



Diagonalizations of dense families



Maddalena Bonanzinga^a, Filippo Cammaroto^a, Bruno Antonio Pansera^a,
Boaz Tsaban^{b,*,1}

^a *Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Messina, 98166 Messina, Italy*

^b *Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan 5290002, Israel*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 July 2013

Accepted 7 January 2014

MSC:

primary 37F20

secondary 26A03, 03E75, 03E17

Keywords:

Dense families

Selection principles

$S_{fin}(O, O)$

Hurewicz property

Menger property

$S_1(O, O)$

C''

Rothberger property

$S_{fin}(D, D)$

$S_{fin}(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D})$

$S_{fin}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$

M-separable

Selectively separable

SS

$S_1(D, D)$

$S_1(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D})$

$S_1(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$

R-separable

$S_{fin}(D_o, D)$

Tiny sequence

$S_{fin}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$

$S_1(D_o, D)$

$S_1(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$

1-tiny sequence

Selectively c.c.c.

$S_{fin}(O, D)$

Weakly Hurewicz

Weakly Menger

ABSTRACT

We develop a unified framework for the study of classic and new properties involving diagonalizations of dense families in topological spaces. We provide complete classification of these properties. Our classification draws upon a large number of methods and constructions scattered in the literature, and on several novel results concerning the classic properties.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mbonanzinga@unime.it (M. Bonanzinga), camfil@unime.it (F. Cammaroto), bpansera@unime.it (B.A. Pansera), tsaban@math.biu.ac.il (B. Tsaban).

URL: <http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/~tsaban> (B. Tsaban).

¹ Current address: Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rhovot 7610001, Israel.

$S_1(O, D)$
Weakly C''
 $S_1(O, \mathcal{D})$
Weakly Rothberger

1. Introduction

The following diagonalization prototypes are ubiquitous in the mathematical literature (see, e.g., the surveys [29,19,31]):

$S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For all $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$, there are $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$ such that $\{U_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

$S_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For all $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$, there are finite $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$ such that $\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

The papers [25,18] have initiated the simultaneous consideration of these properties in the case where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are important families of open covers of a topological space X . This unified study of topological properties, that were previously studied separately, had tremendous success, some of which were surveyed in the above-mentioned surveys. The field of *selection principles* is growing rapidly, and dozens of new papers appeared since these survey articles were published. The purpose of the present paper is to initiate a similar program for the case where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are dense families, as we now define.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a topological space. A family $\mathcal{U} \subseteq P(X)$ is a *dense family* if $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$ is a dense subset of X . A family $\mathcal{U} \subseteq P(X)$ is in:

D: if \mathcal{U} is dense;

D_o : if \mathcal{U} is dense and all members of \mathcal{U} are open; and

O : if \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X .

In other words, \mathcal{U} is a dense family if each open set in X intersects some member of \mathcal{U} . Note that

$$O \subseteq D_o \subseteq D.$$

Every element of D is refined by a dense family of singletons. It follows, for example, that $S_{\text{fin}}(D, D)$ is equivalent to the following property, studied under various names in the literature (see Table 1 below):

For each sequence $A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\overline{A_n} = X$ for all n , there are finite sets $F_n \subseteq A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\bigcup_n \overline{F_n} = X$.

We study all properties $S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ for $S \in \{S_1, S_{\text{fin}}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \{O, D_o, D\}$, by making use of their inter-connections. This approach is expected to have impact beyond these properties, not only concerning properties that imply or are implied by the above-mentioned properties (e.g., the corresponding game-theoretic properties), but also concerning formally unrelated properties that have a similar flavor.

The properties we are studying here were studied in the literature under various, sometimes pairwise incompatible, names. Examples are given in Table 1 below, with some references. We do not give references for $S_{\text{fin}}(O, O)$ and $S_1(O, O)$, because there are hundreds of them. Instead, we refer to the above-mentioned surveys. In this table, by *obsolete* we mean that nowadays the name stands for another property.

A topological space is \mathcal{A} -Lindelöf ($\mathcal{A} \in \{D, D_o, O, \dots\}$) if each member of \mathcal{A} contains a countable member of \mathcal{A} . If X satisfies $S_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, then X is \mathcal{A} -Lindelöf. Thus, $S_{\text{fin}}(O, O)$ spaces are Lindelöf, $S_{\text{fin}}(D, D)$ spaces are separable, and $S_{\text{fin}}(D_o, D)$ spaces are D_o -Lindelöf, or equivalently, c.c.c. (i.e., such that every

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4658803>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4658803>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)