Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Annals of Pure and Applied Logic

www.elsevier.com/locate/apal

## Categorical characterizations of the natural numbers require primitive recursion

Leszek Aleksander Kołodziejczyk<sup>a,1</sup>, Keita Yokoyama<sup>b,\*,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland
<sup>b</sup> School of Information Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Nomi, Ishikawa, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 May 2014 Received in revised form 26 September 2014 Accepted 10 October 2014 Available online 30 October 2014

MSC: 03B30 03F35 03B15 03H15

Keywords: Reverse mathematics Nonstandard models Second-order logic Peano system Categorical sentences

## ABSTRACT

Simpson and Yokoyama (2013) [9] asked whether there exists a characterization of the natural numbers by a second-order sentence which is provably categorical in the theory  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$ . We answer in the negative, showing that for any characterization of the natural numbers which is provably true in  $\mathsf{WKL}_0^*$ , the categoricity theorem implies  $\Sigma_1^0$  induction.

On the other hand, we show that  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  does make it possible to characterize the natural numbers categorically by means of a set of second-order sentences. We also show that a certain  $\Pi_2^1$ -conservative extension of  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  admits a provably categorical single-sentence characterization of the naturals, but each such characterization has to be inconsistent with  $\mathsf{WKL}_0^*$  + superexp.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Inspired by a question of Väänänen (see e.g. [11] for some related work), Simpson and the second author [9] studied various second-order characterizations of  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle$ , with the aim of determining the reversemathematical strength of their respective categoricity theorems. One of the general conclusions is that the strength of a categoricity theorem depends heavily on the characterization. Strikingly, however, each of the categoricity theorems considered in [9] implies RCA<sub>0</sub>, even over the much weaker base theory RCA<sub>0</sub><sup>\*</sup>, that is, RCA<sub>0</sub> with  $\Sigma_1^0$  induction replaced by  $\Delta_0^0$  induction in the language with exponentiation. (For RCA<sub>0</sub><sup>\*</sup>, see [8].)

This leads to the following question.

\* Corresponding author.

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apal.2014.10.003 \\ 0168-0072/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.$ 





E-mail addresses: lak@mimuw.edu.pl (L.A. Kołodziejczyk), y-keita@jaist.ac.jp (K. Yokoyama).

 $<sup>^1\,</sup>$  Supported in part by Polish National Science Centre Grant No. 2013/09/B/ST1/04390.

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>$  Supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up Grant No. 25887026.

Question 1 ([9, Question 5.3, slightly rephrased]). Does  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  prove the existence of a second-order sentence or set of sentences T such that  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle$  is a model of T and all models of T are isomorphic to  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle$ ? One may also consider the same question with  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  replaced by  $\Pi_2^0$ -conservative extensions of  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$ .

Naturally, to have any hope of characterizing infinite structures categorically, second-order logic has to be interpreted according to the *standard* semantics (sometimes also known as strong or Tarskian semantics), as opposed to the *general* (or Henkin) semantics. In other words, a second-order quantifier  $\forall X$  really means "for *all* subsets of the universe" (or, as we would say in a set-theoretic context, "for all elements of the power set of the universe").

Question 1 admits multiple versions depending on whether we focus on  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  or consider other  $\Pi_2^0$ -equivalent theories and whether we want the characterizations of the natural numbers to be sentences or sets of sentences. The most basic version, restricted to  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  and single-sentence characterizations, would read as follows:

**Question 2.** Does there exist a second-order sentence  $\psi$  in the language with one unary function f and one constant c such that  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  proves: (i)  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle \models \psi$ , and (ii) for every  $\langle A, f, c \rangle$ , if  $\langle A, f, c \rangle \models \psi$ , then there exists an isomorphism between  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle A, f, c \rangle$ ?

We answer Question 2 in the negative. In fact, characterizing  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle$  not only up to isomorphism, but even just up to *equicardinality of the universe*, requires the full strength of RCA<sub>0</sub>. More precisely:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\psi$  be a second-order sentence in the language with one unary function f and one individual constant c. If  $\mathsf{WKL}_0^*$  proves that  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle \models \psi$ , then over  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  the statement "for every  $\langle A, f, c \rangle$ , if  $\langle A, f, c \rangle \models \psi$ , then there exists a bijection between  $\mathbb{N}$  and A" implies  $\mathsf{RCA}_0$ .

Since  $RCA_0$  is equivalent over  $RCA_0^*$  to a statement expressing the correctness of defining functions by primitive recursion [8, Lemma 2.5], Theorem 1 may be intuitively understood as saying that, for provably true single-sentence characterizations at least, "categorical characterizations of the natural numbers require primitive recursion".

Do less stringent versions of Question 1 give rise to "exceptions" to this general conclusion? As it turns out, they do. Firstly, characterizing the natural numbers by a *set* of sentences is already possible in  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$ , in the following sense (for a precise statement of the theorem, see Section 4):

**Theorem 2.** There exists a  $\Delta_0$ -definable (and polynomial-time recognizable) set  $\Xi$  of  $\Sigma_1^1 \wedge \Pi_1^1$  sentences such that  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$  proves: for every  $\langle A, f, c \rangle$ ,  $\langle A, f, c \rangle$  satisfies all  $\xi \in \Xi$  if and only if  $\langle A, f, c \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle$ .

Secondly, even a single-sentence characterization is possible in a  $\Pi_2^1$ -conservative extension of  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$ , at least if one is willing to consider rather peculiar theories:

**Theorem 3.** There is a  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentence which is a categorical characterization of  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle$  provably in the theory  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^* + \neg \mathsf{WKL}$ .

Theorem 3 is not quite satisfactory, as the theory and characterization it speaks of are false in  $\langle \omega, \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rangle$ . So, another natural question to ask is whether a single-sentence characterization of the natural numbers can be provably categorical in a *true*  $\Pi_2^0$ -conservative extension of  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^*$ . We show that under an assumption just a little stronger than  $\Pi_2^0$ -conservativity, the characterization from Theorem 3 is actually "as true as possible": Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4661740

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4661740

Daneshyari.com