Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol

Preservation of complete metrizability by covering maps

Alexey Ostrovsky

Saint-Petersburg State University of Aerospace Instrumentation, Russian Federation

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 December 2014 Accepted 16 April 2015 Available online 4 January 2016

MSC: primary 54E40, 54C05, 54C10 secondary 26A15, 46A30, 97I30

Keywords: Compact-covering Polish space Complete metric *n*-to-one maps ABSTRACT

A map $f: X \to Y$ is said to be *w*-covering if for every ordinal α and every compact $S \subset Y$ such that the α -th Cantor derivative $(S)^{\alpha}$ of S is a singleton $\{y\}$ there is a compact subset E of X such that $f(E) \subset S$ and $(f(E))^{\alpha} = \{y\}$.

We investigate the preservation of completely metrizability by various kinds of w-covering maps.

@ 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

We assume that all spaces are separable and metrizable and all maps $f : X \to Y$ are continuous onto Y = f(X).

Recall that a map $f: X \to Y$ is **compact-covering** [1] (respectively, **s-covering** [10] or countable-compactcovering) if for every compact (respectively, countable compact) set $F \subset Y$, there is a compact set $E \subset X$ such that $f(E) \supset F$.¹

In 1968 Michael and Stone proved [6]:

• compact-covering images of irrationals are F_{II} -spaces.²

In 1971 the author has proved a similar assertion involving s-covering maps [10]:

• s-covering images of F_{II} -spaces are F_{II} -spaces.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2015.04.019 0166-8641/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.





(1)

Topology and its Applications

E-mail address: Alexei.Ostrovski@gmx.de.

¹ Since f is continuous, and thus $f^{-1}(F)$ is closed, $f(E) \supset F$ is equivalent to f(E) = F.

² According to Hausdorff, a space X is a F_{II} -spaces if each of its subspaces Z of the first category (on Z) is not closed in X.

Several authors [3,19,11] have shown independently in the early 70's that the image of a complete metric space under such a map is itself completely metrizable (see also [2,4,5,8,20,18,17]).

The starting idea of the present research was the observation that the proof of the assertion (1) remains valid if we replace "s-covering" with "w-covering".

Since F_{II} -spaces are very close to Polish spaces, it would be natural to ask:

Does every
$$w$$
-covering map preserve complete metrizability? (2)

Our goal is to show that this relates to the preservation of complete metrizability by different kinds of covering maps (see References) and their derivatives.

Before defining w-covering maps, we introduce the following notion:

A countable compact subset of Y is said to be **compact of order** α with top y, and is denoted by $S_{\alpha}(y)$, if its α -th derived set $(S_{\alpha}(y))^{\alpha}$ is the singleton $\{y\}$.³

In particular, this means that $S_0(y) = \{y\}$. Obviously, in case of separable metric spaces $\alpha < \aleph_1$.

Definition 1. A map $f : X \to Y$ is said to be *w*-covering if for every $S_{\alpha}(y) \subset Y$ there is a compact set $E \subset X$ such that $f(E) \subset S_{\alpha}(y)$ is a compact set of order α with top y.

The main difference between s-covering and w-covering is that in the latter no surjectivity is asked.

A map $f: X \to Y$ is said to be *h*-covering if for every ordinal α and every $S_{\alpha}(y) \subset Y$ there is a compact set $E \subset X$ such that f|E is one-to-one and $f(E) \subset S_{\alpha}(y)$ is a compact set of order α with top y.

Obviously, we have:

- f is compact-covering $\Longrightarrow f$ is s-covering $\Longrightarrow f$ is w-covering;
- f is h-covering $\Longrightarrow f$ is w-covering.

An example given by the author in [12] shows:

• f is w-covering $\not\Longrightarrow f$ is s-covering.

In this example the map $f: X \to S_1(y)$ is a composition of an open and a perfect map. Since open and closed maps are stable and every composition of stable maps is stable [15] it follows that a composition of *s*-covering maps can be non *s*-covering.

Recall that a map is stable [15,7] if one can assign to every point $y \in Y$ a system η_y of subsets open in X, intersecting $f^{-1}(y)$ and such that

- $X \in \eta_y$ and
- for every $U \in \eta_y$ and open $V \supset f^{-1}(y) \cap U$ there is a deleted neighborhood $\dot{O}(y)$ of y such that $V \in \eta_{y'}$ for every point $y' \in \dot{O}(y)$.

The following two propositions have been established in [15, Proposition E] and [15, Theorem 0]:

Proposition 1. Every s-covering map is stable.

Proposition 2. Stable maps $f: X \to Y$ preserve complete metrizability.

³ The derived set (X)' is the set of all limit points of X. Recall that $(X)^0 = X$, $(X)^{\alpha+1} = (X^{\alpha})'$ and $(X)^{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^{\beta}$ for limit ordinals α .

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4657853

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4657853

Daneshyari.com