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#### Abstract

In this paper we initiate the study of total restrained reinforcement in graphs. The total restrained reinforcement number in a graph $G$ with no isolated vertex, is the minimum number of edges that have to be added to $G$ so that the resulting graph has total restrained domination number less than total restrained domination number of $G$. We obtain sharp bounds, exact values and characterization for the total restrained reinforcement number of a graph.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph of order $n$. We denote the open neighborhood of a vertex $v$ of $G$ by $N_{G}(v)$, or just $N(v)$, and its closed neighborhood by $N_{G}[v]=N[v]$. For a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G), N(S)=\bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and $N[S]=\bigcup_{v \in S} N[v]$. Let $S$ be a set of vertices, and let $u \in S$. We define the private neighbor set of $u$, with respect to $S$, to be $p n(u, S)=N[u] \backslash N[S \backslash\{u\}]$. A set of vertices $S$ in $G$ is a dominating set, if $N[S]=V(G)$. The domination number, $\gamma(G)$ of $G$, is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $G$. A set of vertices $S$ in $G$ is a total dominating set, if $N(S)=V(G)$. The total domination number, $\gamma_{t}(G)$ of $G$, is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set of $G$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a total restrained dominating set, denoted TRDS, if every vertex is adjacent to a vertex in $S$ and every vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$ is also adjacent to a vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$. The total restrained domination number of $G$, denoted $\gamma_{t r}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a total restrained dominating set of $G$. A TRDS of cardinality $\gamma_{t r}(G)$ is called a $\gamma_{t r}(G)$-set. For references on domination in graphs see [1-6].

If $S$ is a subset of $V(G)$, then we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$. We recall that a leaf in a graph is a vertex of degree one, and a support vertex is one that is adjacent to a leaf. Let $S(G)$ be the set of all support vertices in a graph $G$.

Kok and Mynhardt [7] introduced the reinforcement number $r(G)$ of a graph $G$ as the minimum number of edges that have to be added to $G$ so that the resulting graph $G^{\prime}$ satisfies $\gamma\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\gamma(G)$. They also defined $r(G)=0$ if

[^0]$\gamma(G)=1$. This idea was further considered for some other varieties of domination such as fractional domination, independent domination, and total domination, [8-11].

In this paper we study reinforcement by considering a variation based on total restrained domination. The total restrained reinforcement number $r_{t r}(G)$ of a graph $G$ with no isolated vertex, is the minimum number of edges that have to be added to $G$ so that the resulting graph $G^{\prime}$ satisfies $\gamma_{t r}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\gamma_{t r}(G)$. We also define $r_{t r}(G)=0$ if $\gamma_{t r}(G)=2$. We determine $r_{t r}(G)$ for some classes of graphs and obtain several upper bounds.

With $K_{n}$ we denote the complete graph on $n$ vertices, with $P_{n}$ the path on $n$ vertices, and with $C_{n}$ the cycle of length $n$. For two positive integers $m, n$, the complete bipartite graph $K_{m, n}$ is the graph with partition $V(G)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ such that $\left|V_{1}\right|=m,\left|V_{2}\right|=n$ and such that $G\left[V_{i}\right]$ has no edge for $i=1,2$, and every two vertices belonging to different partite sets are adjacent to each other. Obviously, for any two integers $m, n \geq 2$, we have $r_{t r}\left(K_{n}\right)=r_{t r}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=$ $r_{t r}\left(W_{n}\right)=r_{t r}\left(F_{n}\right)=0$, where $W_{n}$ is the wheel and $F_{n}$ is the fan of order $n \geq 4$.

## 2. Exact values

We begin with the following proposition.
Proposition 2.1. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 4$. Then $r_{t r}(G)=0$ if and only if $\gamma_{t r}(G)=2$.
Proof. If $\gamma_{t r}(G)=2$, then trivially $r_{t r}(G)=0$. Assume that $\gamma_{t r}(G)>2$. By adding to $G$ all edges belonging to $E(\bar{G})$, we obtain a complete graph $K_{n}$. Since $n \geq 4$, we deduce that $\gamma_{t r}\left(K_{n}\right)=2$, and the result follows.

In the following we obtain the total restrained reinforcement number for paths and cycles.
Observation 2.2 ([1]).
(1) For $n \geq 2$,

$$
\gamma_{t r}\left(P_{n}\right)=n-2\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{4}\right\rfloor .
$$

(2) For $n \geq 3$,

$$
\gamma_{t r}\left(C_{n}\right)=n-2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor .
$$

Proposition 2.3. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then

$$
r_{t r}\left(P_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { for } n \in\{2,3\} \\ 2, & \text { for } n \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 6 \\ 1, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The result is obvious for $n \leq 3$. Let now $n \geq 4$ and $G=P_{n}=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n}$. For $n \equiv 0,1(\bmod 4)$, by Observation 2.2, $\gamma_{t r}\left(G+v_{1} v_{n}\right)<\gamma_{t r}(G)$, giving that $r_{t r}(G)=1$. For $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, let $n=4 k+3$. Then $\left\{v_{4 i+1}, v_{4 i+2}: 0 \leq i \leq k-1\right\} \cup\left\{v_{n}, v_{n-1}\right\}$ is a TRDS for $G+v_{n} v_{n-3}$, giving that $r_{t r}(G)=1$. Thus we assume that $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.

There is an integer $k$ such that $n=4 k+2$. By Observation 2.2, $\gamma_{t r}(G)=2 k+2$. We show that $r_{t r}(G) \neq 1$. Suppose to the contrary that $\gamma_{t r}(G+e)<\gamma_{t r}(G)$ for some $e \in V(\bar{G})$. By Observation 2.2, $e \neq v_{1} v_{n}$. Let $e=x y, H=G+e$, and let $S$ be a $\gamma_{t r}(H)$-set. Without loss of generality, assume that $|S|=2 k+1$. Then a component of $H[S]$ has more than two vertices. In order for $S$ to dominate the maximum number of vertices, we may assume that a component $G_{1}$ of $H[S]$ has three vertices, and any other component is a path on two vertices. If $\left\{v_{1}, v_{n}\right\} \cap\{x, y\}=\emptyset$, then $V\left(G_{1}\right)$ dominates at most 7 vertices of $H$ and any other component of $H[S]$ dominates at most 4 vertices of $H$. But clearly $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\} \subseteq S$. Now $S$ dominates at most $7+4\left(\frac{|S|-3}{2}\right)-2=4 k+1<n$ vertices of $H$, a contradiction. Thus we assume that $\left\{v_{1}, v_{n}\right\} \cap\{x, y\} \neq \emptyset$. If $\left\{v_{1}, v_{n}\right\}=\{x, y\}$, then $H=C_{n}$ and by Observation $2.2, \gamma_{t r}(H)=2 k+2$, a contradiction. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $v_{1}=x$ and $v_{n} \neq y$. Then $V\left(G_{1}\right)$ dominates at most 6 vertices of $H$ and any other component of $H[S]$ dominates at most 4 vertices of $H$. But clearly $\left\{v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\} \subseteq S$. Thus $S$ dominates at most $6+4\left(\frac{|S|-3}{2}\right)-1<n$ vertices of $H$, a contradiction. Thus $r_{t r}(G) \geq 2$. On the other hand if $D$ is a $\gamma_{t r}(G)$-set, then $D-\left\{v_{1}, v_{n}\right\}$ is a TRDS for $G+v_{1} v_{n}+v_{2} v_{n-1}$. Hence $r_{t r}(G)=2$.
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