Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Autoimmunity Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/autrev



CrossMark

Review

International consensus: What else can we do to improve diagnosis and therapeutic strategies in patients affected by autoimmune rheumatic diseases (rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritides, systemic sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, antiphospholipid syndrome and Sjogren's syndrome)?

The unmet needs and the clinical grey zone in autoimmune disease management

Roberto Giacomelli ^{a,*}, Antonella Afeltra ^b, Alessia Alunno ^c, Chiara Baldini ^d, Elena Bartoloni-Bocci ^c, Onorina Berardicurti ^a, Francesco Carubbi ^a, Alberto Cauli ^e, Ricard Cervera ^f, Francesco Ciccia ^g, Paola Cipriani ^a, Fabrizio Conti ^h, Salvatore De Vita ⁱ, Paola Di Benedetto ^a, Andrea Doria ^j, Alexandros A. Drosos ^k, Ennio Giulio Favalli ¹, Saviana Gandolfo ⁱ, Mariele Gatto ^j, Rosa Daniela Grembiale ^m, Vasiliki Liakouli ^a, Rik Lories ⁿ, Ennio Lubrano ^o, Claudio Lunardi ^p, Domenico Paolo Emanuele Margiotta ^b, Laura Massaro ^h, Pierluigi Meroni ¹, Antonia Minniti ^h, Luca Navarini ^b, Monica Pendolino ^h, Federico Perosa ^q, Jacques-Olivier Pers ^r, Marcella Prete ^q, Roberta Priori ^h, Francesco Puppo ^s, Luca Quartuccio ⁱ, Amelia Ruffatti ^j, Piero Ruscitti ^a, Barbara Russo ^t, Piercarlo Sarzi-Puttini ^u, Yehuda Shoenfeld ^v, George A. Somarakis ^k, Francesca Romana Spinelli ^h, Elisa Tinazzi ^p, Giovanni Triolo ^g, Francesco Ursini ^m, Gabriele Valentini ^t, Guido Valesini ^h, Serena Vettori ^t, Claudio Vitali ^w, Athanasios G. Tzioufas ^x

- ^b Clinical Medicine and Rheumatology Department, Campus Bio-Medico University of Rome, Rome, Italy
- ^c Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^d Rheumatology Unit, University of Pisa, Via Roma, Pisa, Italy

- ^f Department of Autoimmune Diseases, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain
- ^g Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy
- ^h Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy
- ¹ Rheumatology Clinic, Department of Medical and Biological Sciences, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria 'S. Maria della Misericordia', Udine, Italy
- ^j Division of Rheumatology, department of Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy
- ^k Rheumatology Clinic, Department of Internal Medicine Medical School, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece
- ¹ Division of Rheumatology, ASST.G Pini, Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Milan and Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy
- ^m Departement of health sciences, University of Catanzaro "Magna Graecia", Catanzaro, Italy
- ⁿ Laboratory of Tissue Homeostasis and Disease, Department of Development and Regeneration, KU Leuven and Division of Rheumatology, Skeletal Biology and Engineering Research Center, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- ° Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine and Health Science "Vincenzo Tiberio", University of Molise, Campobasso, Italy
- ^p Department of Medicine, University of Verona, Verona, Italy
- ^q Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology (DIMO), Systemic Rheumatic and Autoimmune Diseases Unit, University of Bari Medical School, Bari, Italy
- ^r Immunology and Pathology, Brest University, SFR ScinBios, Labex 'Immunotherapy, Graft, Oncology', Brest, France
- ^s Department of Internal Medicine, Scleroderma Unit, Clinical Immunology Unit, University of Genova, Genova, Italy
- t Rheumatology Section, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy
- ^u Rheumatology Unit, ASST-Fatebenefratelli-L, Sacco University Hospital, Milan, Italy
- * The Zabludowicz Center for Autoimmune Diseases, Sheba Medical Center, 5265601 Tel-Hashomer, Israel
- ** Sections of Rheumatology, Instituto San Giuseppe, Como and Casa di Cura di Lecco, Lecco, Italy
- ^x Department of Pathophysiology, University of Athens, Athens, Greece

* Corresponding author at: Department of Biotechnological and Applied Clinical Science, Rheumatology Unit, School of Medicine, University of L'Aquila, Delta 6 Building, Via dell'Ospedale, 67100, L'Aquila, Italy.

E-mail address: roberto.giacomelli@cc.univaq.it (R. Giacomelli).



^a Division of Rheumatology, Department of Biotechnological and Applied Clinical Science, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy

^e Chair of Rheumatology and Rheumatology Unit, University Clinic AOU of Cagliari, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 May 2017 Accepted 20 May 2017 Available online 11 July 2017

Keywords: Unmet needs Rheumatoid arthritis Spondyloarthritides Systemic sclerosis Systemic lupus erythematosus Antiphospholipid syndrome Sjogren's syndrome Biologic drugs treatment Remission Effectiveness

ABSTRACT

Autoimmune diseases are a complex set of diseases characterized by immune system activation and, although many progresses have been done in the last 15 years, several unmet needs in the management of these patients may be still identified.

Recently, a panel of international Experts, divided in different working groups according to their clinical and scientific expertise, were asked to identify, debate and formulate a list of key unmet needs within the field of rheumatology, serving as a roadmap for research as well as support for clinicians. After a systematic review of the literature, the results and the discussions from each working group were summarised in different statements. Due to the differences among the diseases and their heterogeneity, a large number of statements was produced and voted by the Experts to reach a consensus in a plenary session. At all the steps of this process, including the initial discussions by the steering committee, the identification of the unmet needs, the expansion of the working group and finally the development of statements, a large agreement was attained.

This work confirmed that several unmet needs may be identified and despite the development of new therapeutic strategies as well as a better understanding of the effects of existing therapies, many open questions still remain in this field, suggesting a research agenda for the future and specific clinical suggestions which may allow physicians to better manage those clinical conditions still lacking of scientific clarity.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Contents

1	The Annual of	later duction 01		
1.	Introc			
2.	Metho	ods		913
3.	Result	ts		913
	3.1.	Rheuma	atoid arthritis working group	913
		3.1.1.	The use of biologic drugs in RA: efficacy, time to response and drug survival	913
		3.1.2.	Biologic drugs in MTX intolerant patients: how effective is monotherapy?	913
		3.1.3.	The use of biologic drugs in rheumatoid extra-articular manifestations	914
		3.1.4.	Dose adjustments and discontinuation of biologic drugs in patients experiencing clinical remission.	914
		3.1.5.	May biomarkers be predictive of better effectiveness of biological drugs for RA?	914
	3.2.	Spondy	loarthritides working group	914
		3.2.1.	Does still exist a place for NSAIDs and sulfasalazine in the treatment of SpA?	914
		3.2.2.	The use of biologic drugs in SpA: clinical efficacy, radiographic progression and predictors of response	914
		3.2.3.	Clinical remission in SpA: is it possible to modify or discontinue biologic drugs?	915
	3.3.	System	emic sclerosis working group	
		3.3.1.	Is it possible to increase the overall survival of SSc patients?	915
		3.3.2.	Challenges in SSc management, treatments of specific clinical features	915
	3.4.	System	ic lupus erythematosus working group	916
		3.4.1.	The use of biologic drugs in the treatment of specific SLE clinical features	916
		3.4.2.	Corticosteroid-sparing therapies in SLE, a possible role of biologic drugs	916
	3.5.	Antipho	pspholipid syndrome working group.	916
		3.5.1.	Challenges in the management of APS patients, therapeutic choice in peculiar clinical conditions.	916
	3.6.	Siogren	's syndrome working group.	917
		3.6.1.	Suitability of new diagnostic criteria in SS.	917
		3.6.2	SS disease activity: from prognosis to therapy	917
		3.6.3	May clinical hematological and/or histological biomarkers improve SS management?	918
		364	Theraneutic strategies in SS from conventional theranies to biologic drugs	918
4	Discu	ssion		918
T. Com	neting	interect		020
Competing interest.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	920 920
References				020 020
Nucleicutes				520

1. Introduction

Autoimmune rheumatologic diseases, pathogenic conditions arising from an abnormal immune response, have been increasingly recognized over the past hundreds of years. The possible causes are not fully understood and both cellular and molecular mechanisms are involved [1,2]. Recently, insights into genetic susceptibility show that environmental triggers may be involved, acting via cellular pathways containing disease-associated polymorphisms. The target tissue provides a decisive microenvironment that affects immune-cell differentiation, leading to a chronic activation of immune system and, thus, development of the autoimmune disease [3,4]. New treatments have been introduced to target different inflammatory pathways and autoimmune rheumatologic diseases. The development of drugs for the treatment of these diseases parallels the increased knowledge of the pathogenic mechanisms. Current treatment guidelines suggest that early diagnosis and initial treatment with immunosuppressive drugs are necessary to limit damage and functional loss and to reduce mortality associated with autoimmune rheumatic disease [5–7]. In this context, it has been shown that frequently the disease course of affected patients is unpredictable as well as their responses, to standard treatments, are variable. Furthermore, it must be pointed out that in many conditions no validated biomarkers exist to predict the course of disease nor the response to therapy. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5665387

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5665387

Daneshyari.com