{v_a} := (u, v_a)$ for any vertex $u \in V(G)$, $G_1^{v_a} := (V(G_1^{v_a}), E(G_1^{v_a}))$ for any subgraph $G_1 \subseteq G$, Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4625480

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4625480

Daneshyari.com