



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Clinical Nutrition

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/clnu>

## Original article

## Undernutrition in nursing home rehabilitation patients

J.I. van Zwienen-Pot<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, M. Visser<sup>a, c, d</sup>, M. Kuijpers<sup>e</sup>, M.F.A. Grimmerink<sup>e</sup>,  
H.M. Kruijenga<sup>a, d</sup><sup>a</sup> Dutch Malnutrition Steering Group, Nicolaas Witsenkade 13hs, 1017 ZR Amsterdam, The Netherlands<sup>b</sup> Department of Dietetics, Zorgpartners Midden-Holland, Ronsseweg 410, 2803 ZX Gouda, The Netherlands<sup>c</sup> Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University, De Boelelaan 1085, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands<sup>d</sup> Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Internal Medicine, VU University Medical Center, PO Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands<sup>e</sup> Department of Dietetics, Amstelring, De Klencke 111, 1083 HH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 7 December 2015

Accepted 2 June 2016

## Keywords:

Older adults

Nursing home rehabilitation

Undernutrition

Prevalence

Self-perception

Dietetic treatment

## SUMMARY

**Objective:** To examine the prevalence of undernutrition, received dietetic treatment and self-perception of nutritional status in older patients admitted to Dutch nursing home rehabilitation wards.**Methods:** Between December 2012–February 2014, we included 190 patients ( $\geq 65$  y) admitted to seven nursing home rehabilitation wards. Nutritional status in the first week of admission was characterized as: severely undernourished ( $>10\%$  unintentional weight loss in the past six months and/or  $>5\%$  unintentional weight loss in the past month and/or BMI  $< 20$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>), moderately undernourished (5–10% unintentional weight loss in the past 6 months and/or BMI 20–22 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), well-nourished ( $<5\%$  unintentional weight loss in the past 6 months and BMI 22–28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and overweight (BMI  $>28$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Primary diagnosis was categorized as: trauma, elective orthopaedics, stroke and other. Perceived nutritional status was determined with the question: ‘Do you currently consider yourself undernourished?’ (yes/no). Information regarding dietetic treatment was obtained from medical records.**Results:** A complete dataset was obtained from 179 patients (70% female, age  $81 \pm 8$  y). 26% of the patients was found to be severely undernourished and 14% moderately undernourished. Prevalence of undernutrition did not differ by sex or age. Of all undernourished patients, 56% had been treated by a dietitian. Only one out of five of undernourished patients considered themselves undernourished. Elective orthopaedics patients had the lowest prevalence of undernutrition (19%) while patients categorized as ‘other’ had the highest prevalence (51%).**Conclusion:** More than one in three older patients in Dutch nursing home rehabilitation wards are moderately to severely undernourished. Out of these patients the majority does not consider themselves undernourished and almost half has not received dietetic treatment. More attention to undernutrition in nursing home rehabilitation patients seems necessary.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd and European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Rehabilitation in Dutch nursing homes consists of integrated multidisciplinary care, focussing on the recovery of function and participation in frail older adults after an acute illness or functional decline, with the aim of returning to the pre-admission living situation. The geriatric rehabilitant is characterized by multiple comorbidities and frailty. Treating complications and controlling

comorbidities (e.g. diabetes mellitus, renal impairment, cognitive and mood disorders, heart failure, COPD and arthritis) is of major importance in the rehabilitation process [1]. Geriatric rehabilitation aims are commonly described in terms of physical recovery, improvement in functional performance and quality of life.

Older adults are known to be at high risk of undernutrition [2]. Undernutrition is described as chronic or acute condition of the body in which a deficiency or imbalance of energy, protein and other nutrients leads to negative effects on function, clinical outcomes and body composition [3]. Considering the consequences of undernutrition, it is most likely that undernutrition has a negative effect on the rehabilitation process of elderly patients. It may

\* Corresponding author. Department Dietetics, Zorgpartners Midden-Holland, Ronsseweg 410, 2803 ZX Gouda, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 6 30 10 94 51.

E-mail address: [Judith.vanzwienen@zorgpartners.nl](mailto:Judith.vanzwienen@zorgpartners.nl) (J.I. van Zwienen-Pot).

influence the clinical results, but also affect the progress towards rehabilitation goals [4].

Prevalence rates of (high risk of) undernutrition range from 6 to 68% [5–9], depending on the definition used to determine undernutrition and the specific study population. Recent research in Dutch rehabilitation clinics has shown that 6% of the older patients were moderately undernourished and 23% severely undernourished. These rehabilitation patients often suffered from both undernutrition and obesity [10]. However, it is not known whether these prevalence rates can be extrapolated to nursing home rehabilitation patients. Estimates of the prevalence of undernutrition and its treatment among the older adults in nursing home rehabilitation wards are lacking.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of undernutrition of older patients admitted to Dutch nursing home rehabilitation wards, to examine self-perceived undernutrition, to assess the dietetic treatment they received and to identify the differences in characteristics between well-nourished and undernourished patients.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Subjects

This cross sectional study was carried out at the nursing home rehabilitation wards of *Zorgpartners Midden-Holland (Ronssehof Rehabilitation Centre)* and *Amstelring (Sint Jacob, Vreugdehof, De Drie Hoven, Leo Polak, Groenelaan, Bornholm)*, both located in the Netherlands. These rehabilitation wards provide temporary care for patients recovering from their illness or injuries. From December 2012 until February 2014, patients 65 years-of-age or older admitted to any of the rehabilitation wards were screened within the first week of admission to determine eligibility based on current medical records. A sample was recruited by identifying all patients admitted to the wards within the preceding 24 h at the time that the researcher was available (usually two days a week).

Patients with severe cognitive impairment (as judged by health care providers or family), patients with an expected length of stay less than two weeks and non-Dutch/English speaking patients were excluded. All patients received written and verbal information on the purpose of the study so that informed consent could be given prior to participation to the study. The research protocol had been previously approved by the ethics committee of *Zorgpartners Midden-Holland* and the Ethics Review board of the VU University Medical Center. Data was collected by experienced dietitians and trained interns.

### 2.2. Self-perceived undernutrition and nutritional status

Before anthropometric measurements were taken, patients answered two questions about their perception of nutritional status and current weight: 1) 'What do you think of the weight that you have right now?' With the possible replies: underweight, normal weight, overweight 2) 'Do you currently find yourself undernourished?' with answering categories yes and no.

Nutritional status in the first week of admission was defined as severely undernourished when they met one or more of the following criteria:  $\geq 10\%$  unintentional weight loss in the past six months and/or  $\geq 5\%$  unintentional weight loss in the past month and/or BMI  $< 20$ . Patients were defined as moderately undernourished when they met the following criteria: 5–10% unintentional weight loss in the past six months and/or BMI 20–22. Patients were defined as well-nourished when  $< 5\%$  unintentional weight loss in the past 6 months and BMI 22–28 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and patients were defined as overweight when BMI  $> 28$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> [11–13].

Information about receiving dietetic treatment was retrieved from patient records. Unintentional weight loss was obtained by either asking the patient or, when available, comparing recorded body weights in the patient files ( $n = 12$ ).

### 2.3. Anthropometric measurements

All patients were weighed by a nurse during the first week of admission on a calibrated weighing chair or platform. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg. Height was measured with the knee height method (distance from the sole of the foot to the anterior surface of the thigh with ankle and knee each flexed to a 90° angle) to the nearest 0.5 cm with a flexible, non-stretchable measuring tape (Seca). The total body height was calculated using the LASA formula [14].

When it was not possible to measure knee height due to patients being unable to take off their shoes or due to the presence of bandages, the height documented on an identity card or a passport was used ( $n = 24$ ). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared.

Mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) was measured twice to the nearest 0.5 cm using a flexible, non-stretchable measuring tape (Seca). The circumference of the non-dominant arm midway between the bony protrusion on the shoulder (acromion) and the point of the elbow (olecranon) was measured. Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) was used to estimate fat free mass index (FFMI). Body resistance (R, Ohm) was measured using a Bodystat 1500 MDD (Euromedix, Belgium) at a frequency of 50 kHz. Fat free mass (kg) was predicted with the equation of Kyle  $[-4.104 + (0.518 * height^2/resistance) + (0.231 * weight) + (0.130 * reactance) + (4.229 * sex)]$  [15]. Fat free mass index was calculated as fat-free mass (kg) divided by height (m) squared.

### 2.4. Medical factors

Of each participant a list of all primary diagnoses (reasons for admission) was obtained from the current medical records and hospital discharge records. The patients were divided in four main categories, according to their primary diagnosis: 1) Trauma, 2) Elective orthopaedics, 3) Cerebrovascular accident (CVA) and 4) Other. Trauma included patients with an operative hip fracture, vertebral fractures, fractures of the femur, humerus fractures, pelvic fractures or other fractures. Elective orthopaedics included patients with a replacement, generally a hip and knee or a revision of a previously placed prosthesis. The category CVA consisted of patients with a stroke or brain haemorrhage. The category 'other' contained a variety of conditions mostly concerning the cardiovascular, pulmonary and musculoskeletal systems.

### 2.5. Functional factors

Functional ability was measured with the Barthel-index (BI) [16] and the Functional Ambulant Classification (FAC) [17]. The BI gives an indication of a patients performance on ten activities of daily living (ADL): bowel and bladder care, feeding, grooming, toilet use, transfers, ambulation, dressing, stair climbing and bathing. The total score ranges from 0 to 20; 0–4 points: Total dependence, 5–9 points: Severe dependence, 10–14 points: Moderate dependence, 15–19 points: Slight dependence, 20 points: ADL Independence. Ambulatory ability was defined using FAC as one of six functional levels of ambulation: FAC 0: Non functional Ambulation; FAC 1: Ambulator-Dependent for physical assistance Level II, FAC 2: Ambulatory-Dependent for physical assistance Level I, FAC 3: Ambulator-Dependent for supervision, FAC 4: Ambulator-Independent level surfaces only, FAC 5: Ambulator-Independent.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5571970>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5571970>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)