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#### Abstract

The generalized $k$-connectivity $\kappa_{k}(G)$ of a graph $G$, which was introduced by Chartrand et al. (1984), is a generalization of the concept of vertex connectivity. For this generalization, the generalized 2-connectivity $\kappa_{2}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is exactly the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of $G$. In this paper, let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ and let $H$ be a 2-connected graph. For Cartesian product, we show that $\kappa_{3}(G \square H) \geq \kappa_{3}(G)+1$ if $\kappa(G)=\kappa_{3}(G) ; \kappa_{3}(G \square H) \geq$ $\kappa_{3}(G)+2$ if $\kappa(G)>\kappa_{3}(G)$. Moreover, above bounds are sharp. As an example, we show $k$ that $\kappa_{3}(\overbrace{C_{n_{1}} \square C_{n_{2}} \square \cdots C_{n_{k}}})=2 k-1$, where $C_{n_{i}}$ is a cycle. For lexicographic product, we prove that $\kappa_{3}(H \circ G) \geq \max \left\{3 \delta(G)+1,\left\lceil\frac{3 n+1}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ if $\delta(G)<\frac{2 n-1}{3}$, and $\kappa_{3}(H \circ G)=2 n$ if $\delta(G) \geq \frac{2 n-1}{3}$.


© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. We refer to the book [1] for graph theoretic notations and terminology not described here. The generalized connectivity of a graph $G$, which was introduced by Chartrand et al. [2], is a natural and nice generalization of the concept of vertex connectivity.

A tree $T$ is called an $S$-tree ( $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$-tree) if $S \subseteq V(T)$, where $S=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\} \in V(G)$. A family of trees $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{r}$ are internally disjoint $S$-trees if $E\left(T_{i}\right) \cap E\left(T_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ and $V\left(T_{i}\right) \cap V\left(T_{j}\right)=S$ for any pair of integers $i$ and $j$, where $1 \leq i<j \leq r$. We use $\kappa(S)$ to denote the greatest number of internally disjoint $S$-trees. For an integer $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq n$, the generalized $k$-connectivity $\kappa_{k}(G)$ of $G$ is defined as $\min \{\kappa(S) \mid S \in V(G)$ and $|S|=k\}$. Clearly, when $|S|=2, \kappa_{2}(G)$ is nothing new but the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of $G$, that is, $\kappa_{2}(G)=\kappa(G)$, which is the reason why one addresses $\kappa_{k}(G)$ as the generalized connectivity of $G$. By convention, for a connected graph $G$ with less than $k$ vertices, we set $\kappa_{k}(G)=1$. For any graph $G$, clearly, $\kappa(G) \geq 1$ if and only if $\kappa_{3}(G) \geq 1$.

In addition to being a natural combinatorial measure, the generalized connectivity can be motivated by its interesting interpretation in practice. For example, suppose that $G$ represents a network. If one considers to connect a pair of vertices of $G$, then a path is used to connect them. However, if one wants to connect a set $S$ of vertices of $G$ with $|S| \geq 3$, then a tree has to be used to connect them. This kind of tree with minimum order for connecting a set of vertices is usually called a Steiner tree, and popularly used in the physical design of VLSI, see [17]. Usually, one wants to consider how tough a network can be, for the connection of a set of vertices. Then, the number of totally independent ways to connect them is a measure for this purpose. The generalized $k$-connectivity can serve for measuring the capability of a network $G$ to connect any $k$ vertices in $G$.

[^0]Determining $\kappa_{k}(G)$ for general graph is non-trivial problem. In [7], Li and Li derived that for any fixed integer $k \geq 2$, given a graph $G$ and a subset $S$ of $V(G)$, deciding whether there are $k$ internally disjoint trees connecting $S$, namely deciding whether $\kappa(S) \geq k$, is NP-complete. The exact values of $\kappa_{k}(G)$ are known for only a small class of graphs, examples are complete graphs [3], complete bipartite graphs [6], complete equipartition 3-partite graphs [9], star graphs and bubble-sort graphs [10], Cayley graphs generated by trees and cycles [11] connected Cayley graphs on Abelian groups with small degrees [18]. Upper bounds and lower bounds of generalized connectivity of a graph have been investigated by Li et al. [4,8,14] and Li and Mao [15]. Extremal problem have been investigated by Li et al. [12,13]. We refer the readers to [16] for more results.

In [3], Chartrandet al. determined generalized $k$-connectivity of complete graphs.
Theorem 1 [3]. For every two integers $n$ and $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq n, \kappa_{k}\left(K_{n}\right)=n-\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil$.
In [8], Li et al. showed the following upper bound of generalized 3-connectivity of a graph.
Theorem 2 [8]. Let $G$ be a connected graph with at least three vertices. If $G$ has two adjacent vertices with minimum degree $\delta$, then $\kappa_{3}(G) \leq \delta-1$.

Theorem 3 [8]. Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices. Then $\kappa_{3}(G) \leq \kappa(G)$. Moreover, the upper bound is sharp.
In [5], Li et al. obtained the following upper bound of $k$-connectivity of a graph.
Theorem 4 [5]. For any graph $G$ with order at least $k$,

$$
\kappa_{k}(G) \leq \min _{S \subseteq V(G),|S|=k}\left\lfloor\frac{1}{k-1}|E(G[S])|+\frac{1}{k}|E[S, \bar{S}]|\right\rfloor,
$$

where $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S|=k$, and $\bar{S}=V(G) \backslash S$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.
In [4], Li et al. studied the generalized 3-connectivity of Cartesian product graphs and showed the following result.
Theorem 5 [4]. Let $G$ and $H$ be connected graphs such that $\kappa_{3}(G) \geq \kappa_{3}(H)$. The following assertions hold:
(i) If $\kappa(G)=\kappa_{3}(G)$, then $\kappa_{3}(G \square H) \geq \kappa_{3}(G)+\kappa_{3}(H)-1$. Moreover, the bound is sharp;
(ii) If $\kappa(G)>\kappa_{3}(G)$, then $\kappa_{3}(G \square H) \geq \kappa_{3}(G)+\kappa_{3}(H)$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.

Note that above bounds are not sharp for some graph class, for example, cycles. For two cycles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with length 3, we know that $\kappa\left(C_{1}\right)=\kappa_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)=1$ and $\kappa\left(C_{2}\right)=\kappa_{3}\left(C_{2}\right)=1$. Thus $\kappa_{3}\left(C_{1} \square C_{2}\right) \geq 1$ by Theorem 5 . In fact, it is not difficult to check that $\kappa_{3}\left(C_{1} \square C_{2}\right)=3$. Therefore, it is meaningful to investigate the generalized 3-connectivity of the Cartesian product of a graph and a 2 -connected graph.

In [15], Li and Mao studied the generalized 3-connectivity of the lexicographic product graphs and obtained the following result.

Theorem 6 [15]. Let $G$ and $H$ be two connected graphs. Then $\kappa_{3}(G \circ H) \geq \kappa_{3}(G)|V(G)|$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.
It is easy to check that this result is also not sharp for the lexicographic product of two cycles with length 3 . Therefore, it is also meaningful to investigate the generalized 3-connectivity of the lexicographic product of a graph and a 2-connected graph.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some definitions and notations. In Section 3, we investigate the generalized 3-connectivity of the Cartesian product of a graph and a 2-connected graph. In Section 4, we investigate the generalized 3-connectivity of the lexicographic product of a graph and a 2-connected graph.

## 2. Definitions and notations

We use $P_{n}$ to denote a path with $n$ vertices. A path $P$ is called a $u-v$ path, denoted by $P_{u v}$, if $u$ and $v$ are the endpoints of $P$. Let $C$ be a cycle with vertex set $V(C)=\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$. Two vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent if and only if $|i-j|=1(\bmod n)$. We use $P_{v_{i}} v_{j}$ and $P_{v_{j} v_{i}}$ to denote the path $v_{i} v_{i+1} \ldots v_{j}$ and $v_{j} v_{j+1} \ldots v_{i}$, respectively.

Recall that the Cartesian product (also called the square product) of two graphs $G$ and $H$, written as $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, in which two vertices $(u, v)$ and ( $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ ) are adjacent if and only if $u=u^{\prime}$ and $v v^{\prime} \in E(H)$, or $v=v^{\prime}$ and $u u^{\prime} \in E(G)$. Clearly, the Cartesian product is commutative, that is, $G \square H \cong H \square G$.

Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs with $V(G)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ and $V(H)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$, respectively. We use $G\left(u_{j}, v_{i}\right)$ to denote the subgraph of $G \square H$ induced by the set $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$. Similarly, we use $H\left(u_{j}, v_{i}\right)$ to denote the subgraph of $G \square H$ induced by the set $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$. It is easy to see $G\left(u_{j_{1}}, v_{i}\right)=G\left(u_{j_{2}}, v_{i}\right)$ for different $u_{j_{1}}$ and $u_{j_{2}}$ of $G$. Thus, we can replace $G\left(u_{j}, v_{i}\right)$ by $G^{v_{i}}$ for simplicity. Similarly, we can replace $H\left(u_{j}, v_{i}\right)$ by $H^{u_{j}}$. The following serval mappings are particularly useful for our proofs.

Given a vertex $v_{a} \in V(H)$, define

$$
u^{v_{a}}:=\left(u, v_{a}\right) \text { for any vertex } u \in V(G),
$$

$$
G_{1}^{v_{a}}:=\left(V\left(G_{1}^{v_{a}}\right), E\left(G_{1}^{v_{a}}\right)\right) \text { for any subgraph } G_{1} \subseteq G,
$$
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