Applied Acoustics 129 (2018) 104-107

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Acoustics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apacoust

Investigation on acceptable reverberation time at various frequency bands in halls that present amplified music $\stackrel{\star}{\sim}$

Niels Werner Adelman-Larsen^{a,*}, Cheol-Ho Jeong^b, Bård Støfringsdal^c

ARTICLE INFO

Article history Received 22 May 2017 Received in revised form 5 July 2017 Accepted 6 July 2017 Available online 26 July 2017

Keywords: Amplified music Reverberation time Bass clarity Concert hall rating Reinforced music

1. Introduction

Part of the perceived sound quality at any given indoor, amplified concert stems from the quality, extent, and tuning of the sound system, whereas another part stems from the acoustics of the hall. There has been limited scientific research effort published with the attempt to find recommendations for acoustics for amplified music concerts. A paper examined 20 Danish pop and rock venues, of which the acoustic conditions were measured and rated by musicians and sound engineers for halls of volume from approximately 1000 m³ to 7000 m³ [1]. From the study, the recommended reverberation time, expressed as $T_{30(63-2k)}$ for a given venue size, was suggested on the basis of sound engineers' and musicians' preference as one group. It was also found that the group of sound engineers in that particular survey preferred more dampened acoustics than the musicians, giving preference to the hall that had lowest reverberation time in the study.

It was further substantiated that what separates the best from the not so well liked venues is a shorter $T_{\rm 30}$ in the 63 Hz, 125 Hz and 250 Hz octave bands. This finding is important, but actually

not surprising, since bass levels are amplified to very high levels at pop and rock concerts, typically app. 100 dB(A) [2,9]. One paper [8] examined the sound pressure levels at various frequency bands for a large sample of popular recordings and found that the loudest level is found in the 125 Hz octave band. The level in the 125 Hz band was found to be about 5 dB(A) louder than the 63 Hz band. Similarly in [2] for actual live pop/rock concerts, it was found that the sound pressure level in the 125 Hz octave band was about 4 dB (A) louder than in the 63 Hz band whereas in another survey [9] the 125 Hz level was found to be in average 9 dB(A) louder than the 63 Hz level. Many instruments in a pop/rock band, including

male vocal, play notes within the 125 Hz octave band with often quite syncopated rhythms. One sound is thus difficult to differentiate from another if the reverberation in this frequency band is too long. At loud sound levels, upward masking from this reverberant bass sound is guite broad [3]. This study first and foremost investigates whether it is plausible that the value of T₃₀ in certain octave bands can differ from the

suggested frequency independent values set forth in Ref. [1], with a particular interest in the 63 Hz band and the mid to high frequency range. This idea has already been postulated in a conference article [4]. Hence this present study is a continuation of the research carried out in [1,4], and the same methodology that was used in [1], collecting the subjective ratings on a 7-point scale, is applied here.

^a Flex Acoustics, Ny Carlsbergvej 27, 1760 Copenhagen V, Denmark

^b Acoustic Technology, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

^c COWI, Hafstadvegen 15, 6800 Førde, Norway

ABSTRACT

Subjective ratings from 25 professional musicians and sound engineers were obtained to assess two Danish rock venues of similar size and similar low frequency reverberation times, but different high frequency reverberation times. The musicians judged one hall significantly better than the other, confirming a hypothesis that rock venues can have a longer reverberation time at mid to high frequencies at least in the empty condition. A fairly long reverberation time in the 63 Hz octave band is found to be acceptable, so the 125 Hz octave band is probably the single most important band to control for amplified music. © 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.







^{*} This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: nwl@flexac.com (N.W. Adelman-Larsen), chj@elektro.dtu.dk (C.-H. Jeong), bst@cowi.com (B. Støfringsdal).

2. Hypotheses

This article seeks to substantiate or reject the hypotheses presented below. All of these have previously been proposed in Refs. [2,4].

- (1) Acousticians normally measure empty halls, whereas sound engineers and musicians experience occupied conditions. Halls where amplified music is presented often has no chairs. Due to a 4–6 times higher absorption coefficient by audience, seated or standing, at higher frequencies than at low frequencies [1], it is possible that a higher T₃₀ at higher frequencies in the empty hall can be accepted. This leads to a more even T₃₀ over frequency in the occupied hall.
- (2) Due to the higher directivity of loudspeakers at higher frequencies compared to low, it is further possible that an increasing T_{30} with increasing frequencies might be acceptable even in the occupied hall. This hypothesis was exemplified in Ref. [5] from the perspective of the critical distance, and its dependency on the directivity *Q*. The equation for critical distance r_{crr} as stated in [10], is reproduced as Eq. (1) below, where *V* is the volume of the hall, *T*, the reverberation time in this case including the audience, and α' is the average absorption coefficient of the room including audience.

$$r_{cr} = \sqrt{\frac{QV}{100\pi T(1-\alpha')}} \tag{1}$$

Since Q can easily be a factor of 5 higher at mid-high frequencies compared to low, for the critical distance to be constant at various frequencies, the reverberation time would have to be higher at mid-high frequencies compared to low. Evidently, such a space with increased T_{30} at higher frequencies will sound more live and the audience will be louder. It will also be easier for the musician to express dynamics [11]. In smaller clubs where the musicians' own instruments and open monitors, and not the PA sound system, is the main source of amplification, this broader tolerance of T_{30} would not apply.

(3) Sound in the 250 Hz band is more directive and is better absorbed by the audience than sound in the 63 Hz and 125 Hz octave bands [2]. Sound in both the 63 Hz and 125 Hz octave bands emerges often close to omnidirectionally from the speakers, thereby reaching many surfaces in the room, adding up reverberation. However, it is likely that the reverberation time in the 63 Hz octave band can be longer than in the 125 Hz band due to the following effects:

According to [2,8,9], , sound levels are higher in the 125 Hz octave band than in the 63 Hz band, wherefore reverberation in the 125 Hz band will have a more significant spectral masking effect compared to reverberation in the 63 Hz band. The equal-loudness contours in the 63 Hz octave band are more densely spaced compared to the 125 Hz band, and the human ear is less sensitive in the 63 Hz octave band in general [3]. Perceptually, reverberation in the 63 Hz band and possibly disappears faster than in the 125 Hz band and possibly masks less spectrally. The 63 Hz masking curve shows less upward masking than the 250 Hz band while the 125 Hz band is not listed [3].

The main aim of this article is to find answers to the hypotheses one and two, and loosely discuss the results in relation to the hypothesis three.

3. Method

25 musicians and sound engineers rated two Danish halls of similar volume: *Skråen* and *Magasinet*. The two halls have very similar low frequency T_{30} , while T_{30} at higher frequencies is significantly higher in *Magasinet* than in *Skråen*, as shown in Fig. 1, measured in empty state and in Fig. 2 including a standing audience calculated with absorption coefficients from [1].

3.1. The two halls

To the best knowledge of the authors, there is only one hall in Denmark, *Magasinet* of 2700 m³, which has a controlled T_{30} in the 125 Hz octave band and a relatively high T_{30} at mid- and high frequencies. In this hall, reverberation is controlled exclusively by means of membrane absorption, placed in the entire ceiling area and on a big wall area behind the stage. The hall has little high frequency absorption, limited to sparsely distributed textiles on stage and upholstered chairs on the balcony level.

The other venue, *Skråen* of 4000 m³, has porous absorption in the entire ceiling and on the back wall, by means of suspended wooden fiber elements. *Magasinet* was renovated in 2008, while *Skråen* moved to new premises in the same year.

3.2. Subjective survey

The administrators of the two halls informed the authors which bands had regularly performed in their venues within the last 5– 6 years. A link to an on-line questionnaire was e-mailed to the relevant musicians and sound engineers it was possible to contact. Of the 25 people who answered the survey, 11 were sound engineers and 14 were musicians. The choice to make the questionnaire anonymous was made so as to render as much freedom to the test persons as possible. By coincidence, none of the musicians who participated in the previous survey [1] were involved in this present survey. It is uncertain whether any of the eleven sound engineers had also participated in the survey presented in Ref. [1].

The on-line survey started with a group of general questions: what monitoring do you use? how important is the acoustics in the venue for you? (rating 1: not at all/rating 7: very important), do you sometimes chose not to play certain venues on the account of the acoustics? These questions could not be left unanswered in the survey. Then, each of the two halls could be rated on four different parameters on a seven-scale: Clarity bass (rating 1: muddy/rating 7: clear), Clarity mid/treble (rating 1: muddy/rating 7: clear), Reverberation mid/treble (rating 1: too dead/rating 7: too lively – rating 4: optimal), and General rating (rating 1: very poor, rating 4: reasonable, rating 7: excellent). At the end of the questionnaire,




Fig. 1. T₃₀ of the two venues, Magasinet and Skråen, in the empty condition.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5010777

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5010777

Daneshyari.com