Journal of King Saud University – Engineering Sciences 29 (2017) 400–406

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of King Saud University – Engineering Sciences

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com

Original article

Strength properties of bamboo and steel reinforced concrete containing manufactured sand and mineral admixtures

S. Karthik [a], P. Ram Mohan Rao [a], P.O. Awoyera [b,*]

[a] Center for Disaster Mitigation & Management, VIT University, Vellore, Tamilnadu, India
[b] Department of Civil Engineering, Covenant University, PMB 1023, Ota, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 16 October 2016
Accepted 21 December 2016
Available online 7 February 2017

Keywords:
Bamboo
Steel reinforcement
Flexural strength
GGBS
Fly ash
Strength properties

ABSTRACT

In a quest to ensure sustainability of the future generation, various research attempts are focusing on the use of alternative materials for construction. In this study, bamboo strips were used as reinforcement in a concrete that was made with supplementary cementitious materials and partial replacement of river sand with manufactured sand (m-sand). Cement was partially replaced by 25% of combination of admixtures such as fly ash and Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS). In alignment with standard requirements, concrete samples such as cubes, cylinders and beams were produced and tested at stipulated periods. Micro scale analysis was performed on the bamboo using SEM and FTIR, and its tensile strength was also determined. The results of the micro scale and tensile strength tests revealed that bamboo is a strong and ductile material. The study showed that a combination of fly ash, GGBS and m-sand used as alternative materials in concrete improves the compressive and split tensile strengths. Under flexural loading, performance of bamboo reinforced concrete (BRC) made with alternative materials (fly ash, GGBS, and m-sand) was significantly low compared to BRC containing conventional materials. In addition, BRC made with conventional materials developed more flexural strength than the SRC, with a variation representing 6.5% strength gain.

1. Introduction

Cost of concrete production is currently on the increase due to the recent recession in world economy. Conventional construction materials are becoming expensive. Therefore, numerous alternative materials are being proposed for concrete production. Interestingly, recent investigations (Ahmaruzzaman, 2010; Emmanuel and Oluwaseun, 2016; Mustafa et al., 2011) have suggested various alternatives such as fly ash, *Cordia millenii* ash, and rice husk as admixtures (Van et al., 2014) or partial replacement for cement. In all cases, the alternative materials have proved suitable in blended cement for improving the strength characteristics of concrete. Also, both fine and coarse natural aggregates have been substituted with materials such as ceramic tiles (Alves et al., 2014; Awoyera et al., 2016), and steel slag (Abu-Eishah et al., 2012; Awoyera et al., 2015a). From these studies both ceramic and steel slag aggregates have been identified as a good alternative material for making concrete.

Steel reinforced concrete (SRC) is mostly used for construction of load bearing structures. However, factors such as high cost and non-renewability of steel are a major concern for users (Agarwal et al., 2014). Therefore, consideration is given to a low-cost and sustainable material like bamboo, which apparently possesses some physical features of steel. Bamboo is a natural perennial grass-like composite, and is one of the fastest growing woody plants in the world (Awoyera et al., 2015b). It belongs to the grass family *Bambusoideae*, which consists of cellulose fibre embedded in a lignin matrix. It is widely used as scaffolds and wall proportioning, because of its high strength to weight ratio. Many promising studies have been conducted which showcased diverse application of bamboo for construction. In a study on parallel strand bamboo (PSB) adhesively bonded under high pressure, Huang et al. (2015), discovered that PSB was a high strength and transversely isotropic biocomposite. Also, an overview of the use of bamboo fibre was made by Abdul Khalil et al. (2012), and it was concluded that natural fibres such as bamboo can be used as biocomposites

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: paul.awoyera@covenantuniversity.edu.ng (P.O. Awoyera)
Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2016.12.003
1018-3639/

and integrated into sustainable, eco-friendly and well-designed industrial products which can minimise or replace the dominance of petroleum based products in future. Method of extraction and preparation of bamboo fibre-reinforced composites was proposed by Zakikhani et al. (2014). According to them, mechanical extraction methods are more eco-friendly than chemical methods, and steam explosion and chemical methods significantly affect the microstructure of bamboo fibres. Hebel et al. (2014) developed composites using bamboo fibre which could yield maximum tensile capacity of 180 MPa and also evaluate the process parameters, such as temperature, pressure and press/hold time.

In recent development, bamboo is being processed to typical reinforcement bar sizes which may be used instead of conventional steel bars. Adewuyi et al. (2015), comparatively evaluated the flexural performance and deformation characteristics of concrete elements reinforced with bamboo, rattan and twisted steel rebars. It was concluded that the bamboo bars are suitable rebars for non-load bearing and lightweight reinforced concrete flexural structures. Another study (Ikponmwosa and Fapohunda, 2017) studied the behaviour of foamed aerated concrete beams reinforced with bamboo. The deflection of beams decreased with increase in the area of bamboo splints, also failure load decreased with increase in area of bamboo at the tension area. Other studies (Ghavami, 2005; Terai and Minami, 2011) also evaluated the behaviour of BRC. Hence, it was suggested that, bamboo has the potential to be used as substitute for steel as reinforcement in structural members. So far, in the available literatures, there are little or no sufficient data on BRC composite made with admixture such as fly ash and GGBS. Therefore, in the present study, bamboo was used as reinforcing material for beam specimens containing: 25% of combination of admixtures such as fly ash and GGBS as partial replacement for cement, and manufactured sand as fine aggregate. The properties investigated in the samples include: compressive and split tensile strengths, flexural strength and load–deflection characteristics, crack development pattern and propagation. Moreover, micro scale analysis of bamboo was also performed.

2. Materials and methods

In this study, materials that were utilised includes: cement, fly ash, GGBS, river sand, m-sand, granite, potable water, steel reinforcement and bamboo. Ordinary Portland cement conforming to BS 12 (1996) requirement was used. The aggregates were dried and sieved through sieve 2.36 mm and treated in accordance with BS 882 (1992). Table 1 shows the physical properties of the aggregates. The river sand and m-sand were well graded. A particle size distribution curve for the fine aggregates is presented in Fig. 1. The bamboo was *Bambusa Valgaris* family, normally, it is locally available in Tirupur. The tensile strength of the bamboo strips used was 93.4 Mpa. Preliminary treatment of the bamboo entails subjecting them to soaking under temperature of 27 °C for 48 h, and afterwards they were sun-dried for almost 30 days, thus allowing it to attain adequate dryness. Generally, certain precautions taken prior to using the bamboo was to ensure that the bamboo shows no traces of decay, fungus growth or pores and any deterioration or blemishes. Thereafter, stripping of the bamboo was done at the sawmill, they were sawn into 10 × 10 × 480 mm sizes. Before casting beam samples, bamboo strips were greased thoroughly-in an attempt to enhance adequate bonding with the concrete matrix and also to prevent moisture from soaking into it.



Fig. 1. Particle size distribution for fine aggregates used.

2.1. Mix design and sample preparation

Three main categories of mix were considered-based on aggregate combinations, they are: mix 1 (Mc) which contains the conventional materials-cement, sand and granite; mix 2 contains cement as binder, m-sand and river sand as the fine aggregate, and granite; lastly mix 3 contains 75% cement and 12.5% each of fly ash and GGBS as binder, m-sand as fine aggregate, and granite. Hence, summary of the mix design is presented in Table 2. Therefore, a total of 27 cubes of 150 mm dimensions and 27 cylinders of 150 mm diameter by 300 mm height were cast and tested in triplicate.

However, for beams, under each category, four set each of beams were produced; and with two samples reinforced with 10 mm steel bars and other two reinforced with bamboo strips. The bamboo strips were fastened firmly together with stirups using binding wire. In all, 36 beams of 100 × 100 × 500 mm were produced and cured by immersion in water for maximum 28 day period. Further, an already established mix ratio of 1:2:4 of cement, sand and granite was adopted. A 20 mm cover spacing was maintained in all the samples. Water to cement ratio of 0.50 was adopted, in alignment with previous study (Ikponmwosa and Fapohunda, 2017).

2.2. Experimental investigations

The properties investigated in the samples include: compressive strength, split-tensile strength, flexural strength and load–deflection characteristics-determined using a universal testing machine at a consistent loading rate of 120 kN/min. In addition,

Table 1
Physical properties of aggregates used.

S/N	Aggregates	Specific gravity	Water absorption (%)	Fineness modulus
1	River sand	2.6	6.5	2.89
2	m-Sand	2.84	5.6	2.84
3	Granite	6.5	2.5	–

Table 2
Summary of mix design.

S/N	Mix	Binder (kg)			Fine aggregate (kg)		Coarse aggregate (kg)
		Cement	Fly ash	GGBS	River sand	m-Sand	Granite
1	Mc	20	0	0	40	0	80
2	M1	20	0	0	20	20	80
3	M2	15	2.5	2.5	0	40	80