



## GR focus review

## A review of batholiths and other plutonic intrusions of Mexico



Fernando Ortega-Gutiérrez<sup>a</sup>, Mariano Elías-Herrera<sup>a</sup>, Dante J. Morán-Zenteno<sup>a</sup>, Luigi Solari<sup>b,\*</sup>,  
Laura Luna-González<sup>a</sup>, Peter Schaaf<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Geología, Cd. Universitaria, 04510 México, D.F., Mexico

<sup>b</sup> Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Centro de Geociencias, Juriquilla, Querétaro, Mexico

<sup>c</sup> Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Geofísica, Cd. Universitaria, 04510, México, D.F., Mexico

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 12 November 2013

Received in revised form 25 April 2014

Accepted 3 May 2014

Available online 27 May 2014

Handling Editor: M. Santosh

## Keywords:

Granite

Batholith

Crustal growth

Mexico

Laramide

Tectonic exhumation

## ABSTRACT

Granitic plutons constitute a major portion of the Phanerozoic continental crust of Mexico, with the great majority (ca. 90%) associated to the Laramide Late-Cretaceous–Eocene orogeny and the eastward subduction of the Pacific Ocean plates, as well as to magmatic arcs essentially built since the early Mesozoic at the western margin of North America. Exposed mainly as a wide (up to 300 km) and over 3000 km long batholithic belt at the Mexican Pacific margin from Baja California to Chiapas, granitoids conform large intrusive complexes and hundreds of smaller plutons, the age of which vary from ca. 1400 Ma (Mesoproterozoic) to ca. 10 Ma (late Miocene). In many cases uplift and erosion have revealed the deep roots of the batholiths, whereas in other places many intrusions were emplaced in upper crustal environments, as suggested by the extremely variable cooling rates of >200 °C/Ma (very shallow) to 1–10 °C/Ma (very deep).

Lithologies and isotopic data indicate unambiguously the central participation of the local lower crust in the genesis of the batholiths and plutons, imprinting on them marked petrologic, geochemical and structural zoning across the Paleozoic paleomargins and through the present NW-trending Mexican continental edge according to the lithospheric component involved: Laurentia in the northern and northwestern regions of Mexico, accreted Mesozoic terranes in western Mexico, and Oaxaquia (Gondwana) in eastern and southern Mexico.

Major problems related to the evolution of the Mexican main granitoids are outlined in time slices for the Proterozoic, Paleozoic, Permo-Triassic, Jurassic, Early Cretaceous, Late Cretaceous–Paleogene and Neogene, which represent in Mexico major epochs of crustal growth and continental recycling, mainly associated not only with Pacific–North America convergence, but also with extensional and transpressional events that altogether marked, not only the lithological and structural evolution of most of the country, but also its extraordinary mineral wealth. Finally, some preliminary comparisons (differences and similarities) are made between the Mexican batholiths and other plutonic complexes in Central Asia (Lhasa Terrane) and Japan.

© 2014 International Association for Gondwana Research. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1.	Introduction . . . . .	835
2.	Previous work . . . . .	836
3.	General distribution and geology of the main batholiths . . . . .	836
3.1.	Baja California batholithic belt (Mexican Peninsular Ranges) . . . . .	836
3.1.1.	Juárez–San Pedro Mártir batholith (JSPMB) . . . . .	836
3.2.	Los Cabos batholithic complex (LCBC) . . . . .	842
3.2.1.	Tectonic setting . . . . .	842
3.2.2.	Age and petrological data . . . . .	842
3.2.3.	Exhumation . . . . .	843
3.3.	Sonora Laramide batholithic complex (SLBC) . . . . .	843
3.3.1.	Tectonic setting . . . . .	843
3.3.2.	Age, petrologic and exhumation data . . . . .	843
3.4.	Sinaloa–Durango–Nayarit batholithic complex (SDBC) . . . . .	844

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [solari@unam.mx](mailto:solari@unam.mx) (L. Solari).

3.4.1.	Tectonic setting	844
3.4.2.	Geochemical and age data	844
3.4.3.	Exhumation	844
3.5.	Southern Mexico batholithic belts (SMBB)	844
3.5.1.	Puerto Vallarta and Manzanillo batholiths (PVB and MB)	845
3.5.2.	Manzanillo batholith	846
3.5.3.	Jilotlán batholith (JB)	846
3.5.4.	La Huacana batholith	846
3.5.5.	Arteaga batholith	846
3.5.6.	Sierra Madre del Sur batholiths and smaller plutons	846
3.5.7.	Coastal Chiapanecan Neogene batholithic belt (CCNBB)	848
3.6.	Pre-Mesozoic arc-related (exposed) batholiths	849
3.6.1.	Chiapas batholith	849
3.6.2.	La Mixtequita batholith	851
3.6.3.	Honduras, Zaniza and Cuanana plutons	851
3.7.	Eastern Paleozoic batholithic belt (mostly buried)	851
3.7.1.	Tectonic setting	851
3.7.2.	Age and petrological data	851
3.7.3.	Exhumation	852
3.8.	Continental interior plutons (rift, shear zone, and arc-related)	852
3.8.1.	Mesoproterozoic plutons	852
3.8.2.	Early Paleozoic plutons	852
3.8.3.	Late Paleozoic–Triassic plutons	853
3.8.4.	Cretaceous–Paleogene plutons	854
3.8.5.	Cenozoic plutons	855
3.9.	Alkaline intrusive complexes of NE Mexico	855
3.9.1.	Tectonic setting	855
3.9.2.	Sierra Los Picachos, Nuevo León	855
3.9.3.	Sierra de San Carlos–Cruillas, Tamaulipas intrusive complex	856
3.9.4.	Sierra de Tamaulipas (El Picacho)	857
3.10.	Plutons of the Sierra Madre Oriental	857
3.10.1.	Concepción del Oro intrusive belt	857
3.10.2.	Candela–Monclova intrusive belt	857
3.10.3.	Isolated small intrusions	857
4.	Tectonic evolution of the plutonic intrusives of Mexico	857
4.1.	Mesoproterozoic	857
4.2.	Early Paleozoic and Carboniferous–early Permian	858
4.3.	Permian–Triassic	859
4.4.	Jurassic	859
4.5.	Early Cretaceous	859
4.6.	Late-Cretaceous–Paleogene	859
4.7.	Neogene	859
4.8.	Origin of the Late Cretaceous–Paleogene voluminous silicic magmatism in NW Mexico	860
4.9.	Origin and tectonics of the alkaline massif plutonic centers of NE Mexico	861
4.10.	Preliminary comparisons between the main batholithic belts of western Mexico and major plutonic intrusive belts of south Central Asia and Japan	861
5.	Conclusions	862
	Acknowledgments	862
	References	862

## 1. Introduction

Granitic rocks *sensu lato* (alkaline granite to syenite, and tonalite to quartz diorite) and associated mafic and ultramafic intrusions of the continental margins constitute one of the largest components of the middle and upper crust (Vielzeuf et al., 1990; Wedepohl, 1995; Kemp et al., 2007; Condie et al., 2009), and have been continuously generated since Hadean times (e.g. Wilde et al., 2001; Harrison et al., 2005). In addition, intrusions in the continental interiors are generated by distinct tectonic settings, including rifts (e.g. Eby, 1990) and major shear zones (e.g. Ingram and Hutton, 1994). The great majority of these rocks are assembled at convergent margins during subduction of oceanic plates and island arcs interacting with the continental margins, eventually forming the roots of magmatic arcs (batholiths) that commonly extend for thousands of kilometers along the plate margins, such as the Cordillera of western North America (e.g. Hamilton and Myers, 1967), the Andes of South America (e.g. Pitcher, 1978) and the Altaids of central Asia (e.g. Wilhem et al., 2012).

The geologic structure of Mexico is characterized by its youth (<1.85 Ga) and a complex tectonostratigraphic architecture (Table 1) dominated by accreted Paleozoic and Mesozoic orogenic terranes. Although undeformed plutons as old as 1.4 Ga are present, they constitute a very minor proportion of the exposed geology of the country. During the Phanerozoic, the prevalent convergent margin character of the Mexican territory that has been active since the amalgamation of Pangea in the late Paleozoic, imprinted to the middle and upper crust of Mexico a characteristic granitic composition, which is particularly well exposed along its entire western margin, and should also be buried across most of the country, as indicated by the common presence of Paleozoic to Jurassic granitoids found in numerous oil wells drilled in eastern Mexico (Wilson, 1990; Jacobo-Albarrán, 1996; Torres-Vargas et al., 1999), as well as by dispersed outcrops of intrusions of several ages extending from Chihuahua to Oaxaca states. Mexican batholiths thus provide crucial information on the tectonic evolution of the country and adjacent orogenic (i.e. the southern Cordillera) and cratonic (i.e. southwestern Laurentia) domains since the Middle Proterozoic. However, although deformed

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4726828>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4726828>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)