



# Treatment of mild to moderate carpal tunnel syndrome in patients with diabetic neuropathy using low level laser therapy versus ultrasound controlled comparative study



Osama F. Ahmed<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ahmed M. Elkharbotly<sup>a</sup>, Nahed Taha<sup>b</sup>, Ahmed B. Bekheet<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> National Institute of Laser Enhanced Sciences, Cairo University, Egypt

<sup>b</sup> Elshahel Teaching Hospital, Egypt

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Carpal tunnel syndrome  
Diabetic neuropathy  
Pain  
Pinch grip  
Hand grip and electrophysiological measures

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** The aim of the present study was to investigate and compare between Low Level Laser Therapy (LLLT) and Ultrasound (US) in treatment of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) using the advantage of application of treatment directly over the transverse carpal ligament, as well as over the course of the median nerve in the forearm simultaneously.

**Design:** Fifty patients (25–55 years) with diabetic neuropathy, diagnosed as unilateral carpal tunnel syndrome participated in the study. They were equally divided and randomly assigned into two groups; each group consisted of 25 patients.

**Materials and methods:** Patients in group (A) received a program of IR Gallium Arsenide LLLT (wavelength 904 nm, average power 20 mW, laser probe 7 mm diameter), with a total application of 4.8 J, while patients in group (B) received a program of US (frequency 1 MHz, power 1.0 W/cm<sup>2</sup>, pulsed mode 1:5).

**Results & discussion:** The results of our study showed that there were no statistical significance differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) were observed between the two groups. It was concluded that both low level laser (20 mW power, 904 nm Wavelength) and ultrasound (1.0 w/cm<sup>2</sup> power, 1 MHz frequency) are effective in the treatment of mild and moderate CTS patients.

## 1. Introduction

Carpal tunnel syndrome is the most common of all entrapment syndromes [1]. It affects the performance of daily living activities. Long-standing disease can produce irreversible damage, in the form of scarring or fibrosis, and loss of motor endplates, causing muscle atrophy [2]. Several standard treatments such as splints, local injection of corticosteroids, and surgical decompression are in use. Patients respond and benefits of either surgery or non-surgical treatment seem to be limited and there is no consensus about the best way to manage CTS [3]. Conservative management for CTS patients is frequently offered to those with mild to moderate symptoms [4,5,1] and may reduce the number of patients undergoing surgical intervention [4–6]. Surgical intervention is indicated in refractory cases or those who do not respond to conservative treatment [7], or for advanced and chronic cases [1].

Among the different options for conservative treatment, low level laser therapy and ultrasound therapy have been used. Some studies

found that low-level laser [8–10,3] and ultrasound therapy [6,11,12,3] may have the potential to induce biophysical effects in CTS patients. LLLT accelerates inflammation, promotes fibroblast proliferation in experimental and clinical models [13]. Experimentally, the histological and morphometric studies showed increasing nerve fiber density and increasing number of blood vessels on irradiated nerves [14].

The most common uses for US were to decrease soft tissue inflammation, increase tissue extensibility, enhance scar tissue remodeling, increase soft tissue healing, decrease pain, and decrease soft tissue swelling [15]. The present study was conducted to investigate and compare the efficacy of low level laser therapy versus ultrasound treatment on the pain, pinch grip, hand grip and electrophysiological measures of median nerve including DML, DSL, MCV, and motor amplitude of action potentials (AMP) of the median nerve in carpal tunnel syndrome patients.

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) associated with diabetic neuropathy (DN) are common among diabetic patients [16].

**Abbreviations:**  $\bar{x}$ , mean; SD, standard deviation; MD, mean difference; t value, unpaired t value; p value, probability value; †, non-significant  $P > 0.05$ ; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; DML, Distal Motor Latency; DSL, Distal Sensory Latency; MCV, Motor Nerve Conduction Velocity; AMP, Amplitude

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [osama.f.alblah@niles.edu.eg](mailto:osama.f.alblah@niles.edu.eg) (O.F. Ahmed).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbacli.2017.07.001>

Received 5 April 2017; Received in revised form 9 July 2017; Accepted 10 July 2017

Available online 20 July 2017

2214-6474/ © 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 2. Materials and methods

This was open label comparative prospective study was conducted using the facilities of the National Institute of Laser Enhanced Science, Cairo University, Egypt for two months.

### 2.1. Inclusion criteria

Patients diagnosed as Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) T2DM.

### 2.2. Exclusion criteria

- Patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus
- Patients with fractures and joint injuries
- Patients with other vascular abnormalities rather than diabetes mellitus.

### 2.3. Randomization method

Randomization has been done using simple randomization method by generating a random digit table as per *Pocock and Simon* method [17]. Based on generated numbers all even numbers enrolled in low level laser therapy group and odd numbers for ultrasound group.

### 2.4. Assessment tools

- Nerve conduction instrumentation (Neuropack, Jaban)
- Hand dynamometer (Hydraulic, Saehan, SH5001, Korea)
- Pinch dynamometer (Hydraulic Pinch gauge, Saehan, SH5005, Korea)
- Infrared laser:(Gymna, I.R, LASER 904 nm, Belgium)
- Ultrasound (Sonosan 100, Germany).

All the measurements were performed pre (at base line) and post treatment (at 6 weeks).

- Pain level was assessed using Visual analogue scale was, on which the patients could indicate their assessment along a 10 cm line ranging from 0 ('no pain at all') to 10 ('the most severe pain').
- Sensory and motor distal latency, median nerve motor amplitude and Conduction Velocity of median nerve were recorded using the nerve conduction studies (NCS) of the median nerves according to the recommendations of American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine, (2002).

The room temperature was maintained around 31 °C. For the motor nerve conduction studies, compound muscle action potentials amplitude were recorded with a pair of surface recording electrodes placed on the abductor pollicis brevis muscle. The stimulating electrodes were placed at the wrist proximal to carpal tunnel for the distal segment stimulation with a distance of 7 cm from the recording electrode, and at the elbow for the proximal segment stimulation. The distal motor latency was measured from the onset of the stimulating artifact to the onset of the compound muscle action potential. The nerve conduction velocity was also calculated to rule out any median nerve lesions such as polyneuropathy. In the study of sensory nerve conduction, a pair of ring electrodes was placed on the index finger for recording, and the sensory nerve was stimulated antidromically at the same site used for distal motor stimulation with a distance of 14 cm from the recording electrode. Sensory peak latency was measured from the stimulating artifact to the onset of the sensory nerve action potential.

According to the recommendations' of American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine [18], and based on the nerve conduction data, normal sensory distal latency of the median nerve was < 3.6 msec. The patients with mild CTS, only sensory NCS abnormalities (increased distal latency) were detected. In these patients, their sensory

peak latency of the median nerve was > 3.6 msec, but the motor latency was < 4.5 msec [19], as it is the closest measurement obtained [20]. The patients with moderate CTS had both sensory and motor NCS abnormalities. Sensory peak latency of the median nerve was > 3.6 msec, and motor latency > 4.5 msec and < 7 msec [8]. The same physician conducted the NCS testing.

- Maximum grip strength was measured using a hand held dynamometer according to the American Society of Hand Therapist recommended position for grip strength measurement [21]. Grip strength was obtained by taking the average of 3 measurements of maximal contraction [22].
- Pinch strength was obtained using pinch gauge. The same steps were done as in the assessment of grip strength to assess pinch strength.

The two groups were treated 3 sessions weekly for 6 weeks with total of (18) sessions. All the patients were treated at the palmar area directly over the carpal tunnel as well as over the course of median nerve.

- Patients in group (A) received a program of IR Gallium Arsenide LLLT (904 nm wavelength, 20 mW average power, laser probe 7 mm diameter), an energy of 1.2 J per point at four points was applied, a tape measurement was used to locate each point, the first point applied over the carpal tunnel at midpoint at the level of the wrist 1 cm distal to the distal wrist crease, the second point was 0.5 cm medial to the first point, the third point was 0.5 cm lateral to the first point and the fourth one was 5 cm proximal to the distal wrist crease at the same line of the first point over the course of median nerve where the median nerve becomes superficial to the flexor digitorum superficialis muscle bellies [23]. Each point was treated for 60 s with a total application of 4.8 J and 360 s total time application. It was applied perpendicularly while the hand supinated, relaxed and supported well, and the wrist at the neutral position.
- While patients in group (B) received a program of US (1 MHz, 1.0 W/cm<sup>2</sup>, pulsed mode 1:5, 15 min/session) with aquasonic gel as a couplant. It was transcutaneously applied on the same area as in group (A). It was applied perpendicularly with slow movement of the head of US while the hand supinated, relaxed and supported well, and the wrist at the neutral position.

### 2.5. Outcome

The main outcome of the present study was to investigate and compare between Low Level Laser Therapy (LLLT) and Ultrasound (US) efficacy in treatment of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome.

### 2.6. Statistical analysis

Data were collected, tabulated and statistically analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) v. 23. Descriptive analysis was done to show the mean of the assessed parameters, which expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Data obtained from both groups pre and post treatment program (at 6 weeks) regarding, pain level, hand grip, pinch grip, DML, DSL, MCV, and motor amplitude of action potentials (AMP) of the median nerve were statistically analyzed and compared using independent sample *t*-test and paired sample *t*-test. Data was considered significant at  $\alpha \leq 0.05$ .

### 2.7. Power of the study and sample size

Sample size was calculated assuming 80% power to detect a 20% improvement in pain (VAS), with a standard deviation of 2 points and a significance level of 5%. The required sample would be 25 patients per group. Fifty patients diagnosed as unilateral carpal tunnel syndrome participated in the study. Their ages ranged from 25 to 55 years.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5584284>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5584284>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)