



On some bilinear dual hyperovals



Hiroaki Taniguchi

National Institute of Technology, Kagawa College, 355, chokushicho, takamatsu city, kagawa, 761-8058, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 October 2015

Received in revised form 25 June 2016

Accepted 4 July 2016

Available online 3 August 2016

Keywords:

Dual hyperoval

Buratti–Del Fra dual hyperoval

Huybrechts dual hyperoval

APN dual hyperoval

ABSTRACT

It is shown in Yoshiara (2004) that, if d -dimensional dual hyperovals exist in $V(n, 2)$ ($GF(2)$ -vector space of rank n), then $2d + 1 \leq n \leq (d + 1)(d + 2)/2 + 2$, and conjectured that $n \leq (d + 1)(d + 2)/2$. Known bilinear dual hyperovals in $V((d + 1)(d + 2)/2, 2)$ are the Huybrechts dual hyperoval and the Buratti–Del Fra dual hyperoval. In this paper, we investigate on the covering map $\pi : \mathcal{H}'_c(l', GF(2^{r'})) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_c(l, GF(2^r))$, where the dual hyperovals $\mathcal{H}'_c(l', GF(2^{r'}))$ and $\mathcal{H}_c(l, GF(2^r))$ are constructed in Taniguchi (2014). Using the result, we show that the Buratti–Del Fra dual hyperoval has a bilinear quotient in $V(2d + 1, 2)$ if d is odd. On the other hand, we show that the Huybrechts dual hyperoval has no bilinear quotient in $V(2d + 1, 2)$. We also determine the automorphism group of $\mathcal{H}_c(l, GF(2^r))$, and show that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{H}_c(l_2, GF(2^{r_1}))) < \text{Aut}(\mathcal{H}_c(l, GF(2^r)))$.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Higher dimensional dual hyperovals are defined by Huybrechts and Pasini in [7]. In this paper, we only consider dual hyperovals over the binary field $GF(2)$.

Let n and d be integers with $n > d + 1 \geq 3$. Let $U = V(n, 2)$ be a vector space of rank n over $GF(2)$. A family \mathcal{H} of vector subspaces of rank $d + 1$ in U is called a d -dimensional dual hyperoval if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) any two distinct members of \mathcal{H} intersect at a subspace of rank one,
- (2) any three mutually distinct members of \mathcal{H} intersect trivially,
- (3) the union of the members of \mathcal{H} generates U , and
- (4) there are exactly 2^{d+1} members of \mathcal{H} .

We call the vector space U the ambient space of the dual hyperoval \mathcal{H} , and we say that \mathcal{H} is a dual hyperoval in U .

Let \mathcal{H}_1 be a d -dimensional dual hyperoval in U_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 a d -dimensional dual hyperoval in U_2 . If there is a surjective $GF(2)$ -linear mapping $\pi : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ such that $\pi(\mathcal{H}_1) = \mathcal{H}_2$, which we sometimes say a covering map $\pi : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$, we call \mathcal{H}_1 a cover of \mathcal{H}_2 and \mathcal{H}_2 a quotient of \mathcal{H}_1 . If π induces an isomorphism of U_1 and U_2 , we say that \mathcal{H}_1 is isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_2 . We also say a dual hyperoval \mathcal{H} is simply connected if any cover \mathcal{H}' of \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to \mathcal{H} .

It is proved in [12] that, if d -dimensional dual hyperovals exist in $V(n, 2)$, then $2d + 1 \leq n \leq (d + 1)(d + 2)/2 + 2$, and conjectured that $n \leq (d + 1)(d + 2)/2$.

We recall the definition of bilinear dual hyperovals. Let V be a $GF(2)$ -vector space of rank $d + 1$, and W a $GF(2)$ -vector space of rank l . A dual hyperoval $\mathcal{H} = \{X(t) \mid t \in V\}$ in $V \oplus W$ is said to be a bilinear dual hyperoval if there is a $GF(2)$ -bilinear mapping $B : V \oplus V \rightarrow W$ such that $X(t) = \{(x, B(x, t)) \mid x \in V\} \subset V \oplus W$ for any $t \in V$. A bilinear dual hyperoval has a translation group $T := \{t_a \mid a \in V\}$, which acts regularly on $\mathcal{H} = \{X(t) \mid t \in V\}$ as $X(t)^{t_a} = X(t + a)$ for any $t \in V$, defined by the linear transformation $t_a : V \oplus W \ni (x, y) \mapsto (x, y + B(x, a)) \in V \oplus W$. We recall that T stabilizes $W = \{(0, y) \mid y \in W\}$

E-mail address: taniguchi@t.kagawa-nct.ac.jp.

and the centralizer $C_{V \oplus W}(T)$ of T in $V \oplus W$ coincides with W . We call a bilinear dual hyperoval symmetric if the bilinear mapping is symmetric, i.e., $B(x, t) = B(t, x)$ for any $x, t \in V$. (See [5] or [8] for more details.)

Known bilinear dual hyperovals in $V((d + 1)(d + 2)/2, 2)$ are the Huybrechts dual hyperoval [6] and the Buratti–Del Fra dual hyperoval (see [1, 11]). In this paper, we investigate on the covering map $\pi : \delta'_c(l', GF(2^r)) \rightarrow \delta_c(l, GF(2^r))$ in Sections 3 and 7, where the dual hyperovals $\delta'_c(l', GF(2^r))$ and $\delta_c(l, GF(2^r))$ are constructed in [9]. Using this result, we show that the Buratti–Del Fra dual hyperoval has a bilinear quotient in $V(2d + 1, 2)$ if d is odd. On the other hand, we show that the Huybrechts dual hyperoval has no bilinear quotient in $V(2d + 1, 2)$ in Section 4. We also determine the automorphism group of $\delta_c(l, GF(2^r))$ in Sections 5 and 6, and show that $Aut(\delta_c(l_2, GF(2^{r_1}))) < Aut(\delta_c(l, GF(2^r)))$ in Section 7.

2. A dual hyperoval $\delta_c(l, GF(2^r))$ for $c \in GF(2^r)$ with $Tr(c) = 1$

In this section, we recall the dual hyperovals constructed in [9]. Let $l \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers with $d = lr \geq 4$ and $GF(2^r)$ a finite field of 2^r elements. We denote by $I(d)$ the set of triples $(l, r; c)$ of positive integers l, r with $lr = d$ and an element c of $GF(2^r)$ with $1 = Tr(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} c^{2^i}$. In [9], for every $d \geq 4$ and every triple $(l, r; c)$ in $I(d)$, we construct a symmetric bilinear dual hyperoval, denoted by $\delta_c(l, GF(2^r))$, with the ambient space of rank $((1/r)d^2 + 3d + 2)/2$ as follows.

Let V_1 be a $GF(2^r)$ -vector space of rank l with a basis $\{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ and V_2 a $GF(2^r)$ -vector space of rank $l + 1$ with a basis $\{e_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq l\}$. Let $V \subset V_2$ be a $GF(2)$ -vector space of rank $rl + 1$ generated by V_1 and e_0 , i.e., $V = V_1 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$ as a $GF(2)$ -vector space. Let $c \in GF(2^r)$ be a non-zero element such that the absolute trace $Tr(c) = 1$. Let $I = \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$ and $I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$. In $V_2 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_2$, let W_c be the $GF(2^r)$ -vector subspace generated by

$$e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_j - e_j \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_i \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I \text{ with } i < j,$$

$$e_0 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_0 \quad \text{and} \quad c(e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_i) - e_0 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_i \quad \text{for all } i \in I_0.$$

We denote by $\overline{x \otimes_{GF(2^r)} y}$, or sometimes simply by $x \otimes_c y$, the image $x \otimes_{GF(2^r)} y + W_c$ of a vector $x \otimes_{GF(2^r)} y \in V_2 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_2$ under the canonical projection of $V_2 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_2$ onto $(V_2 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_2)/W_c$. (If we consider the image of the tensor products of x and y above over several different fields, such as extension fields of $GF(2^r)$ or subfields of $GF(2^r)$, we have to use the former symbol to distinguish them.) Notice that $x \otimes_c e_0 = e_0 \otimes_c x = (cx) \otimes_c x = x \otimes_c (cx)$ for any $x \in V_1$ and $e_0 \otimes_c e_0 = 0$ in $(V_2 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_2)/W_c$.

Let us define $W_s \subset V_1 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_1$ as a $GF(2^r)$ -vector subspace generated by $e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_j - e_j \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_i$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq l$. By the universal property of the tensor product there exists a $GF(2^r)$ -linear mapping $i : V_1 \otimes V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \otimes V_2$ with $i(x \otimes y) = x \otimes y$. Moreover if $\nu : V_2 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow (V_2 \otimes V_2)/W_c$ is the natural surjection, then νi has the kernel W_s , thus we have Fact 1.

Fact 1 (Lemma 5 of [9]). $(V_1 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_1)/W_s = (V_2 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_2)/W_c$.

We call $(V_1 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_1)/W_s$ the symmetric tensor space of V_1 over $GF(2^r)$, and denote it by $Sym(V_1 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_1)$. In this note, we sometimes use the following proposition.

Proposition 2. Let $L \in GL(V_1, 2)$ such that $\overline{(xL) \otimes_{GF(2^r)} y} = \overline{x \otimes_{GF(2^r)} (yL)}$ for any $x, y \in V_1$, then $xL = ax$ for some $a \in GF(2^r) \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $x \in V_1$.

Proof. Let $B := \{e_i \mid i \in I_0\}$ be a basis of V_1 over $GF(2^r)$. Then $\{\overline{e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq l\}$ is a basis of $Sym(V_1 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_1)$ as a $GF(2^r)$ -vector space. By assumption, we have $\overline{e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_i} = \overline{(e_i L^{-1}) \otimes_{GF(2^r)} (e_i L)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Let $e_i L^{-1} = \sum x_s e_s$ and $e_i L = \sum y_t e_t$ with $x_s, y_t \in GF(2^r)$ for $1 \leq s, t \leq l$. Then $\overline{e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_i} = \overline{(x_i y_i) e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_i + \sum_{s \neq i} (x_s y_s) e_s \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_s + \sum_{s < t} (x_s y_t + x_t y_s) e_s \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_t}$. Hence $x_i y_i = 1, x_s y_s = 0$ for any $s \neq i$ and $x_s y_t + x_t y_s = 0$ for any $s \neq t$. If $x_s = 0$ and $y_s \neq 0$ for some $s \neq i$, then we have $x_t = 0$ for any $t \neq s$ as $x_s y_t + x_t y_s = 0$ for any $s \neq t$, which contradicts to $x_i \neq 0$. Thus we have $x_s = 0$ and $y_s = 0$ for any $s \neq i$. Therefore, there exist $a_i \in GF(2^r) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $e_i L^{-1} = a_i^{-1} e_i$ and $e_i L = a_i e_i$ for any $e_i \in B$. Next, since $\overline{e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_j} = \overline{(e_i L^{-1}) \otimes_{GF(2^r)} (e_j L)} = \overline{(a_i^{-1} e_i) \otimes_{GF(2^r)} (a_j e_j)} = \overline{(a_i^{-1} a_j) e_i \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq l$, we must have $a_i = a_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq l$. Let us put $a := a_i$. Then we have $e_i L = a e_i$ for any $e_i \in B$. Since $\overline{(\alpha e_i) L \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_j} = \overline{(\alpha e_i) \otimes_{GF(2^r)} (e_j L)} = \overline{(\alpha a e_i) \otimes_{GF(2^r)} e_j}$ for $\alpha \in GF(2^r)$ and for $1 \leq i, j \leq l$, we have $(\alpha e_i) L = \alpha a e_i$ for $e_i \in B$. As $V_1 = GF(2^r) e_1 + \dots + GF(2^r) e_l$ as a $GF(2)$ -space, the assertions follow. \square

We also use the following fact in this note for several times.

Fact 3 (Proposition 11 of [9]). For non-zero $x, y \in V$, we have $x \otimes_c y = 0$ if and only if $x = cy + e_0 \notin V_1$ in case $y \in V_1, x = c^{-1}(y + e_0) \in V_1$ in case $y \notin V_1$ with $y \neq e_0$, and $x = e_0$ in case $y = e_0$.

We set $d := rl$. We regard $Sym(V_1 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_1)$ as a $GF(2)$ -vector space of rank $((1/r)d^2 + d)/2$. Inside $V(((1/r)d^2 + 3d + 2)/2, 2) := V \oplus (V_2 \otimes V_2)/W_c = V \oplus Sym(V_1 \otimes_{GF(2^r)} V_1)$, for each $t \in V$, define a subspace $X(t)$ of rank $d + 1$ by

$$X(t) := \{(x, x \otimes_c t) \mid x \in V\}.$$

Let us define $\delta_c(l, GF(2^r)) := \{X(t) \mid t \in V\}$.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4646728>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4646728>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)