



On endomorphisms of alternating forms graph[☆]



Li-Ping Huang^{*}, Jin-Qian Huang, Kang Zhao

School of Mathematics, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha, 410004, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 January 2014

Received in revised form 15 October 2014

Accepted 17 October 2014

Available online 22 November 2014

Keywords:

Alternating forms graph

Endomorphism

Core

Pseudo-core

Maximum clique

ABSTRACT

A graph G is a pseudo-core if every endomorphism of G is either an automorphism or a colouring. Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements and let $\text{Alt}(m, q)$ ($m \geq 4$) be the alternating forms graph on the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^m . We prove that $\text{Alt}(m, q)$ is a pseudo-core. Moreover, if m is odd, then $\text{Alt}(m, q)$ is a core. If both m and q are even, then $\text{Alt}(m, q)$ is not a core.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs are *simple* [6] and finite. Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements where q is a power of a prime, and let $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$ the set of $m \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_q and $\mathbb{F}_q^m = \mathbb{F}_q^{1 \times m}$. Let $|X|$ be the cardinal number of a set X . In a graph G , the *distance* $d(x, y)$ between vertices x and y is the number of edges of a shortest path from x to y . We write $x \sim y$ (resp. $x \approx y$) if vertices x and y are adjacent (resp. nonadjacent). Let $V(G)$ be the vertex set of a graph G .

Assume that G, H are graphs and $\varphi : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is a map. If $\varphi(x) \sim \varphi(y)$ whenever $x \sim y$, then φ is called a *homomorphism*. If φ is bijective and $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x) \sim \varphi(y)$, then φ is an *isomorphism*. A homomorphism (resp. isomorphism) from G to itself is called an *endomorphism* (resp. *automorphism*) of G . If H is the complete graph K_r , then φ is called a *r-colouring* (colouring for short) of G . The *chromatic number* $\chi(G)$ of G is the least value k for which G can be k -coloured.

Recall that a *clique* of a graph G is a subgraph of G that is complete; a *maximal clique* of G is a clique C of G such that there is no clique of G which properly contains C as a subset; a *maximum clique* of G is a clique of G which has maximum cardinality. The *clique number* $\omega(G)$ of a graph G is the number of vertices in a maximum clique. In the language of geometry of matrices [20,9,11], we use the term *maximal set* to indicate a *maximal clique*.

A graph G is a *core* [6] if every endomorphism of G is an automorphism. A subgraph Γ of a graph G is a *core of G* [6] if it is a core and there exists some homomorphism from G to Γ . A graph G is called *core-complete* [7] if it is a core or its core is complete.

A graph G is called a *pseudo-core* if every endomorphism of G is either an automorphism or a colouring. It is easy to see that a graph G is a pseudo-core if and only if every endomorphism of G is either an automorphism or its range is a maximum clique. Thus, if a graph G is a pseudo-core but not a core, then there is an endomorphism of G such that its range is a maximum clique. Every core is a pseudo-core. Every pseudo-core is core-complete but not vice versa.

[☆] Project 11371072 supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: lipingmath@163.com (L.-P. Huang), francis19890601@163.com (J.-Q. Huang), zhaokangmath@126.com (K. Zhao).

For a graph G , an *independent set* of G is a subset of vertices such that no two vertices are adjacent. A *largest independent set* of G is an independent set of maximum cardinality. The *independence number* $\alpha(G)$ of G is the number of vertices in a *largest independent set* of G [6].

For an $m \times m$ ($m \geq 2$) matrix A over \mathbb{F}_q , let tA be the *transpose matrix* of A . If ${}^tA = -A$ with zero diagonal elements, then A is called an *alternate matrix*. A is an $m \times m$ alternate matrix if and only if $xA^t x = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ (cf. [20, p.39]). If q is odd, then A is alternate if and only if A is skew-symmetric (or $A = -{}^tA$). However, if q is even, then a skew-symmetric matrix may not be alternate because $a = -a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. The rank of any alternate matrix is even (cf. [20, Proposition 1.34] or [22, Theorem 11.11]).

Let $\mathcal{K}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (\mathcal{K}_m for short) be the set of $m \times m$ ($m \geq 2$) alternate matrices over \mathbb{F}_q . The *alternating forms graph* $\text{Alt}(m, q)$ has the vertex set $\mathcal{K}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and two vertices A and B are adjacent if $\text{rank}(A - B) = 2$. By [2, Theorem 9.5.3], every alternating forms graph is *distance-transitive*. By the geometry of alternate matrices (cf. [20, Prop. 4.5] or below formula (1)), every alternating forms graph is connected. By [7, Corollary 4.2], any alternating forms graph is core-complete. The alternating forms graph plays an important role in geometry, graph theory, group theory, association schemes and coding theory [21,20,23,11].

Recently, literatures [15,14,10] characterized the endomorphisms for *symmetric bilinear forms graphs*, *hermitian forms graphs* and *bilinear forms graphs* over a finite field, respectively. However, the characterization of the endomorphisms of $\text{Alt}(m, q)$ remains open. The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let m be an integer ≥ 4 , and let $G = \text{Alt}(m, q)$. Then G is a pseudo-core. Moreover, if m is odd, then G is a core. If m is even, then G is not a core if and only if its independence number is $q^{(m-1)(m-2)/2}$. In particular, if both m and q are even, then G is not a core.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we characterize maximal (maximum) cliques. In Section 3, we discuss the core, independence number and chromatic number of $\text{Alt}(m, q)$. In Section 4, we prove that if an endomorphism φ of $\text{Alt}(m, q)$ maps two distinct type one maximal cliques (their intersection is nonempty) onto a maximum clique, then $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is a maximum clique. Finally, we will prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Maximal cliques and maximum cliques of $\text{Alt}(m, q)$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to discuss properties of maximal cliques and maximum cliques of $\text{Alt}(m, q)$.

Recall that every alternate matrix has even rank. If $m = 2, 3$, then $\text{rank}(A - B) = 2$ for any two distinct $A, B \in \mathcal{K}_m$; thus $\text{Alt}(m, q)$ is a clique and it is a core. From now on, we always assume $m \geq 4$ for $G = \text{Alt}(m, q)$ in our discussion unless specified otherwise.

In $\text{Alt}(m, q)$, it is well-known that (cf. [20, Prop. 4.5])

$$d(A, B) = \frac{1}{2} \text{rank}(A - B), \quad A, B \in \mathcal{K}_m. \tag{1}$$

Denote by $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the set of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices over \mathbb{F}_q . Let $E_{ij}^{n \times n}$ (E_{ij} for short) be the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is 1 and all other entries are 0's, and let $D_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ji}$ where $i \neq j$. Let I_r be the $r \times r$ identity matrix. Denote by $O_{m,n}$ the $m \times n$ zero matrix (0 for short), and $O_n = O_{n,n}$. Let $\text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_k)$ be a block diagonal matrix over \mathbb{F}_q . We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q D_{ij}$, \mathcal{M}_i and \mathcal{N}_j the subsets of \mathcal{K}_m which are defined by $\mathbb{F}_q D_{ij} = \{x D_{ij} : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$,

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \left\{ \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m x_j D_{ij} : x_j \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_j = \{x D_{12} + y D_{1j} + z D_{2j} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \quad (j = 3, \dots, m).$$

Two $n \times n$ matrices A and B over \mathbb{F}_q are said to be *congruent*, denoted by $A \approx B$, if there exists a $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that ${}^tPAP = B$. For a subset \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{K}_m , we write ${}^tP\mathcal{S}P + A = \{{}^tXPX + A : X \in \mathcal{S}\}$, where $A \in \mathcal{K}_m$ and $P \in GL_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Put $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $J^{(r)} = \text{diag}(J, \dots, J) \in \mathcal{K}_{2r}$.

Lemma 2.1 ([20, Proposition 1.34] or [22, Theorem 11.11]). *If $A \in \mathcal{K}_m$ ($m \geq 2$), then the rank of A is necessarily even. Further, if A is of rank $2r$, then $A \approx \text{diag}(J^{(r)}, 0)$ and*

$$A \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_r & 0 \\ -I_r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

For convenience, if \mathcal{M} is a maximal clique (maximum clique) of a graph G , then we regard that \mathcal{M} and $V(\mathcal{M})$ are the same.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4647083>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4647083>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)