Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

iournal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Total monochromatic connection of graphs*

Hui Jiang, Xueliang Li*, Yingying Zhang

Center for Combinatorics and LPMC Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 January 2016 Received in revised form 25 July 2016 Accepted 16 August 2016

Keywords: Total-colored graph Total monochromatic connection Spanning tree with maximum number of leaves

ABSTRACT

A graph is said to be *total-colored* if all the edges and the vertices of the graph are colored. A path in a total-colored graph is a total monochromatic path if all the edges and internal vertices on the path have the same color. A total-coloring of a graph is a total monochromatically-connecting coloring (TMC-coloring, for short) if any two vertices of the graph are connected by a total monochromatic path of the graph. For a connected graph G, the total monochromatic connection number, denoted by tmc(G), is defined as the maximum number of colors used in a TMC-coloring of G. These concepts are inspired by the concepts of monochromatic connection number mc(G), monochromatic vertex connection number mvc(G) and total rainbow connection number trc(G) of a connected graph G. Let l(T) denote the number of leaves of a tree T, and let $l(G) = \max\{l(T) | T \text{ is a spanning tree of } G\}$ for a connected graph G. In this paper, we show that there are many graphs G such that $\operatorname{tmc}(G) = m - n + 2 + l(G)$, and moreover, we prove that for almost all graphs G, tmc(G) = m - n + 2 + l(G) holds. Furthermore, we compare tmc(G) with mvc(G) and mc(G), respectively, and obtain that there exist graphs G such that tmc(G) is not less than mvc(G) and vice versa, and that tmc(G) = mc(G) + l(G) holds for almost all graphs. Finally, we prove that $tmc(G) \le mc(G) + mvc(G)$, and the equality holds if and only if G is a complete graph.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper, all graphs are simple, finite and undirected. We refer to the book [3] for undefined notation and terminology in graph theory. Throughout this paper, let n and m denote the order (number of vertices) and size (number of edges) of a graph, respectively. Moreover, a vertex of a connected graph is called a *leaf* if its degree is one; otherwise, it is called an internal vertex. Let I(T) and q(T) denote the number of leaves and the number of internal vertices of a tree T, respectively, and let $l(G) = \max\{l(T) | T \text{ is a spanning tree of } G\}$ and $q(G) = \min\{q(T) | T \text{ is a spanning tree of } G\}$ for a connected graph G. Note that the sum of I(G) and q(G) is n for any connected graph G of order n. A path in an edge-colored graph is a monochromatic path if all the edges on the path have the same color. An edge-coloring of a connected graph is a monochromatically-connecting coloring (MC-coloring, for short) if any two vertices of the graph are connected by a monochromatic path of the graph. For a connected graph G, the monochromatic connection number of G, denoted by mc(G), is defined as the maximum number of colors used in an MC-coloring of G. An extremal MC-coloring is an MC-coloring that uses mc(G) colors. Note that mc(G) = m if and only if G is a complete graph. The concept of mc(G) was first introduced by Caro and Yuster [6] and has been well-studied recently. We refer the reader to [4,8] for more details.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jhuink@163.com (H. Jiang), lxl@nankai.edu.cn (X. Li), zyydlwyx@163.com (Y. Zhang).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2016.08.020 0012-365X/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.









Supported by NSFC No. 11371205 and 11531011, and PCSIRT.

As a natural counterpart of the concept of monochromatic connection, Cai et al. [5] introduced the concept of monochromatic vertex connection. A path in a vertex-colored graph is a *vertex-monochromatic path* if its internal vertices have the same color. A vertex-coloring of a graph is a *monochromatically-vertex-connecting coloring* (*MVC-coloring*, for short) if any two vertices of the graph are connected by a vertex-monochromatic path of the graph. For a connected graph *G*, the *monochromatic vertex connection number*, denoted by mvc(G), is defined as the maximum number of colors used in an MVC-coloring of *G*. An *extremal MVC-coloring* is an MVC-coloring that uses mvc(G) colors. Note that mvc(G) = n if and only if $diam(G) \le 2$.

Actually, the concepts of monochromatic connection number mc(G) and monochromatic vertex connection number mvc(G) are natural opposite concepts of rainbow connection number rc(G) and rainbow vertex connection number rvc(G). For details about them we refer the readers to the book [10] and the survey paper [9]. The concept of total rainbow connection number rc(G) in [12] was motivated by the rainbow connection number rc(G) and rainbow vertex connection number rvc(G). Naturally, here we introduce the concept of total monochromatic connection of graphs. A graph is said to be *total-colored* if all the edges and the vertices of the graph are colored. A path in a total-colored graph is a *total monochromatic path* if all the edges and internal vertices on the path have the same color. A total-coloring of a graph is a *total monochromatic path* of the graph. For a connected graph *G*, the *total monochromatic connection number*, denoted by tmc(G), is defined as the maximum number of colors used in a TMC-coloring of *G*. An *extremal TMC-coloring* is a TMC-coloring that uses tmc(G) colors. It is easy to check that tmc(G) = m + n if and only if *G* is a complete graph.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove that $tmc(G) \ge m - n + 2 + l(G)$ for any connected graph and determine the value of tmc(G) for some special graphs. In Section 3, we prove that there are many graphs with tmc(G) = m - n + 2 + l(G) which are restricted by other graph parameters such as the maximum degree, the diameter and so on. Moreover, we show that for almost all graphs G, tmc(G) = m - n + 2 + l(G) holds. In Section 4, we compare tmc(G) with mvc(G) and mc(G), respectively, and obtain that there exist graphs G such that tmc(G) is not less than mvc(G) and vice versa, and that tmc(G) = mc(G) + l(G) for almost all graphs. We also prove that $tmc(G) \le mc(G) + mvc(G)$, and the equality holds if and only if G is a complete graph.

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we show that $tmc(G) \ge m - n + 2 + l(G)$ and present some preliminary results on the total monochromatic connection number. Moreover, we determine the value of tmc(G) when *G* is a tree, a wheel, and a complete multipartite graph. It is easy to see the following fact.

Proposition 1. If G is a connected graph and H is a connected spanning subgraph of G, then $tmc(G) \ge e(G) - e(H) + tmc(H)$.

Since for any two vertices of a tree, there exists only one path connecting them, we have the following result.

Proposition 2. If *T* is a tree, then tmc(T) = l(T) + 1.

The consequence below is immediate from Propositions 1 and 2.

Theorem 1. For a connected graph G, $tmc(G) \ge m - n + 2 + l(G)$.

Next we give an important and useful property of an extremal TMC-coloring.

Fact 1. Let *G* be a connected graph and *f* be an extremal TMC-coloring of *G* that uses a given color *c*. Then the subgraph *H* formed by the edges and vertices colored *c* is a tree whose each internal vertex is colored *c*.

Proof. We first claim that *H* is connected. Otherwise, we will give a fresh color to all the edges and vertices colored *c* in some component of *H* while still maintaining a TMC-coloring of *G*, contradicting the assumption on *f*. Before proving that *H* is acyclic, we show that the color of each internal vertex of *H* is *c*. Let u_1, \ldots, u_t be the internal vertices of *H* such that each of them is not colored *c*. We obtain the subgraph H_0 of *H* by deleting the vertices $\{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$. If H_0 is connected, it is possible to choose an edge incident with u_1 in *H* and assign it with a fresh color while still maintaining a TMC-coloring of *G*, a contradiction. If not, we can give a fresh color to all the edges and vertices colored *c* in some component of H_0 while still maintaining a TMC-coloring of *G*, a contradiction. Now we prove that *H* does not contain any cycle. Suppose that *H* has a cycle, say *C*. Then a fresh color can be assigned to any edge of the cycle *C* while still maintaining a TMC-coloring of *G*, which contradicts the assumption on *f*.

Thus, *H* is a tree whose each internal vertex is colored *c*. \Box

Let *G* be a connected graph and *f* be an extremal TMC-coloring of *G* that uses a given color *c*. Now we define the *color tree* as the tree formed by the edges and vertices colored *c*, denoted by T_c . If T_c has at least two edges, the color *c* is called *nontrivial*. Otherwise, *c* is *trivial*. We call an extremal TMC-coloring *simple* if for any two nontrivial colors *c* and *d*, the corresponding trees T_c and T_d intersect in at most one vertex. If *f* is simple, then the leaves of T_c must have distinct colors different from color *c*. Otherwise, we can give a fresh color to such a leaf while still maintaining a TMC-coloring. Moreover, a nontrivial

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4646570

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4646570

Daneshyari.com