



# A fuzzy expectation maximization based method for estimating the parameters of a multi-state degradation model from imprecise maintenance outcomes



F. Cannarile <sup>a,b</sup>, M. Compare <sup>a,b</sup>, E. Rossi <sup>a</sup>, E. Zio <sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Energia, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Aramis s.r.l., Milano, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Systems Science and Energetic Challenge, Foundation Electricité de France at Ecole CentraleSupélec, France

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 6 September 2016

Received in revised form 7 July 2017

Accepted 15 July 2017

### Keywords:

Multi-State Systems

Homogeneous Continuous-Time Semi-

Markov Process (HCTSMP)

Weibull distribution

Fuzzy Expectation-Maximization (FEM)

Residual Useful Life (RUL)

Piping System (PS)

Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs)

## ABSTRACT

Multi-State (MS) reliability models are used in practice to describe the evolution of degradation in industrial components and systems. To estimate the MS model parameters, we propose a method based on the Fuzzy Expectation-Maximization (FEM) algorithm, which integrates the evidence of the field inspection outcomes with information taken from the maintenance operators about the transition times from one state to another. Possibility distributions are used to describe the imprecision in the expert statements. A procedure for estimating the Remaining Useful Life (RUL) based on the MS model and conditional on such imprecise evidence is, then, developed. The proposed method is applied to a case study concerning the degradation of pipe welds in the coolant system of a Nuclear Power Plant (NPP). The obtained results show that the combination of field data with expert knowledge can allow reducing the uncertainty in degradation estimation and RUL prediction.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Multi-State (MS) degradation modelling is receiving considerable attention in the domain of reliability and maintenance engineering (Zio, 2016), due the fact that MS models offer a description of the degradation evolution which is more realistic than that given by binary models: the evolution of many degradation processes proceeds in successive phases, which reflect the relative degree of deterioration (Moghaddass and Zuo, 2014). A further reason which justifies the growing interest in MS degradation models is their fit with the field maintenance data acquired from the operating systems. For example, operators typically assign a qualitative tag to the equipment health during periodic inspections such as ‘not degraded’, ‘slightly degraded’, ‘badly degraded’, etc.

Given these characteristics, MS models have been adopted to describe the evolution of degradation of components of diverse application fields: membranes of pumps operating in Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs) (Baraldi et al., 2011), turbine nozzles for the

Oil&Gas industry (Compare et al., 2016), turbofan engines (Moghaddass and Zuo, 2014), Diesel engines (Giorgio et al., 2011), to cite a few.

A Multi-State (MS) degradation model has also been developed in (Fleming and Smit, 2008) for the Piping System (PS) of NPPs, where PSs are highly risk-sensitive structural elements (Gopika et al., 2003; Di Maio et al., 2015). In details, in the model by (Fleming and Smit, 2008), which is general enough to represent all known NPP pipe failure mechanisms (Fleming, 2004), the degradation process affecting a PS is discretized into four states, each one associated to a physically different phenomenon, with state transition rates that are taken constant over time and, consequently, sojourn times in each state that obey exponential distributions (e.g., Fleming and Smit, 2008). However, it has been shown in (Veeramany and Pandey, 2011; Chatterjee and Modarres, 2008), that the constant rate assumption is not coherent with the evidence coming from many real industrial applications. Thus, to overcome the limitation of constant transition rates, the theoretical framework of the Homogeneous Continuous-Time Semi-Markov Processes (HCTSMPs, Howard, 1964) has been embraced to develop MS degradation models, which allow considering arbitrary sojourn time distributions, thus, taking into account the influ-

\* Corresponding author at: Dipartimento di Energia, Politecnico di Milano, Italy.  
E-mail address: [enrico.zio@polimi.it](mailto:enrico.zio@polimi.it) (E. Zio).

## Nomenclature

CDF	Cumulative Distribution Function	$\mathbf{t}$	transition time dataset
EM	expectation-Maximization	$t_n$	vector of transition times of the $n$ th component
FEM	fuzzy Expectation-Maximization	$T_{i \rightarrow i+1}$	transition time from state $i$ to state $i + 1$ , random variable
HCTSMM	homogeneous Continuous-Time Semi-Markov Model	$T_m$	mission time
MC	Monte Carlo	$T_{failure}$	failure time
MS	Multi-State	$t_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}$	transition time of the $n$ th component from state $i$ to state $i + 1$ , observed value
MLE	Maximum Likelihood Estimation	$\tilde{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}$	fuzzy transition time, observed value
NPP	Nuclear Power Plant	$\underline{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}$	lower bound of the support of $\mu_{\tilde{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}}(t_{i \rightarrow i+1})$
PDF	Probability Density Function	$\overset{\sim}{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}$	core of $\mu_{\tilde{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}}(t_{i \rightarrow i+1})$
PFM	Probabilistic Fracture Mechanics	$\bar{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}$	upper bound of the support of $\mu_{\tilde{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}}(t_{i \rightarrow i+1})$
PS	Piping System	$t_{n,i}^0$	Sojourn time in state $i$ of the $n$ th component
PWR	Pressurized Water Reactor	$t_{n,i}^0$	elapsed time from the first inspection time in which the component has been found in state $i$ , and the last one
RCS	Reactor Cooling System	$\alpha_i$	scale parameter of the Weibull distribution describing the uncertainty on the transition time from state $i$ to state $i + 1$
RUL	Remaining Useful Life	$\beta_i$	Shape parameter of the Weibull distribution describing the uncertainty on the transition time from state $i$ to state $i + 1$
$C_0$	case 0	$\delta$	vector of $\delta^n$ , $n = 1 \dots N$
$C_1$	case 1: moderately risk-averse expert	$\delta_n$	vector of binary variables associated to the $n$ th component
$C_2$	case 2: risk averse expert	$\delta_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}$	binary variable associated to the $n$ th component indicating the censoring of the transition time from state $i$ to state $i + 1$
$C_3$	case 3: risk prone expert	$\lambda_{i \rightarrow i+1}$	transition rate from state $i$ to state $i + 1$
$D$	dataset of inspection outcomes	$\lambda_{C0}$	transition rate for Case C0
$E$	state space	$\lambda_{C2}$	transition rate for Case C2
$f_{T_{i \rightarrow i+1}}$	PDF of $T_{i \rightarrow i+1}$	$\lambda_{C3}$	transition rate for Case C3
$f_{T_{i \rightarrow i+1}}(\cdot   t_{n,i}^0)$	conditional PDF of $T_{i \rightarrow i+1}$ provided that $T_{i \rightarrow i+1} \geq t_{n,i}^0$	$\mu_{\tilde{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}}$	Possibility distribution on $\tilde{t}_{n,i \rightarrow i+1}$
$f_{RUL(k\tau)}$	PDF of RUL( $k\tau$ )	$\tau$	Interval between two successive inspections
$F_{T_{i \rightarrow i+1}}$	CDF of $T_{i \rightarrow i+1}$	$\vartheta$	Vector of the transition time parameters vector
$F_{failure}$	CDF of $T_{failure}$	$\hat{\vartheta}_{mle}$	MLE estimates of $\vartheta$
$\tilde{f}_{T_{i \rightarrow i+1}}$	PDF of fuzzy observations	$\vartheta^q$	Estimates of $\vartheta$ at iteration $q$
$k_{n,i}$	inspection at which the $n$ th component is found in state $i$ for the first time	$i$	state index, $i = 1, 2, 3$
$L$	likelihood function	$k$	inspection index, $k = 1 \dots M_n$
$L^{\sim}$	likelihood function of fuzzy observations	$n$	component index, $n = 1 \dots N$
$\mathcal{L}_{i \rightarrow i+1}$	$i$ th contribution to the log-likelihood function	$q$	FEM iteration index
$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i \rightarrow i+1}$	$i$ th contribution to log-likelihood function of fuzzy observations		
$\mathcal{L}_{log}$	log-likelihood function		
$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{log}$	log-likelihood function of fuzzy observations		
$M_n$	number of inspections on component $n$		
$N$	number of components		
$Q$	log-likelihood function conditional on fuzzy evidence		
$R_{T_{i \rightarrow i+1}}$	reliability function of $T_{i \rightarrow i+1}$		
$R_{failure}$	reliability function of $T_{failure}$		
$t$	time		

ence of the history of the degradation process on its future evolution. In particular, (Veeramany and Pandey, 2011) developed a HCTSMP model to describe the degradation of PSs in NPPs.

For practical application, the estimation of the parameters of the MS semi-Markov degradation model, with associated uncertainty, is fundamental and different approaches have been proposed in the literature to adjust the model to the knowledge, information and data available.

When sufficient field data is available, statistical techniques such as Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) can be adopted (Zio, 2007; Gosselein and Fleming, 1997). However, the availability of rich datasets of NPP PS degradation and maintenance data is not typical and the problem of parameter estimation is further complicated by at least two other aspects:

- The inherent complexity of the PSs in NPPs and diversity in the degradation influenced by operating and ambient conditions (Tipping, 2010); then, it becomes difficult to identify mechanisms and homogeneous populations of PS for statistical inference.

- The possible noninformativeness of the data, i.e., of the outcomes of inspections performed every 2–5 years, in which the PS is typically found in the first degradation states, due to its very high reliability (Nánási, 2014; Fleming, 2004; Veeramany and Pandey, 2011; Simonen and Goselin, 2001).

With this scarcity of data, it is necessary to exploit any additional knowledge or information available to build more accurate reliability models (Zio, 2016). In this respect, Probabilistic Fracture Mechanics (PFM) models have been developed to predict PS crack initiation and growth from existing flaws (Verma and Srividya, 2011), which combine the knowledge about the physics of the crack propagation, modelled as a stochastic process, with PS service data that are used to tune the PFM model parameters. However, (Fleming, 2004) pointed out that one main limitation of the PFM approach is that the data used for model setting reflect the influence of previous PS inspection programs; thus, changes in these programs may introduce biases in the transition rates estimates.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5474972>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5474972>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)