Accepted Manuscript

Title: Failures in Adopting Green Technology Under Perfect Pollution Pricing and Monopoly

Author: Andrew Davis

PII: \$1477-3880(17)30024-5

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.iree.2017.06.002

Reference: IREE 112

To appear in:

Received date: 11-3-2017 Revised date: 12-6-2017 Accepted date: 21-6-2017

Please cite this article as: Andrew Davis, Failures in Adopting Green Technology Under Perfect Pollution Pricing and Monopoly, <![CDATA[International Review of Economics Education]]> (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iree.2017.06.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Failures in Adopting Green Technology Under Perfect
Pollution Pricing and Monopoly

Andrew Davis*

Acadia University

June 23, 2017

Abstract

The regulation of environmental externalities via pollution pricing is a mainstay of many environmental economics texts. Internalizing the cost of pollution leads firms to reduce output to the efficient quantity. However, firms often make decisions other than quantity, such as a choice of which technology to use in production, and studying these choices is often mathematically challenging for undergraduates.

One result from this literature is that markets characterized by imperfect competition may not adopt less-polluting production processes - green technology - even when the green technology confers net benefits on society. I present a simple argument to illustrate how a basic monopoly will continue to use a dirty production technology in the presence of a known superior green technology, even when subject to a perfectly internalizing pollution tax, without appealing to further technological or informational market failures.

^{*}Department of Economics, Acadia University, andrew.davis@acadiau.ca. I extend sincere thanks to two diligent referees. Any remaining errors are strictly my own.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4938758

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4938758

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>