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#### Abstract

As a result of the lack of a plane of symmetry in identically $\alpha, x^{\prime}$-trans-disubstituted heterocyclic amines, the methylene protons of their N -benzyl derivatives are stereochemically and magnetically non-equivalent. The resultant splitting in the NMR spectrum enables an easy differentiation between cis and trans isomers.


Classically, the assignment of configuration to the cis and trans isomers of identically $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$-disubstituted heterocyclic bases (e.g., 2,6-dimethylpiperidine) has been based on resolution of the trans isomer into its optical antipodes. This method, even when successful, is often tedious, is unsatisfactory in those cases in which the trans isomer does not form crystalline, separable salts with the resolving acid, ${ }^{4}$ and fails if only the cis isomer is available. An alternate method is to assign the cis configuration to the major product of catalytic hydrogenation of the corresponding aromatic amine (pyridine or pyrrole). Assignments made on this basis cannot be considered completely reliable, due to the stepwise nature of addition of hydrogen, the isomerization of intermediate olefins on the catalyst, ${ }^{5}$ and the resulting possibility of obtaining trans isomers as major products. ${ }^{6}$

The stereochemistry of a number of six-membered rings has been attacked successfully by NMR spectroscopy in recent years. In applying this technique to the study of 1,3 -disubstituted cyclohexanes, e.g., 1,3-cyclohexanediols ${ }^{7}$ and 1,3-cyclohexanedialkanoic acids, ${ }^{8}$ assignment is predicted on the ready chair-chair interconversion of the trans isomer, rapidly averaging axial and equatorial protons to give a spectrum

[^0]with one or more comparatively sharp peaks or multiplets; the higher barrier to inversion (from diequatorial to diaxial substituents) in the cis isomer results in spreading of the NMR bands for the ring protons over a broad region. Thus, by comparing the relative sharpness of the bands of the two isomers, it may be possible to distinguish cis from trans. Muller and Tosch, ${ }^{9}$ in their studies on dimethylcyclohexanes, cautioned, however, that the appearance of a relatively narrow region of ring proton resonance does not necessarily imply rapid ring inversion.

During the course of our investigation of the stereochemistry of the alkaloid pinidine, ${ }^{10}$ it became necessary to make unambiguous assignments of configuration to cis and trans 2,6-dimethylpiperidines. Our approach to the solution of this problem is based on the effect of the symmetry properties of the two isomers on their NMR spectra, and offers a general solution for stereochemical problems of this kind.

It has been recognized for some time that the two protons of a methylene group adjacent to an asymmetrically substituted carbon (I) are magnetically non-equivalent, and consequently split each other in the NMR spectrum. ${ }^{11}$ Nair and Roberts called specific attention to this phenomenon and pointed out that it offered a simple way of detecting the grouping ( l ) without resorting to optical resolution. The phenomenon was first attributed to restricted rotation, ${ }^{11}$ though it has subsequently been observed in cases in which there appears no obvious barrier to rotation, ${ }^{12}$ and it has since been pointed out that the magnetic non-equivalence of the methylene protons is an inherent property of the system, regardless of free rotation or conformational isomerism. ${ }^{13.14}$ Nevertheless, it has continued to be a valuable tool in the recognition of a methylene group attached to an asymmetric carbon. ${ }^{15}$
I.


That this phenomenon is not restricted to asymmetric carbon, but exhibited by methylenes adjacent to any dissymmetric moiety, is shown by the NMR spectra of sulphites, ${ }^{16}$ sulphoxides, ${ }^{18.17}$ hindered biphenyls ${ }^{18.19}$ and a substituted cyclooctatetraene. ${ }^{20}$
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