Accepted Manuscript

Title: Economics of forest carbon storage and the additionality principle

Authors: Olli Tahvonen, Aapo Rautiainen



PII:	S0928-7655(17)30220-8
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.reseneeco.2017.07.001
Reference:	RESEN 1034
To appear in:	Resource and Energy Economics
Received date:	24-8-2015
Revised date:	7-7-2017
Accepted date:	10-7-2017

Please cite this article as: Tahvonen, Olli, Rautiainen, Aapo, Economics of forest carbon storage and the additionality principle.Resource and Energy Economics http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2017.07.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Economics of forest carbon storage and the additionality principle

Economics of forest carbon storage and the additionality principle Olli Tahvonen* and Aapo Rautiainen**

*University of Helsinki, Department of Forest Sciences, Department of Economics and Management, olli.tahvonen@helsinki.fi

**Corresponding author. Natural Resources Institute Finland, aapo.rautiainen@luke.fi

Highlights:

- Optimal carbon storage is studied at the stand and market level
- Subsidizing carbon storage causes a major financial burden
- Solely subsidizing additional storage distorts land allocation
- Distortion can be avoided by a general tax on land
- Subsidizing wood bioenergy or afforestation is suboptimal

2017

Abstract

The ability of forests to store carbon is vital in maintaining the preset climate conditions, but is not systematically included in forest management or land-use decisions. Economic reasoning suggests subsidizing carbon storage, but empirical models show that this may easily more than double stand-level bare land values. Subsidization may thus be expensive, as it requires paying for all storage, including what would otherwise be obtained for free. To limit the consumption of public funds, the regulator may apply an additionality principle and solely subsidize storage exceeding a baseline level. We show that the commonly applied stand-level analysis suggests that the additionality principle could be applied to optimal rotation decisions without distortions. However, applying a forest vintage model with endogenous prices and land allocation decisions shows that similar application of the additionality principle causes distortions to both land allocation and optimal forest rotation. Nevertheless, subsidizing carbon storage with forest site productivity tax may still

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5104073

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5104073

Daneshyari.com