Marine Pollution Bulletin xxx (2016) xxx–xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Marine Pollution Bulletin

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/marpolbul

Baseline

Levels of ingested debris vary across species in Canadian Arctic seabirds

Florence E. Poon [a,*], Jennifer F. Provencher [b], Mark L. Mallory [c], Birgit M. Braune [d], Paul A. Smith [d]

[a] Department of Environmental Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5B6, Canada
[b] Department of Biology, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5B6, Canada
[c] Department of Biology, Acadia University, Wolfville, Nova Scotia B4P 2R6, Canada
[d] Environment and Climate Change Canada, National Wildlife Research Centre, Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5B6, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 15 July 2016
Received in revised form 3 November 2016
Accepted 19 November 2016
Available online xxxx

Keywords:
Pollution
Marine birds
Foraging guild
Debris
Plastic

ABSTRACT

Plastic debris has become a major pollutant in the world's oceans and is found in many seabird species from low to high latitudes. Here we compare levels of plastic ingestion from two surface feeders, northern fulmars (*Fulmarus glacialis*) and black-legged kittiwakes (*Rissa tridactyla*), and two pursuit diving species, thick-billed murres (*Uria lomvia*) and black guillemots (*Cepphus grylle*) in the Canadian high Arctic. This is the first report quantifying plastic ingestion in kittiwakes in this region, and as predicted, kittiwakes and fulmars had higher frequency of plastic ingestion than guillemots and murres. Despite this, amounts of plastic ingested by birds remain lower than regions farther south.

Plastic debris has become ubiquitous in the world's oceans and is classified by the United Nations Environment Program as one of the most critical emerging threats (Barnes et al., 2009; UNEP, 2014; Wilcox et al., 2015). Marine litter is mostly comprised of plastic debris, with plastics accounting for up to 95% of debris in some areas, and plastic concentrations increasing in oceans worldwide (Moore, 2008). Plastics found in the sea can be categorized into two major types: industrial and user plastics. Industrial plastics refer to raw plastics in the form of pellets that are shipped to factories for additional processing (Azzarello and Van Vleet, 1987). User plastics refers to all other types of plastics used in commercial goods such as toys, plastic bags, ropes, bottles, etc. (Azzarello and Van Vleet, 1987). Oceanic plastic debris poses a significant threat to marine wildlife via entanglement and ingestion, with seabirds being particularly vulnerable (Azzarello and Van Vleet, 1987; Laist, 1997). Known effects of ingested plastics on seabirds include gastrointestinal blockage (Azzarello and Van Vleet, 1987), reduced storage volume of the stomach (Ryan, 1988), and uptake of hazardous chemicals (Ryan, 1988; Teuten et al., 2009). Seabirds were first reported to ingest plastic by the scientific community in the 1960s (Harper and Fowler, 1987). Plastic ingestion occurs in at least 40% of the world's seabird species, with plastic debris found in species inhabiting equatorial to polar waters (Kühn et al., 2015).

Seabirds are particularly useful organisms to understand the pervasiveness of plastic debris in the marine environment because they forage over large distances, making them likely to encounter and ingest marine plastic debris that they may mistake for prey (Derraik, 2002). In environments such as the Canadian Arctic where research is logistically challenging, seabirds offer an effective alternative to monitoring marine plastic pollution over costlier methods such as ship-based surveys (Ryan et al., 2009).

In many regions of the world, plastic ingestion varies with foraging modes in seabirds (Azzarello and Van Vleet, 1987; Ryan, 1987a; Moser and Lee, 1992). Seabirds can be classified into three main foraging types: surface feeders, plungers and pursuit divers (Ashmole, 1971). Surface feeders are more susceptible to ingesting plastic as the majority of plastics float and accumulate at the water surface (Moser and Lee, 1992; Robards et al., 1995). In particular, birds of the order Procellariiformes such as fulmars, albatrosses, shearwaters and storm-petrels have the greatest tendency to accumulate plastics (Azzarello and Van Vleet, 1987; Moser and Lee, 1992; Robards et al., 1995). Diving birds exhibit a lower incidence of plastic ingestion than surface feeders even when foraging in the same area (Robards et al., 1995; Provencher et al., 2009, 2010).

Although foraging mode influences degree of plastic accumulation in seabirds, there are few data comparing species with different foraging strategies in the Canadian Arctic. Four common species that may ingest plastic in this region include two surface feeders, the northern fulmar (*Fulmarus glacialis*) and black-legged kittiwake (*Rissa tridactyla*), and two diving birds, the thick-billed murre (*Uria lomvia*) and the black guillemot (*Cepphus grylle*; Gaston et al., 2012). Both fulmars and murres from the Canadian Arctic are known to ingest plastic (Provencher et al., 2015), and plastic ingestion by fulmars is used as an Ecological Quality Objective (EcoQO) for marine debris in the North Sea (van Franeker

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: poon_florence@yahoo.com (F.E. Poon).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.11.051
0025-326X/

et al., 2011). Plastic in kittiwakes and guillemots from the Canadian Arctic has not been quantified. To that end, we studied plastic ingestion in these four species which differ in foraging mode, and which were sampled concurrently from a single colony in the Canadian Arctic. We predicted that surface foragers (northern fulmars and black-legged kittiwakes) would exhibit a higher incidence of plastic ingestion than pursuit divers (thick-billed murres and black guillemots).

In August 2013, black-legged kittiwakes, northern fulmars, thick-billed murres and black guillemots were collected from Prince Leopold Island, Nunavut, Canada (74°N, 90°W). All birds were captured alive from the colony using a noose pole and immediately and humanely euthanized as per animal care protocols. The carcasses were kept cool until they could be placed in a freezer, frozen, and later shipped to the laboratory of the Nunavut Arctic College in Iqaluit, Nunavut. Carcasses were thawed, measured and dissected in collaboration with students from the Environmental Technology Program (Provencher et al., 2013). The entire gastrointestinal tract of each bird was removed intact, refrozen and shipped to the National Wildlife Research Centre in Ottawa for examination of ingested plastics. Each gastrointestinal tract was later thawed and dissected over a 1 mm sieve, and only contents remaining in the sieve were examined while the rest was discarded. Stomach contents were examined under a binocular microscope and identified plastics were categorized as user or industrial plastics following the protocol outlined by van Franeker et al. (2005). After sorting, all items in each bird were dried and weighed using a Denver Instrument SI-234 analytical scale (±0.0001 g). Each debris piece was also measured along its length and width using digital calipers. The color of each debris piece was determined as the predominant color visible (pooling categories of white and yellow).

A Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test, followed by Dunn's Multiple comparison post hoc test for pairwise comparisons, was used to investigate the relationship between the mass of accumulated debris and species, and the relationship between the number of debris pieces and species (excluding black guillemots as there were only three samples). We used a Fisher Exact test to examine the prevalence of accumulated debris in fulmars and murres from Prince Leopold Island for the years 2008 (data from Provencher et al., 2009, 2010) and Provencher et al., 2013. To investigate the variation in the mass and number of accumulated debris found in fulmars and murres collected in August 2008 and August 2013, a Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used.

Using data from this study (2013) and data previously collected (2008) at the same site, we had sufficient data to test the relationship between sex, body condition and debris ingestion in fulmars using a general linear model (data were normally distributed; Kruskal-Wallis tests, all $p > 0.05$). Note that data were insufficient to conduct a similar test for the other species due to only one individual amongst the other species containing any debris. We determined body condition of each fulmar by dividing body mass by tarsus length (see Blackmer et al., 2005). Statistical analyses were conducted using Statistica (Stat Soft Inc., 2013), and all differences were considered significant when $p < 0.05$. Mean values were reported ± SD.

We examined nine northern fulmars, 11 black-legged kittiwakes, 10 thick-billed murres and three black guillemots for plastic ingestion. The incidence of plastic ingestion was 0% for murres and guillemots, while 9% (1/11) of kittiwakes and 89% (8/9) of fulmars contained debris in their stomachs (Table 1). Across all species, 33 pieces of litter were identified which were principally user plastics (89%), with the remainder industrial plastics (9%) and paraffin (1%). User plastics found in fulmars were mostly fragments (58%; Fig. 1), with the remainder being sheet-like, thread-like and foamed plastics (Supplementary data, Table 2). Ingested plastics came in a variety of colors: yellow/white (70%), black (9%), brown (9%), grey (6%), red (3%) and orange (3%). The majority (78%) of all ingested plastics were small (≤5 mm, hence microplastics; Arthur et al., 2009) with a mean length and width of 4.4 ± 2.6 mm and 2.8 ± 1.4 mm, respectively. The largest plastic piece, which was found in a fulmar, measured 12.1 mm × 6.8 mm.

Mass of accumulated debris (Kruskal-Wallis; $H_{30} = 19.4$, $p < 0.001$) and the number of debris pieces ingested ($H_{30} = 20.2$, $p < 0.001$) varied amongst species. Northern fulmars had a greater mass and number of accumulated debris pieces than kittiwakes (Dunn's tests; both $p < 0.001$) and murres (both $p < 0.001$). Amongst the individuals containing debris, debris represented 0.000038 ± 0.000031% of fulmar body mass. Overall, fulmars contained on average 0.025 ± 0.025 g of debris and 3.4 ± 3.0 pieces of debris, with eight pieces being the maximum number of debris found in any individual. The one kittiwake containing debris had two plastic fragments collectively weighing 0.0288 g; across all 11 birds this would average 0.003 ± 0.009 g and 0.18 ± 0.60 pieces (Table 1; Fig. 2).

For fulmars, the incidence of ingested debris was similar in samples from 2008 and 2013 (Table 1; Fisher Exact Test; $p = 1.0$), as were total mean mass of ingested debris and mean number of ingested debris pieces (Wilcoxon rank sum tests, both $p \geq 0.59$). Plastic ingestion remained unchanged at 0% for murres collected in 2008 (breeding season birds considered only) and 2013. Neither fulmar body condition ($p = 0.08$) nor sex ($p = 0.36$) were significant predictors of the mass of ingested debris (GLM; $F_{2,16} = 2.45$, $p = 0.12$). Zero northern fulmars collected in 2013 contained over 0.1 g of plastic debris, meeting the EcoQO established in the North Sea (van Franeker et al., 2011). One of 10 (10%) fulmars collected in 2008 contained over 0.1 g of plastic debris, therefore just failing the EcoQO.

In this study, we had the somewhat unique opportunity to examine the variation in levels of ingested debris in different species of Canadian Arctic seabirds which represented a range of foraging modes, but which were collected concurrently from the same colony. Although our sample sizes were small, the results supported our predictions, that is, we observed a higher incidence of ingestion, mass and number of ingested debris in surface feeders than pursuit divers. The absence of debris in both diving species was likely due to the fact the majority of plastics at sea are less dense than water and are therefore predominately found floating at the surface of the sea (Ryan et al., 2009; Barnes et al., 2009). Species that dive beneath the water surface to obtain their food are less likely to ingest floating debris, though they may still ingest plastics found deeper in the water column and may be more susceptible to entanglement with larger marine plastic debris (Provencher et al., 2010). Plastic ingestion has been previously reported in kittiwakes in this region (Day et al., 1985), but the mean mass and number of plastic pieces were not determined. Both surface feeders we examined had ingested debris, this being the first report quantifying ingestion of plastic by kittiwakes in the Canadian Arctic. However, the incidence of debris accumulation was much higher in fulmars than kittiwakes. Our results are similar to

Table 1
Values for accumulated debris in northern fulmars, black-legged kittiwakes, thick-billed murres and black guillemots collected from Prince Leopold Island in August 2008 and August 2013. SD = standard deviation.

Species	Year	Sample size	Incidence (%)	Mean mass (g) ± SD	Mean number of pieces ± SD	Mean length (mm) ± SD	Mean width (mm) ± SD
Northern fulmar	2008	10	80	0.050 ± 0.099	2.5 ± 3.5	N/A	N/A
Northern fulmar	2013	9	89	0.025 ± 0.025	3.4 ± 3.1	4.2 ± 2.5	2.8 ± 1.5
Thick-billed murre	2008	10	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
Thick-billed murre	2013	10	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
Black-legged kittiwake	2013	11	9	0.003 ± 0.009	0.18 ± 0.6	7.4 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.9
Black guillemot	2013	3	0	0	0	N/A	N/A

Please cite this article as: Poon, F.E., et al., Levels of ingested debris vary across species in Canadian Arctic seabirds, Marine Pollution Bulletin (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.11.051