

information may be created and shared in the hobby of urban exploration.

The research questions guiding this study were

- Who participates in this hobby?
- How and why may urban explorers create information through hobby activities?
- What are the information sharing practices among urban explorers, e.g., through social media?
- Do urban explorers work alone and/or engage with others to create and share information?
- How does the hidden nature of the hobby influence creativity and sharing practices?

3. Literature review

3.1. Information behavior: information creation and sharing

3.1.1. Information creation

The notion of created information, what is also often called “user-generated content,” has potential to help us understand the important area of how we use information and the impact of this action in our lives. Cox and Blake (2011) found that food bloggers were motivated by existing information to create their blogs, and while they saw their blogs as creative, they did not see themselves as engaged in a creative hobby, suggesting potential incidental as well as purposed creation. Hartel's (2010) cooks associated creativity with inspiration and information retrieval for making recipes. The outcomes of creative acts may lead to social benefits; for instance, Leung (2009) has argued that content generation online can enhance psychological empowerment and is connected to civic engagement.

While participatory and highly social engagement with creating, collaborating, and sharing content have often dominated discussion of user-generated content, individuals and groups have long created content in a variety of formats and forums. For example, in the hobby world, genealogists are renowned for their interaction with information to produce something new on and offline, such as individual family histories or local history projects as a group (Fulton, 2016). In addition, similar to how one might combine tools and content online to produce something new (e.g., a new service), genealogists creatively extend and integrate their hobby in other areas of their lives, such as scrapbooking to present their research to others, or name studies to facilitate their own research and to help other genealogists (Fulton, 2005, 2016).

The terms used for these creative acts vary as well and complicate the discussion. User-generated content is the most recent term used to describe the output of socially inspired creation of information and services online. Importantly, those who generate content have been defined as doing so without expectation of remuneration or profit (Vickery & Wunsch-Vincent, 2007). Because of the newness of this term, a precise definition is still evolving. The term *user-generated content* would appear, however, to apply to creation activities conducted in an online context. Creating information, on the other hand, is more inclusive of creative acts, encompassing both on and offline activities.

There may also be a generational association with creation of content. Online activities are often perceived to be dominated by the younger generations – characterized as young people who have grown up in a world where the Internet has always existed and Google has long been their portal to information, which they can track via a range of computer technologies, most importantly their mobile phones (e.g., Harrison & Barthel, 2009). However, as van Dijck (2009) has argued, agency associated with who is using, creating, and sharing information on the Web is more complex, with the majority functioning as consumers, rather than creators of content, and blurred distinctions between terms challenging our concepts of recipients and participants in digital environments. As a subset of online participants, actual creators of information offer an opportunity to understand how new content is

developed and how others might learn to do the same, fostering a fully participative Web. This ongoing development of a participative Web environment, in which anyone can author and share content, marks a significant change in how we will continue to view and value creation of content.

3.1.2. Information sharing behaviors

Sharing behaviors have gained greater attention among information behavior researchers. For instance, Fulton (2009a) observed a particular quid pro quo approach to sharing information among genealogists to satisfy information gathering for the production of a family history. Rioux (2005) has explored the act of sharing information in his model of information acquiring-and-sharing and concluded that information sharing is a naturally occurring part of communication formed by different motivations, needs, and affect. Shah (2010) asserted that collaborative information seeking may take place where an information problem is too complex or difficult for an individual to resolve. Importantly, this attention to collaborative information behavior marks a shift in information behavior from a focus on individual to participative practices, and, in particular, practices not necessarily defined by traditional groupings, such as occupation, age, or gender, which marked early studies in our field. This participation may involve a wider gathering of people with diverse backgrounds attracted to a particular point for a variety of reasons.

Understanding how people create information offers insight into how participative collaboration may influence information adoption, exchange, and use by other individuals and groups. For instance, bloggers who report their travel experiences via a public Internet forum offer a potentially useful source of tourism data (Volo, 2010). Trischak and Bauer (2009) and Pinder (2005) considered the participative influence on explorations of cities on geographic tools (e.g., global positioning systems, or GPS) and wayfinding. These studies highlighted the impact on collaboration in wayfinding context; when individuals decide to collaborate on similar information sharing, evaluation, and creation, the potential utility of that interaction and outcome may be increased. In keeping with these ideas, this project explored how participants engaged with their hobby and how they viewed participation.

Sharing of information may be covert with urban exploration, and urban explorers may not share, or may selectively share, where they wish to protect their identities or illegal acts, such as trespass. There may be other reasons for decision making about sharing in the hobby. Caughlin and Vangelisti (2009) argue that people have multiple goals in deciding to share or keep information secret, and that these goals “provide important information about individuals, their social interactions, and their relationships” (p.280). Smirnova (2016) has observed that “Concealing information may be done to maintain an authentic image of the self in the eyes of others, or it may reflect an attempt to live authentically, albeit in a different way,” (p. 26). George (1993) found concealment to be a cultural practice, in which concealment offered a strategy for self-protection from those outside a community. Examples of secrecy cross everyday and workplace contexts; for instance, Biagioli (2012) reported that in science, secrecy is a protective response, whereby scientists are secretive about their work to avoid being “scooped” by peers. Fulton (2015) found problem gamblers also use secrecy as a protective measure to keep loved ones from discovering their addiction.

For urban explorers, the underground nature of the hobby enables participants to reveal only what they wish to non-urban explorers and to protect the location of sites as they choose. In addition, this secrecy maintains a certain public depiction of the hobby, limiting what outsiders know about the hobby generally and maintaining a mystique around the hobby.

3.2. Leisure as a context for studying information creation and sharing

Leisure offers a useful everyday context for exploring the creation

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5123873>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5123873>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)